300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 9-0071

EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103

CONFORMED COP ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

JUL 19 2021

Sharri R. Carrier, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 19STCP01176

Judge: Hon. William F. Highberger

PROPOSING PARTIES' REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO DRAFT PROPOSED PHYSICAL SOLUTION

July 19, 2021

3:00 p.m.

Sept. 19, 2014

Feb. 14, 2022

-1-

REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO DRAFT PROPOSED PHYSICAL SOLUTION

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Court's order at the July 6, 2021 status conference, the City of San Buenaventura (City), Ventura River Water District, Meiners Oaks Water District, the Wood-Claeyssens Foundation, and the Rancho Matilija Mutual Water Company (collectively, Proposing Parties) submit the following reply to the objections to the draft proposed physical solution (PPS) filed by (1) Casitas Municipal Water District (Casitas), (2) Claude R. and Patricia E. Baggerly (Baggerly), (3) East Ojai Group, (4) State Water Resources Control Board and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (State Agencies), (5) the Whitman parties (Whitman), (6) Jeffrey S. Bacon as trustee of the Villa Nero Trust (Villa Nero Trust), and (7) Gregg and Rosanna Garrison (Garrisons).

At this time, the Proposing Parties are not asking the Court to take action on the PPS or to resolve any issues discussed in this reply. Rather, the lodgment of the PPS and the comments submitted illustrate the vital need for the PPS and demonstrate for the Court how this case could be resolved, without the need and expense of completing a comprehensive adjudication of water rights, a costly process that can take decades, by providing an adaptive management physical solution to address the challenges that the Ventura River Watershed is currently facing without curtailing water usage. The Proposing Parties recognize that the PPS is in draft form, subject to further negotiation and refinement prior to trial, and they continue to work with parties that are willing to reasonably negotiate. The Proposing Parties continue to make suggested changes based on that meet and confer process. Nevertheless, the Proposing Parties remain steadfast in their belief that a local solution, preferably one that maximizes consensus and substantial stakeholder support, is the best way to protect the Watershed and those who depend on it in compliance with the California Constitution and the public trust doctrine. Accordingly, the Proposing Parties wish to continue to meet and confer with interested parties in order to improve the PPS.

II. REPLY TO OBJECTIONS BY CATEGORY

A. Cost Allocation/Assessment

Casitas and the East Ojai Group object to the cost allocations in the PPS. Casitas specifically objects to its 56.5% allocation for advanced costs whereas the East Ojai group objects 82470.00018\34203652.1

to the equalization of cost allocations among surface water diverters and groundwater producers.

The PPS specifically recognizes, at page 67, footnote 13, that the percent allocation to Casitas reflects its groundwater and surface water production, some of which is delivered to the City, Meiners Oaks Water District, and Ventura River Water District, among others. The PPS recognizes that further negotiations with Casitas are necessary to ensure an equitable distribution of the costs associated with this water that Casitas diverts from the Watershed but then delivers to others for further distribution and/or use. The Proposing Parties welcome further meet and confer efforts with Casitas on this point, as expressly reflected in the draft PPS in footnote 13.

The cost allocation in the PPS reflects the fact that the problems the PPS addresses are Watershed challenges and that everyone has a role to play in improving the conditions in the Watershed. The most efficient way to raise the funds necessary to pay for the implementation of the measures required to face these Watershed challenges is to share the costs among all that directly take water from the Watershed above a de minimis level. Indirect water users, e.g., City and Casitas customers, would similarly contribute monetarily to the solutions through their water rates. Water use is a proxy for contribution; it does not constitute a traditional assessment or groundwater charge.

Casitas and the East Ojai Group appear to misread the purpose and the need for the funding necessary to address the problems in the Watershed. This case is not "primarily a groundwater adjudication action" as Casitas suggests. The problems in the Watershed are, in large part, a result of the significant changes that human improvements made to the Watershed to provide both surface and groundwater for the benefit of everyone in the Watershed. The East Ojai Group objects under the incorrect assumption that the PPS needs to carve out individual cost determinations per party based on individual effect on Watershed or even individual effect on the fishery. This would be highly costly, burdensome, and inefficient and is not supported by the law, which provides that courts can impose reasonable costs on the parties in order to fund the physical solution, including, e.g., uniform, flat, and/or volumetric costs. (See *Tulare Irrigation District v. Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District* (1935) 3 Cal.2d 489, 574; *Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases* (2021) 62 Cal.App.5th 992, 1021.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Again, this is a Watershed-wide problem for which a Watershed-wide solution is required. In the absence of a physical solution that addresses, avoids, and mitigates the material adverse impacts on instream uses, limitations on all consumptive uses may be required. The Court of Appeal has already confirmed this reality, recognizing that the City is not the sole user of the Ventura River and is not solely responsible for its health, when it allowed the City to name other interested parties in this lawsuit. (Santa Barbara Channelkeeper v. City of San Buenaventura (2018) 19 Cal. App. 5th 1176, 1193-94.) California Courts will not allow reasonable physical solutions to die a "death by a thousand cuts" by entertaining arguments from and excluding individual parties who claim that their usage is minimal and not properly subject to the Court's jurisdiction. (See Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (2020) 59 Cal. App. 5th 241, 272.)

The funding mechanism in the PPS also includes several provisions to provide parties additional funding options and to maintain fairness over time. De Minimis users are exempted from the assessment. Parties subject to the assessment may propose measures that they will selfperform to obtain credits against the assessment. The assessment will be re-evaluated regularly. The parties can seek to use other funding options—such as a parcel tax—to fund the costs of the PPS. The parties are also encouraged to seek grants or other third-party sources of funding to reduce the assessment. The PPS therefore includes options and incentives to reduce the costs to individual parties and to encourage fairness.

The Proposing Parties contend that the existing cost allocation is a fair way to distribute these costs and address this Watershed issue. However, as noted above, the Proposing Parties remain open to meeting and conferring on these issues.

В. Management Committee (Representation and Voting)

Casitas, the East Ojai Group, and the State Agencies object to voting protocols and Management Committee composition. As set forth in the PPS at Section 7.2, the Court establishes and will oversee the Management Committee, which will be an arm of the Court. It would consist of five public and private entity representative voting members as well as ex officio members representing the County of Ventura, environmental interests, and the groundwater management entities established under the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. The 82470.00018\34203652.1

Court could remove any Management Committee member not acting in the interests of the Watershed/physical solution. The voting requirements of the PPS, set forth in section 7.7.3.4, shall prioritize consensus decision-making, with weighted majority voting only required when a consensus cannot be reached.

