1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California GAVIN G. MCCABE ELECTRONICALLY	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General FILED	
4	MATTHEW G. BULLOCK, SBN 243377 County of San Francisco	
	Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 Clerk of the Court	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 BY: WILLIAM TRUPEK Deputy Clerk	
6	Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Matthew.Bullock@doj.ca.gov	
7	Attorneys for Respondent State Water Resources Control Board	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
11		
12		
13	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER,	Case No. CPF-14-513875
14		ANSWER OF RESPONDENT STATE
15		WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
16	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL	Dept: 302 Judge: Hon, Ernest H. Goldsmith
17	BOARD; CITY OF BUENAVENTURA,	Action Filed: Sept. 19, 2014
18	Respondents.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Respondent State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) answers, responds,	
	and asserts affirmative defenses as to the Verified	l Petition of Santa Barbara Channelkeeper on
25.	file in the above-entitled case as follows:	
26		
27		
28	Buddelf of the action in the fall of the s	
. S.J.		

Answer of Respondent State Water Resources Control Board (CPF-14-513875)

5

10 11

1213

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Paragraph 1 contains no allegations of fact and requires no response. To the extent a response is required, paragraph 1 is denied.
- 2. Paragraph 2 and its subparts contain no allegations of fact and requires no response. To the extent a response is required, paragraph 2 is denied.

II. THE PARTIES

- 3. Paragraph 3 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 4. Paragraph 4 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 5. Paragraph 5 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 6. Paragraph 6 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 7. Paragraph 7 is denied.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8, the statute speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 8 is admitted.
- 9. Paragraph 9 calls for a legal conclusion; the statutes speak for themselves and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 9 is vague as to "directly" and "Constitutional and statutory mandates," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 10. Paragraph 10 calls for a legal conclusion; the statute speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 10 is vague as to "directly" and "administering," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, the statute speaks for itself and no further response is required.
 - 12. Paragraph 12 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 13. Paragraph 13 calls for a legal conclusion; the Constitution speaks for itself and no further response is required.

III. AUTHENTICITY OF EXHIBITS ATTACHED

14. Paragraph 14 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

	11. 그는 사이 사는 사이들의 그는 사람이 되고 있다. 그는 아내는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 가지 않다.
1	15. Paragraph 15 asserts no facts, and no response is required.
2	IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE
3	16. Paragraph 16 is a legal conclusion, and no response is required. To the extent a
4	response is required, Paragraph 16 is denied.
5	17. Paragraph 17 is a legal conclusion, and no response is required. To the extent a
6	response is required, Paragraph 17 is denied.
7	18. Paragraph 18 is a legal conclusion, and no response is required. To the extent a
8	response is required, Paragraph 18 is denied.
9	19. Paragraph 19 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response. To the extent a
10	response is required, paragraph 19 is denied.
11	V. REGULATORY BACKGROUND
12	20. Paragraph 20 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
13	21. Paragraph 21 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
14	22. Paragraph 22 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
15	23. Paragraph 23 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
16	24. Paragraph 24 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
17	25. Paragraph 25 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
18	26. Paragraph 26 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
19	27. Paragraph 27 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
20	28. Paragraph 28 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response. To the extent a
21	response is required, Paragraph 28 is denied.
22	29. Paragraph 29 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response. To the extent a
23	response is required, Paragraph 29 is vague as to "beneficial uses and beneficial use categories to
24	be applied to the State's waters" and is denied.
25	30. Paragraph 30 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response.
26	31. Paragraph 31 states no facts, consists of legal conclusions, and requires no response.
27	32. Paragraph 32 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response. To the extent a
28.	response is required, Paragraph 32 is denied.

- 50. Answering Paragraph 50, the document speaks for itself, or consists of a legal conclusion, and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 50 is vague, and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 51. Paragraph 51 consists of legal conclusions, the case speaks for itself and no response is required.
- 52. Paragraph 52 consists of legal conclusions, the case speaks for itself and no response is required.
- 53. Paragraph 53 consists of legal conclusions and requires no response. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 53 is vague, and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 54. Paragraph 54 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 54 is denied.
- 55. Paragraph 55 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 55 is denied.
- 56. Paragraph 56 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 56 is denied.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57, the statutes speak for themselves and no further response is required.
 - 58. Paragraph 58 is denied.
- 59. Paragraph 59 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 59 is denied.
- 60. Paragraph 60 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 60 is denied.
- 61. Paragraph 61 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 61 is vague as to "water user" and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 62. Paragraph 62 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 62 is denied.

