SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT SSC 10 HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, A ) CALIFORNIA NON-PROFIT ) CORPORATION, PETITIONER, v. ) NO. 19STCP01176 STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL ) BOARD, A CALIFORNIA STATE AGENCY, ET AL., ) RESPONDENTS. ) ) ) AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. ) ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS NOVEMBER 2, 2021 2:15 P.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR PETITIONER AND PLAINTIFF SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER:

SYCAMORE LAW BY: DANIEL COOPER 1004 O'REILLY AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94129 415.360.2962 DANIEL@SYCAMORE.LAW (APPEARING VIA LACC)

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE.) REPORTED BY: RONALD L. COOK, CSR NO. 13928 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE Job No. 65078

1	APPEARANCES CON	NTINUED:
2		
3	FOR RESPONDENT BUENAVENTURA:	AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT CITY OF SAN
4		
5		BEST BEST & KRIEGER, LLP BY: SHAWN D. HAGERTY 655 WEST BROADWAY
6		15TH FLOOR
7		SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 619.525.1300 SHAWN.HAGERTY@BBKLAW.COM
8		SHAWN.HAGERIY@BBKLAW.COM
9		BEST BEST & KRIEGER, LLP BY: CHRISTOPHER M. PISANO 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE
10		25TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071
11		213.617.8100
12		CHRISTOPHER.PISANO@BBKLAW.COM
13	FOR CROSS-DEFEN	NDANT THE WOOD-CLAEYSSENS FOUNDATION:
14		BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP BY: BRADLEY J. HERREMA
15		2049 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 3550
16		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 310.500.4609
17		BHERREMA@BHFS.COM
18	FOR CROSS-DEFE	NDANT CITY OF OJAI:
19		
20		BARTKIEWICZ KRONICK & SHANAHAN, PC BY: JENNIFER T. BUCKMAN HOLLY J. JACOBSON
21		1011 22ND STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95816-4907
22		916.446.4254 JTB@BKSLAWFIRM.COM
23		HJJ@BKSLAWFIRM.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
24		(APPEARING VIA LACC)
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS ROBERT C. DAVIS, JR.; JAMES FINCH; TOPA TOPA RANCH COMPANY, LLC; THE THACHER SCHOOL;
4	THACHER CREEK CITRUS, LLC; OJAI OIL COMPANY; OJAI VALLEY SCHOOL; SHARON HAMM-BOOTH AND DAVID ROBERT HAMM,
5	CO-TRUSTEES OF THE HAMM 2004 FAMILY TRUST DATED APRIL 29, 2004; REEVES ORCHARD, LLC; AND OJAI VALLEY INN,
6	EDWARD J. CONNER, EDWARD J. CONNER, TRUSTEE OF THE EDWARD J. CONNER TRUST:
7	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT, LLP
8	BY: GREGORY J. PATTERSON 2801 TOWNSGATE ROAD
9	SUITE 200 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA 91362
10	805.418.3103 G.PATTERSON@MUSICKPEELER.COM
11	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
12	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT:
13	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
14	BY: DOUGLAS J. DENNINGTON 18575 JAMBOREE ROAD
15	9TH FLOOR IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612
16	714.641.5100 DDENNINGTON@RUTAN.COM
17	
18	FOR DEFENDANTS CLAUDE R. BAGGERLY AND PATRICIA E. BAGGERLY:
19	CLAUDE R. BAGGERLY
20	IN PROPRIA PERSONA 119 SOUTH POLI AVENUE
21	OJAI, CALIFORNIA 93023-2144 805.646.0767
22	RUSS.BAGGERLY65@GMAIL.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
23	(ATTERATING VIA BACC)
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:		
2			
3	FOR AERA ENERGY	/, LLC:	
4		MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP BY: PETER DUCHESNEAU	
5		ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER 30TH FLOOR	
б		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 415.291.7400	
7		PDUCHESNEAU@MANATT.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)	
8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9	FOR RESPONDENT CONTROL BOARD:	AND DEFENDANT STATE WATER RESOURCES	
10		MARC N. MELNICK	
11		DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	
12		1515 CLAY STREET 20TH FLOOR	
13		P.O. BOX 70550 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-0550	
14		510.879.0750 MARC.MELNICK@DOJ.CA.GOV	
15		(APPEARING VIA LACC)	
16	FOR CROSS-DEFEN	JDANTS BENTLEY FAMILY LIMITED	
17 18	PARTNERSHIP; AG CALIFORNIA EDIS	GR BREEDING, INC.; AND SOUTHERN SON COMPANY:	
ΤO		ALSTON & BIRD, LLP	
19		BY: GINA ANGIOLILLO 333 SOUTH HOPE STREET	
20		16TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071	
21		213.576.1000 GINA.ANGIOLILLO@ALSTON.COM	