The Management Committee is not a separate regulatory agency, nor is it duplicative. It is an arm of the Court and serves to resolve principally technical issues that require administration. It will bring related decisions under one roof and provide certainty where regulatory agencies have left uncertainty and numerous layers of bureaucracy as set forth in the East Ojai Group brief at pages 2:9 through 3:11. A Court-sanctioned Management Committee will cut through the red tape. Rather than duplicating existing efforts or creating another level of government, the Management Committee, with the Court's continuing oversight, will glue together and help facilitate at a Watershed level the various efforts of other agencies and parties with a legally enforceable solution.

C. <u>Instream Flows and Adaptive Management</u>

The State Agencies claim that the PPS "provides absolutely no new improvements to water flow in the Ventura River watershed." This is not correct. The PPS includes express provisions with respect to flow at Section 7.3.5. This section requires the maintenance of historical flow at three specific locations—Foster Park, San Antonio Creek, and North Fork Matilija Creek. At Foster Park, the City will implement the specific flow protocols set forth on pages 53-56 of the PPS. With regard to San Antonio Creek and North Fork Matilija Creek, the PPS requires that the Management Plan identify historical flow conditions and measures to prevent the degradation of such flow at these two locations. (PPS at 56.) As described on page 57 of the PPS, the Management Plan will also provide for voluntary water management measures as well as future measures, subject to Watershed conditions, to maintain and, if feasible, enhance base flows to improve habitat conditions for fishery.

Relatedly, Baggerly claims that the PPS lacks adaptive management policies. PPS Section 7.3 mandates adaptive management as a required element under the Management Plan to be prepared under the PPS. Adaptive management will also mandate that the Management Plan 82470.00018\34203652.1

be frequently updated. (PPS § 7.7.3.3) Additionally, the Court will retain continuing jurisdiction to admit new evidence and adjust the final physical solution over time to address a change in circumstances in the form of adaptive management. (PPS § 9.2.) Rather than lacking adaptive management policies, adaptive management is a fundamental part and key mandate of the PPS. Moreover, in point of fact, adaptive management is the point of this Court maintaining continuing jurisdiction over the subject matter. For nearly 100 years, courts have capably managed adjudicated areas and physical solutions to address new facts and circumstances as they arise.

D. <u>Habitat Improvement, Good Condition, and Management Plan</u>

The State Agencies comment that the habitat management projects to improve the Watershed do not have sufficient detail; that obtaining "good condition" of the fishery does not have a sufficient timeline or measurement objectives; and that the Management Plan needs to be drafted now rather than after the Court enters a physical solution.

The PPS provides specific details and timelines; provides quantitative and qualitative metrics for measuring and achieving good condition of the fishery; and will maximize the effectiveness of the Management Plan, which will be developed by the Court-authorized Management Committee and approved by the Court, rather than prematurely developed by a limited number of parties.

The PPS must be implemented to move the conditions in the Watershed from the baseline conditions toward good condition, and implementation will occur in three phases with defined timelines. (PPS § 7.4.) The first phase is 18 months, during which time the Management Committee will be established and funded, and the Management Plan will be developed and presented to the Court for approval. (PPS § 7.4.1.) It also provides flow management measures, specific habitat improvement requirements, and monitoring during this period. The second phase is 10 years and provides fishery management actions, additional habitat improvements, and continued monitoring and reporting requirements, as well as Management Plan revision as necessary. (PPS § 7.4.2.) The final phase consists of ten year periods of adaptive management, including Management Plan update and re-adoption as necessary, ultimately resulting in good condition of the fishery. (PPS § 7.4.3.)

The PPS requires the Management Plan to provide detailed criteria to be used to define and measure what constitutes a healthy fishery and good condition in the Watershed at the individual, population, and community levels or tiers, including qualitative assessment based on the weight of the evidence (evidence which includes both qualitative and quantitative metrics) to make a final assessment of the condition of the fishery. (PPS § 7.3.3.)

With respect to the Management Plan, the Proposing Parties maintain that all parties, with the structure of the PPS in place, will have an opportunity to work on the Management Plan with the Management Committee, and that it is more appropriate to have more parties weigh in on its development.

E. <u>Uncontrollable Conditions</u>

The East Ojai Group complains that PPS Section 7.6 does not directly address agricultural uses. Section 7.6 provides that "an amount of reasonable and beneficial consumptive use of water from the Watershed is necessary to sustain existing human populations and uses and to implement the human right to water." Uncontrollable Conditions include "the need to provide an amount of reasonable and beneficial consumptive use of water from the Watershed," which would include agricultural uses. The Proposing Parties are willing to revise this section to explicitly clarify what is implicitly stated.

F. Overdraft

Whitman alleges that a threshold finding of overdraft must be made before a physical solution can be entered (Whitman Br. at 4:9-18). This is not the law. The physical solution doctrine precedes "overdraft" and adjudication of groundwater rights. It is a product of accommodating conflicts among junior and senior right holders to manage their actions to enable the optimization of beneficial use. (*Peabody v. City of Vallejo* (1935) 2 Cal.2d 351, 383; *Rancho Santa Margarita v. Vail* (1938) 11 Cal.2d 501, 560.) All water right holders may be required to modify their actions to accommodate other beneficial users where their rights are not materially impaired. (*Tulare Irrigation District v. Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District* (1935) 3 Cal.2d 489.)

Even in groundwater adjudications, Courts have expressly held that physical solutions can be entered without a showing of overdraft. (*City of Santa Maria v. Adam* (2012) 211 Cal.App.4th 266 ["Santa Maria Adjudication"].) In the Santa Maria Adjudication, after initial phases of the case determined the basin boundaries and determined that the basin was not then in overdraft, the majority of the parties reached a stipulated judgment and physical solution. Following the guidance of the Supreme Court in the Mojave adjudication, cited *infra*, one of the remaining phases of the trial considered and determined the water rights of two landowner groups that did not agree to the physical solution. In 2008, the trial court entered the judgment and physical solution, which was ultimately upheld on appeal. (*Id.* at 288-289.) This was all done in the absence of a condition of overdraft.

G. Fire

The Proposing Parties appreciate the Garrisons' comments that the uses of water for fire prevention and fire suppression are reasonable and beneficial uses of water. The Proposing Parties are willing to discuss specific language with the Garrisons that could be incorporated into the PPS.