63. Paragraph 63 consists of legal conclusions and no response is required.

VI. FACTS AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 64. Answering Paragraph 64, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 64 is admitted.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 65 is admitted.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 66 is admitted.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 67 is denied.
- 68. Answering Paragraph 68, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 68 is denied.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 69 is admitted.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 70 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 71 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 72 is admitted.
- 73. Answering Paragraph 73, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 73 vague as to time, location, and "flows in the Ventura River," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information or belief.

- 74. Answering Paragraph 74, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 74 is vague as to time and as to "disrupted," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 75 is vague as to time, as to "high degree," and as to "has been assumed" by whom, and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 76. Answering paragraph 76, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is admitted that the referenced species have been listed as threatened or endangered under state or federal law. To the extent further response is required, the paragraph is vague as to "endangered or threatened," and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 77. Answering Paragraph 77, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 77 is vague as to time, as to "normal to wet," and as to who "estimated," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 78 is vague as to time and as to "prime spawning habitat" and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 79. Answering Paragraph 79, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 79 is vague as to time and as to "96% decline" and "prompting," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 80. Answering Paragraph 80, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 80 is vague as to time and as to "dry years" and "fish," and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

- 81. Answering Paragraph 81, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 81 is vague as to time and as to "the fish" and "other fish," and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 82. Answering Paragraph 82, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 82 is vague as to "other tributaries" and to the extent it is framed in the passive voice. It is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 83. Answering Paragraph 83, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 83 is vague as to time and as to "rated as having high conservation value" and because it is framed in the passive voice, and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 84. Answering Paragraph 84, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
- 85. Answering Paragraph 85, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 85 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 86. Answering Paragraph 86, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the State Water Board cannot speak for NMFS, and the paragraph is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 87. Answering Paragraph 87, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the State Water Board cannot speak for NMFS, and the paragraph is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 88. Answering Paragraph 88, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the paragraph is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

- 89. Answering Paragraph 89, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 89 is vague as to "lower basin" and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 90. Answering Paragraph 90, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 90 is vague as to "the fish abundance surveys" and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 91. Answering Paragraph 91, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 91 is vague as to "most previous years, and in 2011" and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 92. Answering Paragraph 92, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 92 is vague as to "77% of steelhead fry" and because it is framed in the passive voice, and is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 93. Answering Paragraph 93, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, Paragraph 93 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
- 94. Answering Paragraph 94, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
- 95. Answering Paragraph 95, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
- 96. Answering Paragraph 96, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
- 97. Answering Paragraph 97, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
- 98. Answering Paragraph 98, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.
 - 99. Paragraph 99 is vague as it refers to a draft document. Paragraph 99 is denied.

100. Answering Paragraph 100, the referenced documents speak for themselves, and no response is required. To the extent a response is required, it is admitted that Reaches 3 and 4 of the Ventura River are listed as impaired for pumping and diversion. The remainder of the paragraph is denied.

101. Answering Paragraph 101, the quoted document speaks for itself and no further response is required.

102. Answering Paragraph 102, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the State Water Board cannot speak for the EPA, and Paragraph 102 is vague as to "may be," "likely," and "water quality conditions," and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

103. Answering Paragraph 103, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the State Water Board cannot speak for the EPA, and the paragraph is vague as to "existing degraded water quality conditions" and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

104. Answering Paragraph 104, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the State Water Board cannot speak for the EPA and is therefore denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

105. Answering Paragraph 105, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required.

106.Paragraph 106 consists of legal conclusions, and requires no response. To the extent further response is required, it is admitted that Ventura has no permit or license from the State Water Board to appropriate water from the River.

107. Paragraph 107 is denied for lack for sufficient information and belief.

108. Paragraph 108 is denied for lack for sufficient information and belief.

109. Answering Paragraph 109, the referenced document speaks for itself and no further response is required. To the extent further response is required, the paragraph is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.

	보다 나는 한 것은 사람들은 아니는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다.
	143. Paragraph 143 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
2	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
3	144.Paragraph 144 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
4	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
5	FIRST COUNT FOR RELIEF
6	Against City of Ventura
7	145. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
8	146.Paragraph 146 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
9	response is required, the paragraph is denied for lack of information and belief.
10	147. Paragraph 147 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
11	148. Paragraph 148 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
12	149. Paragraph 149 is denied for lack of sufficient information and belief.
13	SECOND COUNT FOR RELIEF
14	Against State Water Board
15	150. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
16	151.Paragraph 151 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
17	response is required, the paragraph is denied
18	152. Paragraph 152 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
19	response is required, the paragraph is vague and is denied for lack of sufficient information and
20	belief.
21	153. Paragraph 153 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
22	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
23	154. Paragraph 154 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
24	response is required, the paragraph is vague and is denied for lack of sufficient information and
25	belief:
26	155.Paragraph 155 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
27	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
2.8	The first of the state of the s

