1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California			
2	Myung J. Park			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
4	MATTHEW G. BULLOCK (SBN 243377)			
. 4	MARC N. MELNICK (SBN 168187) Deputy Attorneys General			
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor			
6	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550			
	Telephone: (510) 879-0750			
7	Fax: (510) 622-2270			
8	E-mail: Marc.Melnick@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent and Intervenor State			
9	Water Resources Control Board			
9	Eric M. Katz			
10	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
11	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER (SBN 217556) Deputy Attorney General			
134345	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702			
12	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6343			
13	Fax: (213) 897-2802	t _a		
14	E-mail: Noah.GoldenKrasner@doj.ca.gov	o.f.		
14	Attorneys for Intervenor California Department Fish and Wildlife	oj		
15				
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
17	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
18				
10				
19	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER,	Case No. 19STCP01176		
20	201 or sc			
21	Petitioner,	SWRCB AND CDFW'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		
22	v.	STATEMENT AND OBJECTION TO CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA'S		
23	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL	SCIENCE DAY PRESENTATION		
	BOARD, a California State Agency; CITY	Date: January 30, 2020		
24	OF BUENAVENTURA, a California municipal corporation,	Time: 8:30 a.m.		
25	municipal corporation,	Dept.: 10 Judge: Honorable W. Highberger		
	Respondents.	Trial Date: None Set		
26		Action Filed: September 19, 2014		
27	CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a			
28	California municipal corporation,	7		

1.

Cross-Complainant,

v.

DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual; et al.,

Cross-Defendants.

Respondent and intervenor State Water Resources Control Board (the "SWRCB") and intervenor California Department of Fish and Wildlife ("CDFW") respectfully request that the Court postpone the currently scheduled "science day" and require cross-complainant City of San Buenaventura (the "City") to maintain its commitment to develop a science day presentation that is neutral, noncontroversial, agreed upon by all parties, and ultimately of assistance to the Court.

As the Court will remember, at the November 21, 2019 case management conference, the Court required the parties to meet and confer after the SWRCB had expressed concerns about the science day being adversarial and an attempt by the City to prejudge the Court's evaluation of the merits of this case. The parties did meet and confer, which led to two postings on File & ServeXpress (on December 3 and 4, 2019, and attached) by the City's counsel that described the parties' agreement as to the science day. Critically, the parties agreed that "the presentation should be technical and neutral" and that "Settling Consumptive Users, State and Channelkeeper will agree upon the materials [provided to the Court] prior to the presentation." (See also Notice of Ruling Regarding Status Conference, filed Dec. 9, 2019 [filed by the City].) Further, CDFW informed the City that it may need to present to the Court as well on the science day, but that it would wait until it could review the City's proposed presentation before deciding whether or not an additional presentation was necessary. The parties stated their agreement on the record at the December 5, 2019 case management conference, which led the Court to set the current date for the science day. At the December case management conference, the parties also discussed whether the Court preferred the science day presenters to be attorneys only, or whether the Court

5 6 7

9

8

11 12

10

13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

was open to hearing directly from consultants and experts; the Court said it was open to hearing from anyone the parties wanted to present, and specifically said that CDFW was welcome to have its own experts make a presentation.

The parties' agreement required the City to provide the consumptive users' presentation by January 9, 2020. (See Notice of Ruling Regarding Status Conference.) Not knowing whether or how the other parties would need to supplement the City's presentation, no deadline was set for them to circulate comments or responsive presentation materials. (Id.) Consistent with that agreement, the City provided its two draft power point presentations and thirteen documents (totaling thousands of pages) to the parties on January 9, 2020. The City did not ask for comments by a particular date, but the parties knew the presentations were due to the Court on January 27, 2020. On January 16, 2020, the SWRCB suggested a slide be added to one of the presentations and informed the City that more comments would be coming. On January 21, 2020, the day after the long holiday weekend, counsel for the City agreed to add that slide, and told others that counsel "would appreciate any [additional] comments by the end of Wednesday so I can get feedback from the other consumptive users on Thursday, and discuss them with you on Friday in case we have any issues." The next day, on January 22, 2020, the SWRCB provided its additional comments, some of which requested additional slides but many of which made minor suggestions as to wording to ensure accuracy and completeness. CDFW also informed the parties that rather than providing comments it would make a presentation of its own, as it had raised as a possibility as early as November 2019; the City requested CDFW's draft materials be provided by the close of business the next day (January 23, 2020), which CDFW had already said it would do.