H. Proponents of the PPS

The Whitman brief contains minimal discussion about the actual substance of the PPS and instead makes unrelated and unfounded allegations against the City. The main objection to the PPS appears to be an objection to the City as the proponent of the PPS. This is not accurate. The PPS is being proposed by five parties, Cross-Complainant City, as well as Cross-Defendants the Ventura River Water District, Meiners Oaks Water District, the Wood-Claeyssens Foundation, and the Rancho Matilija Mutual Water Company. These parties are diverse public and private entities, have different reasonable and beneficial uses and interests (including municipal/domestic and agricultural), and are located in different parts of the Watershed (spanning from the lower Watershed at the City of Ventura, up through Meiners Oaks, and toward Matilija Dam), all of which is reflective of the fact that the Watershed is a shared resource that can and should be cooperatively managed. Numerous other parties have also stipulated to the PPS, and it is the hope of the Proposing Parties, particularly after the Phase 1 trial when the extent of the Watershed 82470.00018/34203652.1

- 8 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and the adjudication is confirmed, that many more parties will view the PPS as a reasonable and cost-effective means to avoid further litigation and improve the Watershed for the benefit of the region as a whole.

I. Scope of Action, Reservation of Rights, and Continuing Jurisdiction

To make its argument above about cost allocations, Casitas mischaracterizes this adjudication as "primarily a groundwater adjudication action." (Casitas Br. at 2:7.) This is incorrect as demonstrated in the City's Third Amended Cross-Complaint and the PPS. Both the operative cross-complaint and the PPS make clear that this is an adjudication of the Ventura River Watershed, which includes the surface water of the Ventura River and its tributaries and contributing underflow (legally surface water) as well as the four interconnected groundwater basins (legally distinct percolating groundwater). (See PPS at 2 and Third Amended Cross-Complaint at ¶ 1.) Similarly, Garrisons object to the scope of a Watershed-wide adjudication and claim there is no precedent for such an adjudication. This is incorrect. Several California water adjudications have involved stream systems and their interconnected groundwater basins such as the Mojave adjudication (City of Barstow v. Mojave Water Agency (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1224) and the San Fernando adjudication (Los Angeles v. San Fernando (1975) 14 Cal.3d 199). Indeed, it makes sense from a judicial economy perspective for hydrologically interconnected water resources subject to dispute to be adjudicated in a single action. Before imposing the PPS, the Court will have to make certain findings, including under Code of Civil Procedure Section 850(a), a draft of which is included in the PPS and is currently redacted per request of the parties and by order of the Court.

Casitas, Whitman, Villa Nero, and Baggerly take issue with the reservation of unresolved water rights claims in the PPS, and Baggerly takes issues with the Court retaining continuing jurisdiction. These two issues are related. The reservation of claims objection appears rooted in the concept that the reservation of water rights is solely a benefit to the City. However, the PPS preserves all parties' water rights in that none is adjudicated, restricted, or curtailed. (See PPS at 2:16-17 and Section 3.2.) The PPS would protect the exercise of not just any water rights claims by the City but all riparian and appropriative claims to the River, as well as all overlying and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

appropriative claims in the groundwater basins, claims that often involve difficult issues concerning seniority and priority. Everyone's rights are preserved because no rights are being defined or adjudicated. No one is giving up his/her/its rights nor are those rights imperiled. The PPS protects all users and uses in the Watershed while improving the Watershed for the benefit of the fishery and the public trust.

As noted above, trial courts generally *must* maintain continuing jurisdiction to determine the physical solution's effectiveness and to order any necessary adjustments. (See *Peabody v.* City of Vallejo (1935) 2 Cal.2d 351, 380; Code Civ. Proc., § 852.) "The trial court should by its judgment preserve its continuing jurisdiction to change or modify its orders and decree as occasion may require." (City of Lodi v. East Bay Municipal Utility Dist. (1936) 7 Cal.2d 316, 344.) Retaining jurisdiction allows a trial court to consider how the physical solution is working, permits the future exercise of the court's equity powers, and helps in "carrying out the policy inherent in the water law of this state to utilize all water available." (Allen v. Cal. Water and Telephone Co. (1946) 29 Cal.2d 466, 488.) Continuing jurisdiction also alleviates the need to address all issues at one time and allows issues to be deferred for future decision when they are ripe and necessary for resolution. (City of Santa Maria v. Adam (2019) 43 Cal.App.5th 152,163-165.) This confirms that water rights issues need not be tried at this time, and that they can and should be reserved under the Court's continuing jurisdiction. The City has undergone significant time and expense to bring all interested parties into this case to ensure a comprehensive solution is achieved. The Proposing Parties assert that the PPS provides this solution, and would largely resolve the litigation, without the need to define water rights.

III. CONCLUSION

The Proposing Parties appreciate the opportunity to have lodged with the Court their PPS, which could potentially spare the Court, the parties, and the public of the need and expense of completing a comprehensive adjudication of water rights. The Proposing Parties also appreciate the comments submitted in response thereto and welcome further meet and confer efforts to refine and improve the PPS before ultimately seeking the Court's approval thereof.

Dated: July 19, 2021 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

SHAV N D. HAGERTY CHRISTOPHER MARK PISANO SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY PATRICK D. SKAHAN Attorneys for Respondent and Cross-Complainant CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the action herein; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390, Walnut Creek, CA 94596. On July 19, 2021, I served the following document(s):

PROPOSING PARTIES' REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO DRAFT PROPOSED PHYSICAL SOLUTION

- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Walnut Creek, California addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.
- I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by United Parcel Service following the firm's ordinary business practices.
- by transmission via **E-Service to File & ServeXpress** to the person(s) set forth below. Local Rules of Court 2.10 (P).
- By e-mail or electronic transmission. I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail addresses listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

Daniel Cooper	Matthew Bullock
Sycamore Law	Deputy Attorney General
1004 O'Reilly Ave.	California Department of Justice
San Francisco CA 94129	Natural Resources Law Section
Tel: (415) 360-2962	455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000
daniel@sycamore.law	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
	Tel: (415) 510-3376
	matthew.bullock@doj.ca.gov

Attorneys for Petitioner and Plaintiff
Santa Barbara Channelkeeper

Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant State
Water Resources Control Board

