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Case Number: 19STCP01176
Case Name: Santa Barbara Channelkeepers
    vs. State Water Resources
    Control Board, et al.
Los Angeles, California Monday, March 15, 2021
Department 10 Judge William H. Highberger
Appearances: (As heretofore noted.)
Reporter: Alexander Joko, CSR No. }1227
Time: 1:30 P.M.
            (The following proceedings were held
        in open court:)
            THE COURT: We're on the record in
19STCP01176.
    We've got various lawyers in the
courtroom. When they speak, they should announce
themselves the first time.
    There are various things I'd like to
address, and then I will open it up to the lawyers.
    I was pleased to go to the website for
the case, Venturariverwatershedadjudication.com and see
that there is now Cross Defendant Spreadsheet
information available, as well as Overlier Spreadsheet
information available.
    And so I took a stab at dealing with some
of the stipulations, which I've been aggregating in my
office, of Mr. Hagerty.
```

One worked. Two of the first three I
looked at didn't.

The one that worked was for Kristi

Schoeld and Neil Jorgensen as to parcel number
020-0-080-310. The document indicated that the
property owner is not a listed cross-defendant. That
appeared to be true. The Overlier Spreadsheet is
sortable by the first letter of the first name or the
first letter or number associated with the fictitious
property owner. And while I didn't find it under "K"
for "Kristi," I did find it under "Neil" for "Neil
Jorgensen" with Kristi listed second, but that
otherwise was a fit. And I signed it.
MR. HAGERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: The first one I actually picked up reported to be a Cross Defendant relative to parcel
number 009-0-070-185. The caption of the document says that the stipulating parties are "Joseph Lynn Bartheleny and Elvira Lilly Bartheleny, trustees of the Joseph Lynn Bartheleny and Elvira Lily Bartheleny 2002 Family Trust."

So we go into the Cross Defendant
Spreadsheet and commence to sort it by party name. In this case, the first letter of the party name. And I'm repeating the exercise on the computer in front of me.

When $I$ went into the party information on the Superior Court's publicly-available website and put in the surname "Bartheleny," there did come up
identification in our records that this party only was named according to our records.

Bear with me, I'm repeating it on the screen so I'm a hundred percent certain of what I'm stating rather than by doing it by memory.

And because it's a huge database, it
takes a long time for this case to load as compared to the case $I$ called a few minutes ago, which, from a data weight point of view, is de minimis and goes readily.

So I have opened up, in the public website, this case and the party's tab. And I will now, in the search function for the parties, enter the surname "Bartheleny." And I get a match, but only to Elvira Lily Bartheleny. No reference to trustee, no reference to Joseph Lynn Bartheleny or anybody else.

And I haven't yet taken the time to dive in and try to look at the face of the operative cross-complaint to see what $I$ find in the text if $I$ start looking for people named "Bartheleny."

But I know that when I go to the new Cross Defendant Spreadsheet that has been provided to me by counsel and start looking for either Joseph or Elvira, I find the following:

First, as I understand it, I have to have the patience to go into multiple different basins because, when $I$ have sorted this A to Z, it still comes out as subsets and not a unitary list. I'm not sure how many subsets there are. But when $I$ went into the
second basin, as I perceived what was going on here, I think I found the Bartheleny's. But it is confusing and, dare $I$ say, frustrating to discover this isn't a unitary list of names, but apparently presented as discreet subsets that have to be managed separately.

So for Joseph, I now find, as to a different parcel, 010-0-220-040, that the spreadsheet lists the party identity as follows, "Joseph Lynn Bartheleny, Trustee of the Joseph Lynn Bartheleny and Elvira Lily Bartheleny 2012 Family Trust," end quote. And that's real close to what's on the papers, but the papers present two trustees. It is not an identical match.

Further, when I looked at this in chambers -- and the parcels don't match.

When I looked at this in chambers, I sure thought I came across parcel 085, but only Joseph was listed, Joseph Lynn Bartheleny, to be precise. But it's not coming up here. So I'll try to sort by parcel numbers and see if that comes up with -- it's very odd that the sort would have -- cause they were adjacent lines when $I$ checked the last time, but they're not showing up this time, which is a bizarre aberration.