1	156.Paragraph 156 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
2.	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
3	157.Paragraph 157 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
4	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
5.	THIRD COUNT FOR RELIEF
6	Against State Water Board
7	158. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
8	159.Paragraph 159 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
9	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
10	160.Paragraph 160 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
11	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
12	161.Paragraph 161 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
13	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
14	162.Paragraph 162 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
15	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
16:	163. Paragraph 163 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
17	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
18	FOURTH COUNT FOR RELIEF
19	Against State Water Board
20	164. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
21	165.Paragraph 165 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
22	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
23	166.Paragraph 166 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
24	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
25	167.Paragraph 167 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
26	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
27	168. Paragraph 168 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
20	reconnee is required the paragraph is denied

- 1	我看到她就一样的,我们还有一样的。我们的一个时间,我们的一个女子,我们就不是一个女子,我们就是一个女子,我们还是一个女子,我们的人,我们就是一个女子,我们就会
1	FIFTH COUNT FOR RELIEF
2	Against State Water Board
3	169. Each of the preceding paragraphs is incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
4	170.Paragraph 170 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
5	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
6	171.Paragraph 171 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
7	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
8	172. Paragraph 172 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
9	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
10	173. Paragraph 173 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
11	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
12	174. Paragraph 174 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
13	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
14	175. Paragraph 175 is a legal conclusion and requires no response. To the extent a
15	response is required, the paragraph is denied.
16	
17	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>
18	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	Petitioners' fail to state a claim against the State Water Board, whether or not relief may
20	be properly granted against other parties.
21	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	Due to prosecutorial discretion, the State Water Board has no duty to take action against
23	Ventura
24	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	Petitioner lacks standing to bring the claims alleged.
26	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	The court should abstain from determining complex issues of water law and
28	administrative prioritization, or refer the matter to the State Water Board. (Wat. Code, §§ 2000

1	2001; Fleming v. Bennett (1941) 18 Cal.2d 518.)	
2	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
3	Petitioner has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies.	1 7 1 1
4	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5	Petitioner has failed to exhaust its nonadministrative remedies.	
6	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	\$ \$
7	Petitioner has failed to join necessary or indispensable parties.	
8	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	Petitioner's claims against the State Water Board are not ripe.	
10::	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
11	Petitioners, by their own actions and conduct, waived any claims they may ha	ve had
12	against the State Water Board.	
13	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	The claims against the State Water Board seek an advisory opinion. Petitione	r's claims
15	against the State Water Board are moot, or will of necessity become moot before relie	ef could be
16	granted.	
17	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18	Petitioners, by their own conduct, are estopped from complaining of the cond	uct of the
19	State Water Board.	
20	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
21	Petitioners' claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.	
22	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	Petitioners' claims are barred because they have an adequate remedy at law, d	irectly
24	against the City of Ventura.	
25	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26	The Court and the State Water Board have concurrent jurisdiction over claims	s of illegal
27	water use by Ventura. By bringing an action against Ventura before the Court, Petiti	oner has
28	abandoned or forfeited any relief available from the State Water Board.	i Bornes

		Dated: May 13, 2015	Respectfully Submitted,
	2		KAMALA D. HARRIS
	3		Attorney General of California GAVIN G. MCCABE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
	4		Supervising Deputy Attorney General JONATHAN WIENER Deputy Attorney General
	5		A .
	6		Matter G. Bullate
	7		1 miles or owner
	8		MATTHEW G. BULLOCK Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent State Water Resources Control Board
	9		State Water Resources Control Board
þ.	10	SF2014902766 41292235.doc	
	12	41292233,000	
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 GAVIN G. MCCABE Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 JONATHAN WIENER, SBN 265006 MATTHEW G. BULLOCK, SBN 243377 4 Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-1678 Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Matthew.Bullock@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent State Water Resources Control Board 8	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	OUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10 IN AND FOR CITY AND C	OUNT FOR SAN FRANCISCO	
SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER,	Case No. CPF-14-513875	
Plaintiffs and Petitioners, V	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE	
V. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD,	Dept: 302 Judge: Hon. Ernest H. Goldsmith Action Filed: Sept. 19, 2014	
Respondent,		
CITY OF BUENAVENTURA,		
Defendant.		
19 20		
21:	he Office of the Attorney General, which is the	
office of a member of the California State Bar,	at which member's direction this service is made.	
I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to		
On May 13, 2015, I electronically served	On May 13, 2015, I electronically served 1) Answer of Respondent State Water Resources	
Control Board, via File & ServeXpress on the 1	Control Board, via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt	
located on the File & ServeXpress website.	located on the File & ServeXpress website.	
27		
28		

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on May 13, 2015, at San Francisco, California.

MATTHEW G. BULLOCK

SF2014902766 41127822.doc