Marc N. Melnick Eric M. Katz Deputy Attorney General Supervising Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office Noah Golden – Krasner 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Deputy Attorney General P.O. Box 70550 Carol Boyd Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Deputy Attorney General Tel: 510-879-0750 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Marc.melnick@doj.ca.gov Los Angeles, CA 90013 Tel. (213) 269-6343 Fax (213) 897-2802 Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant State Eric.Katz@doj.ca.gov Water Resources Control Board

Noah.goldenrasner@doj.ca.gov Carol.boyd@doj.ca.gov

-1-

1	
2	
3	Edward J. Casey
4	Gina Angiolollo Alston & Bird LLP
5	333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: 213.576.1000
6	ed.casey@alston.com gina.angiolillo@alston.com
7	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants AGR
8	Breeding, Inc.; Bentley Family Limited Partnership; and Southern California Edison
9	Company
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	1

Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor California Department of Fish & Wildlife

Ryan Blatz Blatz Law Firm 206 N. Signal St. Suite G Ojai, CA 93023 Tel: (805) 646-3110 blatzlawfirm@gmail.com ryan@ryanblatzlaw.com

Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Troy Becker and Jeri Becker; Janet Boulton; Michael Boulton; Michael Caldwell; Joseph Peter Clark, successor in interest to the Joseph Clark and Linda Epstein Family Trust; Linda Louise Epstein, successor in interest to the Joseph Clark and Linda Epstein Family Trust; Michael I. Cromer and Jody D. Cromer; Michel A. Etchart, Trustee of the Michel A. Etchart Separate Property Trust, and Mark W. Etchart, Trustee of the Mark W. Etchart Sepertate Property Trust; Lawrence Hartmann; Ole Konig; Krotona Institute of Theosophy; Stephen Michtell and Kathleen Reid Mitchell, Trustees of the Stephen Mitchell and Byron Katie Trust; North Fork Springs Mutual Water Company; Stephen Robert Smith, Trustee of the Charles R. Rudd and Lola L. Rudd Trust, dated May 20, 2976; Shlomo Raz; Sylvia Raz; Senior Canyon Mutual Water Company; Siete Robles Mutual Water Company; Soule Park Golf Course, Ltd.; Telos, LLC; Victor C. Timar, Jr. Trustee of the Timar Family Trust; John Town; Trudie Town; Asquith Family Limited Partnership, Ltd.; Burgess Ranch; Cary Cheldin; Cynthia Daniels; Wayne Francis; David Friend; The Larry & Pat Hartmann Family Trust; The John N. Hartmann Trust; Gary Hirschkron; Cheryl Jensen; Lutheran Church of the Holy Cross of Ojai, California; Janice Sattler (Mineo); Eitan Sloustcher; Rogers-Cooper Memorial Foundation; Robert Norris (not yet appeared); Patricia Norris; Old Creek Road Mutual Water Company (not yet appeared); Margaret Vanderfin; Telos Ojai, LLC (not yet appeared); Jennifer Ware; The Walker Jr. Living Trust; David Altman, Trustee of the 1190 El Toro Trust; Babtiste Foundation; Sean A. Bennett and Leslie Bennett, Trustees of the Bennett Family Trust; Dwayne A. Bower and Marilyn E. Bower Trustees of the Bower Family Trust; Mark Terry Cline and

28

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLF
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
655 WEST BROADWAY, 15TH FLOOR
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

28

1

Bonnie Burreson Cline, Trustees of the Mark Terry Cline and Connie Burreson Cline Revocable Trust; Robert R. Daddi and Darlene J. Daddi; Lucille A. Elrod, Trustee of the John and Lucille Elrod Family Trust; Friend's Stable & Orchard Inc. Daniel Hultgen, Trustee of the Hultgen Living Trust; Ojai Golf, LLC; Three Oaks, LLC, Erica J. Abrams, Trustee of the Erica J. Abrams Trust; Raul E. Alvarado and Hildegard M. Alvarado, Trustees of the Alvarado Family Trust; William Armstrong and April Nardini; Joseph Lynn Barthelemy and Elvira Lilly Barthelemy, Trustees of the Joseph Lynn Barthelemy and Elvira Lilly Barthelemy 2002 Family Trust; James S. Bennett and Carolyn D. Bennett, Trustees of the Bennett Family Trust; Sumeet Bhatia and Michael McDonald; John Joseph Broesamle and Katharine Sue Broesamle, Trustees of the Broesamle Family Trust; Richard Aaron Carlson, Trustee of the Richard Aaron Carlson Trust and Michelle Larson, Trustee of the Michelle Larson Family Trust; Thomas D. Carver and Cynthia L. Carver; Dana Ceniceros, Trustee of the Dana and Dawn Ceniceros Revocable Living Trust; Deborah Lys Martin Crawford; Frank Clay Creasey Jr.; Debra Joy Reed, Trustee of The Debra Joy Reed Revocable Trust Dated November 3, 1994; Frederic Devault; Diana Syvertson, Trustee of the Diana Syvertson Living Trust; Dive Deep L.L.C.; Douglas Roy Parent and Ann Marie Parent; William Erickson; Gelb Enterprises, L.P.; Jan Stephen Granade and Priscilla K. Granade, Trustees of the Granade Family Revocable Living Trust; Margot J. Griswold; Brian C. Haase and Marie Haase, Trustees of the B&M Haase Trust Dated October 8, 2019; Thomas Lann Harper and Jadona Collier-Harper; Ojai-Jackman L.L.C.; Kevin Rainwater and Marianne Ratcliff; Keith M. Nightingale and Victoria V. Nightingale, Trustees of The Nightingale Family Trust; Heide C. Kurtz, Trustee of The Kurtz Family Trust Dated January 19, 2019; Randall Leavitt, Trustee of The Randall B. Leavitt 2010 Trust; Edward C. Leicht and Jacqueline M. Leicht, Trustees of The Leicht Family 2013 Revocable Trust Dated March 1, 2013; Paul Lepiane and Bengtson Bo; Robert Levin and Lisa Solinas, Trustees of The Levin Family Living Trust; Francis Longstaff and Shauna Longstaff, Trustees of The Longstaff Trust Dated October 11, 2018; Mandy Macaluso, Trustee