I've sorted the parcels number now. I'm going to try to find it by parcel number.

Yeah, here it is. So now when I look at this list sorted by parcel and go try to find 009-0-070-185, it earns two lines, one with a word
"Elvira Lily Bartheleny" and the next with the word "Joseph Lynn Bartheleny," but no reference to "property held as a trustee." That nomenclature associates with the parcel that ends 070-020.

So, Mr. Hagerty, my problem is, there's only so many hours $I$ have in a day to be trying to check this for correctness. I guess what I'm going to have to do is push it back to Mr. Thrall to be checking whether these things match the party names in our records, which apparently hasn't happened before they got to my desk because, when $I$ do this, I'm having these problems with the mismatch. And there's a -this is not the highest and best uses of a judge's time, but recognizing our limited clerical staff and the importance of precision, at least on a test example basis, I will, on occasion, test these, but I'm not going to have the time to do that.

Then I have another example. This is the third of the three I looked at. This is another property owner not listed, but this one comes in as to parcel 024-0-120-035. And, here, the property owner is listed as "Gianetti Living Trust."

Now, I don't find any Gianetti Living Trust in the Overlier Spreadsheet. But probably the obvious answer to that is, it is not properly titled real estate in the name of the living trust. As one sees by looking at the Bartheleny example, if somebody wants to own property as a trust, you have to title it
as Shawn Hagerty as trustee of the Shawn and Sally Hagerty 1998 Living Trust." And that's how title would be held.

And one wouldn't then try to show up on a lawsuit by stipulation calling oneself "Hagerty Living Trust" or "Gianetti Living Trust." So this is a procedurally-defective document which got to my desk, but is defective. So this a huge time suck of things that will keep me from ever thinking about your physical solution if $I$ have to spend the next six months of my life telling you about clerical errors.

MR. HAGERTY: Your Honor, we agree. We don't wish the court to spend this time. We're happy to work with -- and we have been working well in the last month with your court staff and the court administration. We will do everything to clean this up.

With regard to Bartheleny, they are a named riparian and overlier and listed in paragraph 93 of the cross-complaint. And it is --

THE COURT: But the parcels aren't matching up.

MR. HAGERTY: I think the issue, your Honor, is, they are both an overlier, so they -- they have property that isn't riparian. And they're named because they are riparian. So they are a named party because --

THE COURT: Are they trying to stipulate for all their property or --

MR. HAGERTY: They're stipulating as the cross-defendant, your Honor.

THE COURT: But not as to the overlier?

MR. HAGERTY: Correct. As you pointed out correctly on multiple occasions, they don't have to do anything as an overlier. They --

THE COURT: But I didn't find them on the Overlier list. I found them twice to different parcel numbers in the Cross Defendant list.

MR. HAGERTY: Your Honor, I can't --
THE COURT: You can come up here and look at it if you want.

MR. HAGERTY: No, your honor.
Again, we're trying to -- we posted those spreadsheets for the court and also for the parties who wish to try to locate themselves. We will continue to --

THE COURT: The parcel that ends "020" is not the same as the parcel that ends "185." They're both not even adjacent. And, yet, somebody with a "Bartheleny" name associates with both parcels. One is in the trustee form of ownership. The other without a reference to trustee ownership.

MR. HAGERTY: Your Honor, we would like to take this off the judge's burden because we agree that it's not your job to micromanage these things.

THE COURT: I know my clerk's office doesn't have the time, staff or excellence to do it. I have to
be the quality control unless $I$ can somehow build a process where the clerk's office will be able to invest the tedious control and, frankly, working off our database because of the dreadful inability to search it effectively. You created something so massive that our software doesn't make search function.

MR. HAGERTY: We're working on that too, your honor. We're working on a new database that's going to be more searchable. We are going to continue to make this as easy as possible and as low burden for the court and administration as possible.

THE COURT: I've signed one of three. I've given that to Mr. Lim to file.