Then, abruptly, mid-afternoon on Wednesday, January 22, 2020, the City changed course and informed the parties that it would be submitting its presentations "as is" to the Court. The City said, "We do not have to time to work through the additional comments and DFW PowerPoint with our four experts, talk with the other consumptive users, and then work with you on the resolution of any differences in the short time remaining." CDFW, the SWRCB, and petitioner Santa Barbara Channelkeeper suggested that, if the City is pressed for time, the better course of action would be to postpone the science day so that the parties could work through the

1 issues without pressure and in a spirit of cooperation. The City has so far refused. 2 The science day the Court requested is supposed to be technical, neutral, and agreed upon 3 by the parties. It is not supposed to be adversarial or pit experts against each other. Yet the 4 City's draft presentations contain ambiguities, omissions, and inaccuracies that the SWRCB 5 identified in its comments to the City. The SWRCB and CDFW are optimistic that the parties can 6 work through the issues they have raised and develop a science day that everyone can agree to. 7 We understood that the Court's intent was not to wade through factual controversies at this stage 8 of this case. 9 There is no immediate rush to hold this science day. The case is currently stayed. The City 10 has just mailed out its notices to the thousands of landowners, and those cross-defendants need to 11 file form answers and provide initial disclosures. That is why the Court set the next case 12 management conference in June 2020. 13 The SWRCB and CDFW respectfully request that the Court reset this science day for 14 March or April 2020, and reiterate its order that the parties agree on the science day presentations 15 before they are provided to the Court. If the Court is in a position to rule on this request 16 sufficiently in advance of the case management conference scheduled for January 30, 2020, the 17 City may be prevented from prematurely providing its draft presentations to the Court. If the City 18 files its presentations anyway, the Court could strike that filing and require refiling once all 19 111 20 111 21 111 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 /// 26 111 2.7 /// 28 ///

1	parties have agreed on the presentations' conten	t.
2	Dated: January 23, 2020	Respectfully Submitted,
3		XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
5		MYUNG J. PARK Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6		Man A
7		vyau V
8 9		Marc N. Melnick Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Respondent and Intervenor State Water Resources Control Board
10	RI CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO	Eric M. Katz
11		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12		Man
13		to√ Noah Golden-Krasner
14		Fo√ NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Intervenor California Department of Fish and Wildlife
15	CF2014002766	Department of Fish and Witalije
16	SF2014902766 obj to science day.docx	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Marc Melnick

From:

FileAndServeXpress < MessageBoardNotification@secure-mail.fileandservexpress.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, December 3, 2019 1:51 PM

To:

Marc Melnick

Subject:

New Posting: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board et

al

To: Melnick, Marc, Attorney General Office CA-Oakland

Subject: New Message Board Posting

Message Board Name: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board et al

Subject: Status Conference Update

Message Text: Counsel for City of San Buenaventura ("City"), Meiners Oaks Water District, Ventura River Water District, State Water Resources Control Board, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Santa Barbara Channelkeeper ("Channelkeeper") met and conferred by telephone and e-mail several times. Counsel for City has not had the opportunity to communicate with each of the Settling Consumptive Users so some parties may have different views. Here is the Settling Consumptive Users proposal to address the differences:

- 1. Settling Consumptive Users agree that the presentation should be technical and neutral.
- 2. Settling Consumptive Users will provide (i) background materials, (ii) the PowerPoint presentations and outlines of any scripts, and (iii) names of the experts to all parties and the Court three weeks in advance of the Court date.
- 3. Settling Consumptive Users, State and Channelkeeper will agree upon the materials in (3) above prior to the presentation.
- 4. All parties will agree on the record that statements and questions of experts or attorneys shall not be used as evidence or impeachment in any proceeding in this case.
- 5. Settling Consumptive Users request that presentation take place in late January.