82470.00018\32240721.4 - 3 -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of The Living Trust of Mandy Macaluso; Marilyn Wallace, Trustee of The Marilyn Wallace Separate Property Trust; Daniel J. McSweeney and Yoko McSweeney; Wendell M. Mortensen and Laura L. Mortensen, Trustees of The Mortensen Family Revocable Trust; Timothy Jerome Murch and Jody Caren Murch, Trustees of The Jodim Family 2007 Trust Dated July 31, 2007; Chris E. Platt and Hanh H. Platt; Robert Erickson, Trustee and Ronald Wilson; Michael D. Robertson and Kimberly A. Robertson, Trustees of The Robertson Family Trust; James P. Robie, Trustee of the Robie Family Trust; Petter Romming and Kimi Romming, Trustees; Marc Saleh, Trustee of The Saleh Family Trust; Konrad Stefan Sonnenfeld, Trustee of The Konrad Stefan Sonnenfeld Living Trust; Mark Sutherland, Trustee of The Sutherland Marital Trust; John H. Thacher and Caroline H. Thacher, Trustees of The Thacher Family Trust Dated January 2004; Gilbert G. Vondriska and Carolyn J. Vondriska, Trustees of The Vondriska Living Trust; William D. Rusin, Sr., Trustee of the William D. Rusin Sr. Revocable Trust; Oscar D. Acosta, Trustee of the Acosta Trust; Chris E. Platt and Hanh H. Plat; Deborah Lys Martin Crawford; Diane Syvertson, Trustee of the Diana Syvertson Living Trust; Erica J. Abrams, Trustee of the Erica J. Abrams Trust; Frank Clay Creasey Jr.; Frederic DeVault; Gilbert G. Vondriska and Carolyn J. Vondriska, Trustees of the Vondriska Living Trust; James P. Robie, Trustee of the Robie Family Trust: John H. Thacher and Caroline H. Thacher, Trustees of the Thacher Family Trust dated January 2004; Mandy Macaluso, Trustee of the Living Trust of Mandy Macaluso; Margot J. Griswold; Mark Sutherland, Trustee of the Sutherland Marital Trust; Randall Leavitt, Trustee of the Randall B. Leavitt 2010 Trust; Raul E. Alvarado and Hildegard M. Alvarado, trustees of the Alvarado Family Trust; Sumeet Bhatia and Michael McDonald; Timothy Jerome Murch and Jody Caren Murch, Trustees of the Jodim Family 2007 Trust dated July 31, 2007; Wendell M. Mortensen and Laura L. Mortensen, Trustees of the Mortensen Family Revocable Trust; Petter Romming and Kimi Romming, Trustees; William Armstrong and April Nardini; William Erickson; Rancho Sueño, LLC

- 4 -82470.00018\32240721.4

1 2 3 4 5 6	William G. Short, Esq. Law Offices of William G. Short Post Office Box 1313 Ojai, California 93024-1313 Tel: (805) 490-6399 Fax: (805) 640-1940 billshortesq@me.com Attorney for Cross-Defendant Robin Bernhoft	Anthony Lee Francois Jeremy Talcott David Deerson Pacific Legal Foundation 930 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814-1802 Tel: (916) 419-7111 Fax: (916) 419-7111 alf@pacificlegal.org TFrancois@pacificlegal.org jtalcott@pacificlegal.org ddeerson@pacificlegal.org
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Robert N. Kwong Dennis O. La Rochelle Arnold Larochelle Mathews Vanconas & Zirbel, LLP 300 Esplanade Dr Ste 2100 Oxnard, CA 93036 Tel: (805) 988-9886 rkwong@atozlaw.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Casitas Municipal Water District	Attorney for Cross-Defendant Robin Bernhoft Patrick Loughman Cristian Arrieta Lowthorp, Richards, McMillan, Miller & Templeman 300 Esplande Drive, Suite 850 Oxnard, CA 93036 Tel: 805.804.3848 Ploughman@lrmmt.com Carrieta@lrmmt.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Ernest Ford, Tico Mutual Water Company, and Betty Withers and Betty Bow Withers Trust
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Gregory J. Patterson Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP 2801 Townsgate Road, Suite 200 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Tel: (805) 418-3103 Fax: (805) 418-3101 g.patterson@musickpeeler.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Robert C. Davis, Jr.; James Finch; Topa Topa Ranch Company, LLC; The Thacher School; Thacher Creek Citrus, LLC; Ojai Oil Company; Ojai Valley School; Sharon Hamm-Booth and David Robert Hamm, Co- Trustees of The Hamm 2004 Family Trust Dated April 29, 2004; Reeves Orchard, LLC; and Ojai Valley Inn	Lindsay F. Nielson Law Office of Lindsay F. Nielson 845 E Santa Clara Street Ventura, CA 93001 Tel: 805-658-0977 nielsonlaw@aol.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Meiners Oaks Water District, Ventura River Water District, and Jean Marie Webster, Trustee of The Roger E. and Jean Marie Webster Trust
262728		

1	Jeanne Zolezzi	Neal P. Maguire
2	Herum Crabtree Suntag 5757 Pacific Avenue, Suite 222	Ferguson Case Orr Patterson LLP 1050 South Kimball Road
3	Stockton, CA 95207 Tel: (209) 472-7700	Ventura, CA 93004 Tel: (805) 659-6800
	Fax: (209) 472.7986	nmaguire@fcoplaw.com
4	jzolezzi@herumcrabtree.com	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Rancho
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants Meiners Oaks Water District and Ventura River Water	Matilija Mutual Water Company; Bettina Chandler, Trustee of the Bettina Chandler
6	District	Trust; Martin Gramckow and Linda Gramckow individually; Martin Gramckow,
7		Trustee of the Monika G. Huss Irrevocable Trust, Trustee of the Karin W. Gramckow
8		Irrevocable Trust, and Trustee of the Kurt J. Gramckow Irrevocable Trust
9		
10	Thomas S. Bunn III Elsa Sham	Michael J. Van Zandt Nathan A. Metcalf
11	Lagerlof Senecal Gosney & Kruse LLP	Sean G. Herman
12	301 N. Lake Avenue, 10th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101-5123	Hanson Bridgett LLP 425 Market Street, 26 Floor
13	Tel.: (626) 793-9400	San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 415-777-3200
	Fax: (626) 793-5900 tombunn@lagerlof.com	Fax: 415-541-9366
14	esham@lagerlof.com	mvanzandt@hansonbridgett.com nmetcalf@hansonbridgett.com
15	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant St. Joseph's Associates of Ojai, California, Inc. and St.	sherman@hansonbridgett.com
16	Joseph's Health and Retirement Center, Janis	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Ventura
17	Long Nicholas, John Jay Nicholas, Jess Earl Long (aka Jess E. Long), Johana Rae Long,	County Watershed Protection District and County of Ventura
18	and Mary Margaret Long, Janis Long Nicholas and Jess E. Long as Trustees of the	
	Long Family Trust	
19		
20	Jeffrey E. Barnes Chief Assistant County Counsel	Scott Slater Bradley Herrema
21	Jason Canger Assistant County Counsel	Christopher Guillen Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck LLP
22	Office of Ventura County Counsel	1021 Anacapa Street, 2nd Floor
23	800 South Victoria Avenue, L/C #1830 Ventura, CA 93009	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel: (805) 963-7000
24	Tel.: (805) 654-2879 Fax: (805) 654-2185	Fax: (805) 965-4333 sslater@bhfs.com
25	jason.canger@ventura.org	bherrema@bhfs.com cguillen@bhfs.com
	Attorneys for Cross-Defendants	•
26	Ventura County Watershed Protection District and County of Ventura	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant The Wood- Claeyssens Foundation
27		
28		