I'm giving all of these rejected
stipulations, which are probably 50 or more, to
Mr. Thrall. And insofar as you want them entered in our lifetime, Mr. Hagerty, you are going to have to follow up with him from time to time.

MR. HAGERTY: Yes, your Honor. We'll do that.
THE COURT: Ah, service advice related private
residences. If you hired the Ventura Sheriff to go out, could he force his way into these properties?

MR. HAGERTY: We're going to look into
additional ways to do a forced entry, but we alerted the court to this issue just because we really are struggling with it. And in light of the court's previous comments regarding publication, we wanted to make it --

THE COURT: This is one time I would be happy to let you get publication. Because if somebody is hiding behind a locked house and you can't get through a community guard shack, and that's the obstruction, I think at that time -- now, if the sheriff, given a fee or a request to function as your process server, goes -- I would think they can -- if they wanted to serve a search warrant, you know, for drugs or an arrest warrant, presumably they wouldn't be deterred all that long by somebody's locked gate. So, presumably, you would think they can use the same force of legal authority to get past a gate. So I want you to check that out. I think it'll work.

But if for some reason it doesn't, educate me. And at that point, I would be quite comfortable with the idea that you would defer to publication notice with the understanding that you're sending some mail that's going to get through that locked gate into the mailbox and DHL or Fed Ex that's going to get there because you're not asking for signatures. You're just unilaterally sending it there and say, "Hey, since you won't cooperate with the service of summons and complaint, we're using substituted service via publication with the court's approval." Because of those circumstances, I am not troubled by that from a due process point of view.

MR. HAGERTY: Thank you, your Honor. That's why we raised it. We appreciate that.

THE COURT: Okay. I double checked with our administrator. And, yes, we're happy to work with you, Mr. Hagerty, and your staff insofar as you can create a parallel database of party names of appearance status and the rest.

I assume that's going to be something more than what just popped up on the website?

MR. HAGERTY: Yes, your Honor. It'll be -our firm has used this in other adjudications. And it's just a way to make sure that everyone has the most immediate access to documents that have been filed.

THE COURT: From a party naming point of view, it would be good, if it's possible, to sort it where you do a full alpha sort and don't have these subsets that I was stumbling across --

MR. HAGERTY: Yes, your Honor. That spreadsheet is a starting point. It's not the end point.

THE COURT: Okay. Do I have counsel for Santa Barbara Channelkeepers with us this afternoon?

MR. MELNICK: Your Honor, this is Marc Melnick from the Attorney General's Office. Mr. Cooper told us a couple of hours ago that there was some technical problems he was having with L.A. Court Connect, and he wasn't able to arrange an appearance.

THE COURT: Who is trying to get the benefit of the dismissal, is it the City of Ventura or the State that's so eager --

MR. HAGERTY: No. We are, your Honor. It was a hard fought negotiation to get that dismissal. And so we're happy to work with --

THE COURT: Is there any reason to believe that it has ever been lodged with the court or if our dear friends from Mr. Cooper's office think that service on File \& ServeXpress is the end of the game?

MR. HAGERTY: We think it's the latter. And we'll work with Mr. Cooper to have it filed.

We brought copies, if he were here and would consent. We're happy to walk it over --

THE COURT: Are they signed by him?
MR. HAGERTY: He signed the version that is served, yes.

But we'll -- your Honor --
THE COURT: Do you have a signed version that you're authorized to leave with staff --

MR. HAGERTY: I would like to -- that's why I was hoping he was on the line. I mean, he has served it twice. And it's an obligation that he has, but I'm happy to work with him --

THE COURT: How clear do I have to make it
that service on File \& ServeXpress is not the same as
filing or lodging?
MR. HAGERTY: We understand, your Honor.
THE COURT: If you're going to practice in
this court, Mr. Cooper or anybody else ought to
understand that basic point or it's essentially a
malpractice.
MR. HAGERTY: We're work with Mr. Cooper to get it on file, your Honor. And the reason we raised it, it's important facts to understand going forward as the court considers some of these other issues. But we'll clean that up with Mr. Cooper.