The parties request the Court's guidance on any remaining areas of disagreement.

A new message has been posted to a message board to which you are a member of. To view this message, click on the following link:

 $https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__secure.fileandservexpress.com_MessageBoard_ShowPost.aspx-3FPostID-$

3D29293&d=DwlGaQ&c=uASjV29gZuJt5_5J5CPRuQ&r=fxcevG8X2KlHXH8KOP7a2TU8cwzuGdckPm_A9ukaX70&m=rx4PD JxYMp57MU2dCbDb827R5Ins_OzcJNAJNUHNVcQ&s=uuPsBTwAxgoLvaVVaYoWPvGuprAF5SJy1WiV36Rr_ml&e=

NOTE: This message has been automatically generated. Replies to this message will not be monitored. Questions? For prompt, courteous assistance please contact File & ServeXpress Client Support by phone at 1-888-529-7587 (24/7).

Marc Melnick

From:

FileAndServeXpress < MessageBoardNotification@secure-mail.fileandservexpress.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, December 4, 2019 2:32 PM

To:

Marc Melnick

Subject:

New Posting: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board et

al

To: Melnick, Marc, Attorney General Office CA-Oakland

Subject: New Message Board Posting

Message Board Name: Santa Barbara Channelkeeper vs State Water Resources Control Board et al

Subject: RE: RE: Status Conference Update

Message Text: Judge Highberger,

We should be able to conclude the presentation in 1/2 day. January 30 in the morning or January 31 in the morning or afternoon are convenient.

Also, based on my e-mails with Marc Melnick for the Water Board, two corrections to my posting yesterday are indicated below:

- 2. Settling Consumptive Users will provide (i) background materials, (ii) the PowerPoint presentations and outlines of any scripts, and (iii) names of the experts to all parties [DELETED: and the Court] three weeks in advance of the Court date [ADDED: and file it with the Court three days in advance of the Court date.]
- 3. Settling Consumptive Users, State and Channelkeeper will agree upon the materials in (changed 3 to 2) above prior to the presentation.

Thank you,

Gene Tanaka

A new message has been posted to a message board to which you are a member of. To view this message, click on the following link:

 $https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__secure.fileandservexpress.com_MessageBoard_ShowPost.aspx-3FPostID-$

 $3D29306\&d=DwlGaQ\&c=uASjV29gZuJt5_5J5CPRuQ\&r=fxcevG8X2KlHXH8KOP7a2TU8cwzuGdckPm_A9ukaX70\&m=JnnGNyNgQoL81mx8MGVsCuycQgsx2EGE2GvhHSffNCY\&s=9YUkG5IM7-6lJ-Ylt5_LNkt-1b8nh1MVyFqNJVmdROw&e=$

NOTE: This message has been automatically generated. Replies to this message will not be monitored. Questions? For prompt, courteous assistance please contact File & ServeXpress Client Support by phone at 1-888-529-7587 (24/7).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper

v. State Water Board

No. **19STCP01176**

I hereby certify that on <u>January 23, 2020</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the File & ServeXpress system:

SWRCB AND CDFW'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND OBJECTION TO CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA'S SCIENCE DAY PRESENTATION

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered File & ServeXpress users and that service will be accomplished by the File & ServeXpress system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>January 23, 2020</u>, at Oakland, California.

Kelinda Crenshaw

/s/ Kelinda Crenshaw

Declarant

Signature

SF2014902766 91209468.docx

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name:

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. State Water Board

Case No.:

19STCP01176

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter; my business address is 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612-0550.

On January 23, 2020, I served the attached

SWRCB AND CDFW'S CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT AND OBJECTION TO CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA'S SCIENCE DAY PRESENTATION

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail at Oakland, California, addressed as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on January 23, 2020, at Oakland, California.