1 2	Joseph C. Chrisman Hathaway, Perrett, Webster, Powers, Chrisman & Gutierrez	David B. Cosgrove Jeffrey M. Oderman Douglas J. Dennington
	5450 Telegraph Road	Jeremy N. Jungreis
3	Ventura, CA 93003 (805) 644-7111	Rutan & Tucker, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400
4	jchrisman@hathawaylawfirm.com	Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1931 Tel: 714-641-5100
5 6	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Wood- Claeyssens Foundation	Fax: 714-546-9035 dcosgrove@rutan.com
7		joderman@rutan.com ddennington@rutan.com jjungreis@rutan.com
		 •
8 9		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Casitas Municipal Water District
10	Thomas E. Jeffry Debra J. Albin-Riley	Andrew Brady DLA Piper LLP (US)
11	Arent Fox LLP 555 West Fifth Avenue, 48th Floor	550 South Hope Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2618
12	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065 (213) 629-7400	Tel. (213) 330-7700 Fax: (213) 330-7701
	(213) 629-7401	andrew.brady@us.dlapiper.com
13	Thomas.jeffry@arentfox.com	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Integritas Ojai,
14	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Community Memorial Health System	LLC
15	Jennifer T. Buckman	David R. Krause-Leemon
16	Andrew J. Ramos Holly Jacobson	BEAUDOIN & KRAUSE-LEEMON LLP 15165 Ventura Blvd., Suite 400
17	Bartkiewicz Kronick & Shanahan, PC 1011 Twenty-Second Street	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Tel. (818) 205-2809
18	Sacramento, CA 95816-4907	Fax (818) 788-8104
19	Tel. (916) 446-4254 Fax (916) 446-4018	david@bk-llaw.com
20	jtb@bkslawfirm.com hjj@bkslawfirm.com	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant RDK Land, LLC
21	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant City of Ojai	
22	Eric J. Schindler	Brian A. Osborne
23	Michelle J. Berner Kroesche Schindler LLP	Osborne Law Firm 674 County Square Drive, Suite 308
	2603 Main Street, Suite 200	Ventura, CA 93003
24	Irvine, CA 92614 Tel. (949) 387-0495	Tel. (805) 642-9283 Fax (805) 642-7054
25	Fax (888) 588-0034 Fax eschindler@kslaw.legal	osbornelawyer@gmail.com
26	mberner@kslaw.legal	Attorney for Cross-Defendants Brian A.
27	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Oak Haven,	Osborne; Ronald W. Rood and Susan B. Rood, Trustees of the Rood Family Trust
28	LLC	

1 2	Adam D. Wieder Barry C. Groveman Ryan Hiete	Peter A. Goldenring Mark R. Pachowicz Pachowicz Goldenring A Professional Law
3	Groveman Hiete LLP 35 East Union Street, Suite B	Corporation 6050 Seahawk Street
4	Pasadena, CA 91103 Tel (626) 747-9383	Ventura, CA 93003-6622 Tel. (805) 642-6702
5	Fax (626) 747-9370 awieder@grovemanhiete.com	Fax (805) 642-3145 attorneys@gopro-law.com
6	bgroveman@grovemanhiete.com rhiete@grovemanhiete.com	peter@gopro-law.com mark@pglaw.law
7	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Michael Bradbury; Heidi Bradbury; and The Heidi	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant The Manfred Krankl and Elaine V. Krankl Living Trust
8	Gramkow Trust	Kranki and Diame V. Kranki Diving Trust
9		
10	Ernest J. Guadiana Elkins Kalt Weintraub Reuben Gartside LLP 10345 W. Olympic Boulevard	
11	Los Angeles, CA 90064 Tel. (310) 746-4425	
12	eguadiana@elkinskalt.com	
13	Attorneys for Michael Lombardo and Charles L. Ward III, as Co-Trustees of the Ward-	
14	Lombardo Living Trust	
15	Patrick L. Rendon	Karen A. Feld
16	Lamb and Kawakami LLP 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4200	Daniel S. Roberts Cole Huber LLP
17	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel. (213) 630-5500	3401 Centrelake Drive, Suite 670 Ontario, CA 91761
18	Fax: (213) 630-5555 prendon@lkfirm.com	Tel: (909) 230-4209 Fax: (909) 937-2034
19		kfeld@colehuber.com droberts@colehuber.com
20	Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Emily V. Brown	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Ventura Unified School District
21		
22	David A. Ossentjuk Ossentjuk & Botti 2815 Townsgate Road, Suite 320	Hermitage Mutual Water Company, and Santa Ana Ranch, Inc.
23	Westlake Villge, CA 91361	Attn: J. Roger Essick
24	Tel: (805) 557-8081 Fax: (805) 456-7884	2955 Hermitage Road Ojai, CA 93023
25	DOssentjuk@oandblawyers.com	Tel. (805) 320-1406 rogeressick@gmail.com
26	Attorney for Cross-Defendant Robert Martin	
27		
28		