THE COURT: As soon as you got one that you're authorized to tender, just get it by Pony Express or e-mail or something to Mr. Sanchez and Mr. Lim, and we can process it --

MR. HAGERTY: We will do that, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Kick the site visit to April 19th?

MR. HAGERTY: Yes.

And we have some ideas that we think we'll discuss with the parties. We are meeting and conferring again next week. And by April, we should have some specific proposals that hopefully can address all the parties' concerns.

THE COURT: Mr. Lim, we have to set a further status conference on Monday, April 19th at 1:30 with a joint report due on April 12th. And additional
supplemental report, reports of other parties as they wish by the same deadline.

Okay. Mr. Thrall or Mr. Lim, anything we want to say about the request for default that has just started to hit our inbox?

MR. THRALL: We're receiving them, your Honor, as groups and processing them as they start to come in. The major work was trying to clean up the party entries so that the parties are reflected on the defaults.

THE COURT: That's a necessary first step. Our staff is trying very hard on this, Mr. Hagerty, but this is a totally, you know, out of the normal run kind of case. So it is a crushing burden on the clerical staff even apart from the Covid problem.

MR. HAGERTY: We understand. We're working hard to limit that burden, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So the motion to set an evidentiary hearing, obviously not ready for today.

MR. HAGERTY: Your Honor, at least with the stay, we've had some general discussions. It's really not ripe for discussion today because we think it's important for the court to see the briefing. And we understand the court's time constraints, so addressing those issues in April is something that we're looking forward to do.

THE COURT: As you can see by being at counsel table as you are turned 90 degrees from its normal place and then the podium having been pulled into the center of the well, we're ready to start a jury trial two weeks from today.

The intent will be to put the jury in the
gallery. The counsel will actually turn their backs to the bench and sit facing out to the gallery, if you want to get a sense of what it is before you leave here today.

The witness will be on a raised barstool
at the podium facing out.
I'll be back here behind everybody.
The jury box will have tech support or
the third lawyer for a given team.

And we'll have a few chairs for the public once we get done with the voir dire. And we hope we can conduct an efficient jury trial with appropriate social distancing under the circumstances.

But it's been a big time suck of my life separate and apart from fumbling around with your stipulations and the problems they presented.

MR. HAGERTY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What else, if anything, would you like to address today, Mr. Hagerty?

MR. HAGERTY: The only other thing to mention is, we have completed the notice process. And so under the Code, we will be filing a notice explaining how that process was completed. So expect that to come through in the next week or so.

That's the only other thing, your Honor, from the City's point of view.

THE COURT: What about Casitas Municipal Water District, who is here for that party?

MR. COSGROVE: David Cosgrove appearing on behalf of Casitas.

We have nothing further for you, your

Honor.

THE COURT: What about the Great State of
California through its multiple District Attorneys
General, Fish and Game, anything you want to say
through counsel?

MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: Your Honor, Noah
Golden-Krasner.
I have nothing to add.
THE COURT: What about the Great State of
California through its State Water Resources Control
Board via the Attorney General?
MR. MELNICK: Thank you, your honor. Marc
Melnick.
And we just look forward to more
negotiations with the City on the physical solution.
THE COURT: Counsel for the City of Ojai, want
to be heard?
MS. JACOBSON: Yes, your Honor. We just look
forward to a more thorough discussion of the issues of
the sixth cause of action and physical solution
doctrine.

THE COURT: We need to know who is talking?
MS. JACOBSON: Holly Jacobson for the City of
Ojai.
THE COURT: Any other individual parties wish

```
to be heard this afternoon?
    MR. BAGGERLY: Judge, this is Claude Baggerly.
    THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.
    MR. BAGGERLY: You're really busy. I'll save
    mine for April 19th.
    THE COURT: I am busy. This jury trial is a
big time consumption trying to get it done safely and
prudently.
    Anybody else want to be heard?
                            Okay. Mr. Hagerty, you will give notice
of the next date?
    MR. HAGERTY: Yes, your Honor.
    THE COURT: And the report submit deadline.
            With that, the court is in recess. You
are free to go.
    MR. HAGERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
            (End of Proceedings)
```
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