Kelinda Crenshaw	/s/ Kelinda Crenshaw	
Declarant	Signature	

SF2014902766 91209407.docx

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. State Water Resources Control Board San Francisco Superior Court No. CPF -14-513875 Service List for Non-appearing Cross-Defendants As of March 8, 2019

Duncan Abbott P.O. Box 1322

Carpinteria, CA 93014-1322

DeWayne Boccali 3277 Ojai Avenue Ojai, CA 93023

Dwayne Bower 970 Boardman Road Ojai, CA 93023

James Burgess 356 Carne Road Ojai, CA 93023

Kevin Clark & Lisa Clark 715 El Toro Road Ojai, CA 93023

Michael Cromer 3310 Maricopa Highway Ojai, CA 93023

Essick Farm Management Company, LLC 2955 Hermitage Road Ojai, CA 93023

James Finch 900 Orange Road Ojai, CA 93023

Wayne Francis 160 Oak Glen Avenue Ojai, CA 93023

John Galaska 909 Drown Avenue Ojai, CA 93023

Gridley Road Water Group 487 Gridley Road Ojai, CA 93023 Asquith Family Ltd. 910 Mercer Avenue Ojai, CA 93023

Casitas Mutual Water Company c/o Margaret Aldrich, Agent for Service of Process 8239 Edison Drive Ventura, CA 93001

Lou Tomesetta 2865 Ladera Road Ojai, CA 93023

Rebecca Collins & Thomas Collins 241 Longhorn Road Ojai, CA 93023

Robert C. Davis, Jr. 1380 Gridley Road Ojai, CA 93023

Etchart Ranch 3310 Maricopa Highway Ojai, CA 93023

Flying H. Ranch, Inc c/o Joseph Yung, Agent for Service of Process 10221 Slater Avenue, Suite 101 Fountain Valley, CA 92708

J & G Trust 1060 Shokat Drive Ojai, CA 93023

Jurgen Gramckow 12401 Ranch Road Ojai, CA 93023

Stephanie Gustafson 811 Saddle Lane Ojai, CA 93023

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. State Water Resources Control Board San Francisco Superior Court No. CPF -14-513875 Service List for Non-appearing Cross-Defendants As of March 8, 2019

Hermitage Mutual Water Company

2955 Hermitage Road Ojai, CA 93023

Stephen Huyler 10 Sea Street

Camden, ME 04843

Brett Kantrowitz & Denise Kantrowitz

2133 McNell Road Ojai, CA 93023

Tim Krout 230 Saddle Lane Ojai, CA 93023

Lutheran Church of the Holy Cross of Ojai

c/o Wayne Francis, Agent for Service of Process 160 Oak Glen Avenue

Ojai, CA 93023

Jeffrey Luttrull 283 Carne Road Ojai, CA 93023

Stephen Mitchell 140 Longhorn Lane Ojai, CA 93023

Ojai Water Conservation District 417 Bryant Circle, Suite 112 Ojai, CA 93023

Rancho de Cielo Mutual Water Company 763 Haverford Avenue Pacific Palisade, CA 90272

Rincon Water and Road Works 6500 Casitas Pass Road Ventura, CA 93001 Dorothy Homes

16350 Maricopa Highway

Ojai, CA 93023

Cheryl Jensen 901 Shaddle Lane Ojai, CA 93023

Jerry Kenton

1113 Serenidad Place Oakview, CA 93022

Betina La Plante P.O. Box 67

Ojai, CA 93023-0067

Scott Luttenberg 1138 Mariano Drive Ojai, CA 93023

Frederick Menninger & Margaret Menninger

1710 Ladera Road Ojai, CA 93023

Bill Moses

1352 Foothill Road Ojai, CA 93023

Old Creek Road Mutual Water Company

9940 Old Creek Road Ventura, CA 93001

William Rusin 229 McNell Road Ojai, CA 93023

Mark Saleh

10586 W. Pico Boulevard #111 Los Angeles, CA 90064

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. State Water Resources Control Board San Francisco Superior Court No. CPF -14-513875 Service List for Non-appearing Cross-Defendants As of March 8, 2019

Sisar Mutual Water Company c/o Rodney Edward Thompson, Agent for Service 12264 Topa Lane Santa Paula, CA 93060 Andrew Stasse 4510 Grand Avenue Ojai, CA 93023

Victor Timar 13877 Polk Street Sylmar, CA 91342 Ernesto Vega 400 Gorham Road Ojai, CA 93023