1 2 3 4	Julie A. Baker 2193 Maricopa Hwy Ojai, CA 93023 (805) 646-8700 Jandjbaker2@gmail.com	The Joseph Fedele 1995 Living Trust, Oriana Marie Fedele, Trustee Attn. Oriana Fedele P.O. Box 298 Lahaina, HI 96767 Tel. (818) 601-3161 orianafedele@gmail.com
5		
6	T&D Nevada Trust Dennis and Antoinette Mitchell Mitchell Homes Inc.	Michaela Boehm 12293 topa Lane Santa Paula, CA 93060
7	P.O. Box 360	Tel. (323) 493-3737
8	Ojai, CA 93024 (805) 340-2890 amitc74383@aol.com	micboehm@me.com
9	uninte / 1303@uon.com	
10	Anthonie M. Voogd 918 Palomar Road Ojai, CA93023	Lawrence S. Mihalas Trustees of the Mihalas Family Trust 419 21 st Place
11	Tel. (805) 646-1512	Santa Monica, CA 90402
12	avoogd@stanfordalumni.org	Tel. (310) 739-0700 lmihalas@gmail.com lmihalas@ucla.edu
13		<u> </u>
14	Heather Blair 556 So. Fair Oaks Ave., Ste 101 Box 356	Martin Hartmann Whitney Hartmann 430 S. Carrillo Road
15	Pasadena, CA 91105	Ojai, CA 93023
16	Tel. (626) 755-6566 Hblair1946@gmail.com	Tel. (805) 798-2253 earthbuilding@gmail.com
17	Robert K. Cartin	Loa E. Bliss
18	Cartin Family LLC 505 Estremoz Ct.	Loa E. Bliss 2006 Revocable Trust 9030 Ojai Santa Paula Road
19	Oceanside, CA 92057 Tel. (760) 429-4738 bob.cartin@dvm.com	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel: (617) 750-8500 loabliss@hotmail.com
20		
21	Del Cielo LLC Attn. Tim Carey, Managing Member 22410 Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 5	Joyce Syme, and The Joyce A. Syme Living Trust 1760 Ocean Avenue
22	Torrance, CA 90505 Tel. (310) 787-6569	Santa Monica, CA 90401 Tel. (310) 403-1760
23	tim@calvoterguide.com	seaviewmotel@hotmail.com
24	Janice and Jesse Hillestad	Dale and Patricia Givner
25	9611 N. Ventura Ave. Ventura, CA 93001 Tel. (310) 614-8438	12617 Koenigstein Rd. Santa Paula, CA 93060
26	Tel. (310) 614-8438 janicehillestad@icloud.com	Tel. (805) 525-9524 dalegivner@gmail.com
27	jessehillestad@gmail.com	
28		

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLF

1

Carlos A Mejia

Dennis and Nadine Corte

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
655 WEST BROADWAY, 15TH FLOOR

82470.00018\32240721.4

1 2 3 4	Christine Steiner 2560 Ladera Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (31) 600-3220 csteiner@csteinerlaw.com	William Slaughter Slaughter, Reagan & Cole, LLP 625 East Santa Clara Street, Suite 101 Ventura, CA 93001 Tel. (805) 658-7800 Fax (805) 644-2131 slaughter@srllplaw.com
5 6		Attorneys for The Boyd S. Dron and Karin Dron Joint Living Trust, and Sisar Mutual Water Company
7 8 9 10 11	Julia Taft-Whitman, President CEO Taft Corporation' 111 West Topa Topa Street Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 794-2837 juliawhitman@gmail.com	Jaide Whitman, President Julia Whitman, Director Conservation Endowment Fund P.O. Box 6 Oak View, CA 93022 Tel. (805) 649-2333 Tel. (805) 804-7005 jaide.whitman@gmail.com TaftGardensOffice@gmail.com
12 13 14	Kelley M. Rasmussen, Trustee 2420 Park Road Lake Oswego, OR 97034 Tel. (805) 798-7125 kelleyras@gmail.com	Angela Small Booth, Attorney 2175 Valley Meadow Drive Oak View, CA 93022 Tel. (805) 765-5413 angie@angiesmall.org
15 16 17	William E. Colborn, Jr. 13183 Ojai Road Santa Paula, CA 93060 Tel. (805) 795-1909 jake@colbornandassociates.com	Rebecca Tickell 350 Verano Drive Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (323) 559-5700 rebecca@bigpictureranch.com
18 19 20	Joshua Beckman 913 Oso Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (323) 404-0465 joshbfbp@gmail.com	Gregg S. Garrison and Rosanna Garrison Garrison Law Corporation 12986 MacDonald Drive Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (650) 726-1111 / Fax: (805) 669-3168 gsgarrison@garrisonlawcorp.com
21 22 23	Robert L. Smith 12777 Tree Ranch Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 558-6322 treeranch@ymail.com	Susan M. Glennon 292 Cruzero Street Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 646-4816 theglennonnest@aol.com
24 25 26 27	Robin Schwartzburd 411 Franklin Drive Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 272-5877 robin.schwartzburd@gmail.com	Melinda Hass 11947 Koenigstein Road Santa Paula, CA 93060 Tel. (213) 713-4360 mlynnbooking@gmail.com
28		

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP Attorneys at Law 655 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, Californa 92101

1	Malinda K. Vaughn	Rebecca D. Schwermer
2	Mitchell B. Vaughn 12283 Ojai Santa Paula Road	P. O. Box 174 Santa Paula, CA 93061
3	Ojai, CA 93023-9323 Tel. (805) 890-6616 vaughnmb@aol.com	Tel. (805) 551-3494 octoberbabies2@verizon.net
4		Duicitta I avall Taratas of I avall I iving Tarat
5	Jennifer Jordan Day and Joel Fox 909 North Rice Road Ojai, CA 93023	Brigitte Lovell, Trustee of Lovell Living Trust 295 Encino Drive Oak View, CA 93022
6	Tel. (213) 321-5253 jenniferjordanday@gmail.com	Tel. (915) 227-9412 loveb9@gmail.com
7	Catherine Ferro &	Susan C. White
8	Catherine Eileen Ferro Inter Vivos Trust 312 Montana Road	Steven J. White 2 Shorewood Drive
9	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 326-1686	Bellingham, WA 98225 Tel. (425) 891-9249
10	cepharoah@gmail.com	curranwhite1@hotmail.com
11	Susan Capper 12870 Tree Ranch Road	Lindy & Karen C. Goetz 12338 Linda Flora
12	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 794-6421	Ojai, CA 93023-9721 Tel. (805) 649-2526; (805) 794-2312
13	chelsue@aol.com	lindygoetz@roadrunner.com
14	Joyce L. Heath Joyce Heath, Trustee of the Heath Family	Thomas M. German 301 N. Drown Avenue
15	Living Trust, P.O. Box 1323	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 646-2130
16	Ojai, CA 93024 Tel. (805) 290-6231	kittycatgirl214@gmail.com
17	mamaheath55@gmail.com	
18	Ronald W. Bowman Trustee of the Bowman Trust dated April 8,	Andrew P. Byrne, Esq. 1140 Highland Avenue, Ste. 250
19	2011 672 W. Villanova Road	Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Tel. (310) 505.7170
20	Ojai, CA 93023	Andy@ByrneLaw-LA.com
21	Tel. (805) 732-4014 ron@l-binc.com	Attorney for Cross-Defendant Roman
22		Catholic Archdiocese of Los Angeles, a sole corporation
23	Amy Hueppe 1025 Moreno Drive	Glenn Bator 338 Montana Road
24	Ojai, CA 93023	Ojai, CA 93023
25	Tel. (310) 699-4619 amychueppe@gmail.com	Tel. (805) 798-1802 denibator@aol.com
26		
27		
28		

1 2	Harry Anthony Williams 915 Daly Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (661) 609-1253	Bryan M. Sullivan, Esq. EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT GIZER & McRAE LLP 6420 Wilshire Boulevard, 17 th Floor
3	Tel. (805) 794-6922 awilliam@me.com	Los Angeles, CA 90048 Tel. (323) 301-4660
4		bsullivan@earlysullivan.com
5 6		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Jeff Bacon as Trustee of the Villa Nero Trust Dated January 25, 2000
7	David L. Osias, Esq.	Laura M. Peakes
8	Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis	John E. Peakes, Jr. 316 Verano Drive
9	One America Plaza 600 West Broadway, 27 th Floor San Diago, CA 92101, 9993	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 402-0249 jpeakesjr@aol.com
10	San Diego, CA 92101-0903 Tel. (619) 233-1155 Fax (619) 233-1158	јреакеsјі (<i>ф</i> аот.сош
11	dosias@allenmatkins.com	
12	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Jeff Bacon as Trustee of the Villa Nero Trust	
13	Dated January 25, 2000	
14	Kelsey Klein Paula Kee	Laura R. Schreiner, a.k.a Laura Rearwin 418 Crestview Drive
15	1042 Fairview Road Ojai, CA 93023	Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 479-5400
16	Tel. (805) 640-5154 kelseyklein88@gmail.com	laura@rearwin.com
17	Paul J. Deneen	Jennifer Carafelli
18	12170 Ojai Santa Paula Road Ojai, CA 93023-9358	Robin Schwartzburd 211 Village Commons Boulevard, No. 21
19	Tel. (805) 218-0211 paul@carbide.com	Camarillo, CA 93012 Tel. (805) 340-2540
20	Time other Mahamara	carafelli@gmail.com
21 22	Timothy Mahoney 10244 Ojai Santa Paula Road Ojai, CA 93023	Thomas Adams Adams & Associates 21781 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 10005
23	Tel. (323) 252-3309 honedog@mac.com	Woodland Hills, CA 93003 Tel. (805) 229-1529
24	nonedog@mac.com	tom@adamsassocs.com
25		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant 235 La Luna Owners, an unincorporated association
26		
27		
28		

1 2 3	Salvatore Scarpato 106 Calhoun Lane Georgetown, TX 78633 Tel. (805) 797-8767 salscarpato@att.net	Robert Kyle The Robert Kyle Living Trust 715 Sunset Place Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (626) 260-5509 robertkyle61@gmail.com
4	Will D. T 1	, 30
5 6	William R. Thatcher 12195 Linda Flora Drive Ojai, CA 93023-9723 thelostplanetairmen@yahoo.com	David Bishop Sophie Loire Tel. (805) 403-5370 frenchiephotos@yahoo.com
7	Chet Hilgers	Stephanie Gustafson
8	Mellanie Hilgers mellaniehilgers@gmail.com	Tel. (805) 646-1423 sgustafson@ovs.org
9	Kristi Schoeld	Robert Turnage
10	Neil Jorgensen Tel. (805)272-8360 neilkristi@googlemail.com	9902 Sulphur Mountain Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (916) 837-3907
11		Robert.turnage@sbcglobal.net
12		Authorized Representative for Cross- Defendant Meher Mount Corporation
13	Linda J.G. MacDougall, Trustee of The Linda	Gerrold Grigsby
14	J.G. MacdDugall Living Trust	Karen Grigsby
15	Marsha Kee Strong-Chandler Richard Holt Robinson 119 E. Channel Islands Blvd.	9799 Ojai Santa Paula Road Ojai, CA 93023 Tel. (805) 649-1624
16	Port Hueneme, CA 93041	grigsbyranch@gmail.com
17	(805) 202-6379 speakerholistic@gmail.com	
18	James A. Vickman	Nancy J. Johnson
19	Vickman & Associates 424 South Beverly Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90212	Berliner Cohen LLP 10 Almaden Blvd., 11 th Floor San Jose, CA 95113
20	Tel. (310) 553-8533 Fax (310) 553-0557	Tel. (408) 286-5800 Fax (408) 998-5388
21	jv@vickmanassociates.com	Nancy.Johnson@berliner.com
22	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant New Civilization, a California corporation	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company, a Delaware corporation –
23	Civilization, a Camorina corporation	Roe 411
24	Claire S. Brian and Brad D. Brian, Trustees of the Brad & Claire Brian Living Trust, Roes 30	Via First Class Mail
25	and 31 1150 So Arroyo Blvd.	Warren W. Greene Bonnie M. Greene
26	Pasadena, CA 91105 cbrian8587@gmail.com	958 E. Main Street Ventura, CA 93001
27	brad.brian@mto.com	Tel. (805) 652-1080 Fax (805) 652-0400
28		1 m. (000) 002 0 100

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 655 WEST BROADWAY, 15th Floor SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101	1	Via First Class Mail
	2	Michael W. Price, Trustee of the Michael W. Price Trust, Roe 197
	3	Leslie L. Clark, Trustee of the Leslie L. Clark Trust, Roe 51
	4	10886 Creek Rd Ojai, CA 93023
	5	I declare 1 under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
	6	above is true and correct.
	7	
	8	Executed on July 19, 2021 at Walnut Creek, California
	9	Irene Islas
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
		_ 16 _

- 16 -