22		(APPEARING VIA LACC)	
23	FOR MISCELLANEC	DUS CROSS-DEFENDANTS:	
24			
25		BLATZ LAW FIRM BY: RYAN BLATZ 206 NORTH SIGNAL STREET	
26		SUITE G OJAI, CALIFORNIA 93023	
27		805.646.3110 RYAN@RYANBLATZLAW.COM	
28		(APPEARING VIA LACC)	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS MEINERS OAKS WATER DISTRICT AND VENTURA RIVER WATER DISTRICT:
4	
5	HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG BY: JEANNE ZOLEZZI 5757 PACIFIC AVENUE
б	SUITE 222 STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA 95207
7	209.472.7700 JZOLEZZI@HERUMCRABTREE.COM
8	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
9	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANT ROBIN BERNHOFT:
10	
11	BRISCOE IVESTER & BAZEL, LLP BY: ANTHONY LEE FRANCOIS 235 MONTGOMERY STREET
12	SUITE 935 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
13	415.402.2700 TFRANCOIS@BRISCOELAW.NET
14	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
15	FOR GINNETTI LIVING TRUST, AND BALDWIN RANCH, LLC:
16	GREENBERG GLUSKER FIELDS
17	CLAMAN & MACHTINGER, LLP BY: BRIAN MOSKAL
18	2049 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2600
19	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 310.553.3610
20	BMOSKAL@GREENBERGGLUSKER.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS RANCHO MATILIJA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY, BETTINA CHANDLER, TRUSTEE OF THE BETTINA
4	CHANDLER TRUST, MARTIN GRAMCKOW AND LINDA GRAMCKOW INDIVIDUALLY; MARTIN GRAMCKOW, TRUSTEE OF THE MONIKA G.
5	HUSS IRREVOCABLE TRUST, TRUSTEE OF THE KARIN W. GRAMCKOW IRREVOCABLE TRUST, AND TRUSTEE OF THE KURT J.
6	GRAMCKOW IRREVOCABLE TRUST::
7	FERGUSON, CASE, ORR, PATTERSON, LLP BY: IAN L. ELSENHEIMER
8	1050 SOUTH KIMBALL ROAD VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93004
9	805.659.6800 IELSENHEIMER@FCOPLAW.COM
10	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
11	FOR VENTURA LAND TRUST:
12	MORRISON FOERSTER
13	BY: JUSTIN FISCH 425 MARKET STREET
14	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2482 415.268.7850
15	JFISCH@MOFO.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
16	(AFFEARING VIA DACC)
17	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS VENTURA COUNTY AND WATERSHED PROTECTION DISTRICT:
18	
19	HANSONBRIDGETT BY: NATHAN A. METCALF
20	425 MARKET STREET 26TH FLOOR
21	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 415.995.5838
22	NMETCALF@HANSONBRIDGETT.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	FOR CROSS-DEFENDANTS ANDREW K. WHITMAN AND HEIDI A. WHITMAN; NANCY L. WHITMAN; JOHN R. WHITMAN AND
4	NANCY L. WHITMAN FAMILY TRUST:
5	ANDREW K. WHITMAN IN PROPRIA PERSONA
6	821 NORTH SIGNAL STREET OJAI, CALIFORNIA 93023
7	805.444.5671 AWHITMAN@SCR-LEGAL.COM
8	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
9	FOR THE LOA E. BLISS 2006 REVOCABLE TRUST:
10	LOA E. BLISS
11	DAVID A. GILBERT IN PROPRIA PERSONA
12	9030 OJAI SANTA PAULA ROAD OJAI, CALIFORNIA 93023
13	617.750.8500 LOABLISS@HOTMAIL.COM
14	WABAN15@HOTMAIL.COM (APPEARING VIA LACC)
15	
16	FOR PROPOSED INTERVENOR CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & WILDLIFE:
17	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER
18	DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET
19	SUITE 1702 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90013
20	213.269.6343 NOAH.GOLDENKRASNER@DOJ.CA.GOV
21	(APPEARING VIA LACC)
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	1	CASE NUMBER:	19STCP01176
	2		SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER V. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL
	3		BOARD
	4	LOS ANGELES, CA	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2021
	5	DEPARTMENT SSC-10	HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE
	б	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
	7	REPORTER:	RONALD L. COOK, CSR NO. 13928
	8	TIME:	2:15 P.M.
	9		
	10	THE COURT: OKA	AY, WE'RE ON THE RECORD IN
	11	19STCP01176, SANTA BAR	RBARA CHANNELKEEPERS V. STATE
	12	WATER RESOURCES CONTRO	DL BOARD.
	13	WE'VE GOT	A NUMBER OF LAWYERS VIA
	14	COURTCONNECT AND CERTA	AIN LAWYERS IN THE COURTROOM.
	15	I'VE GOT A LOT OF SEPA	ARATE REPORTS. AND YOU'RE NOT MY
	16	ONLY CASE SO I APOLOGI	IZE IF I'VE FORGOTTEN THINGS THAT
	17	HAPPENED IN THE NOT-TO	OO-DISTANT PAST. BUT WITH ALL
	18	THESE DIFFERENT REPORT	TS, IT'S A LITTLE CHAOTIC KEEPING
	19	TRACK OF ALL THE MOVIN	NG PARTS.
	20	I KNOW THE	ERE'S A HAGGLE OVER THE RECENT
	21	NOTICE OF RULING.	
	22	I DID POIN	NT OUT TO VARIOUS PEOPLE THAT THEY
	23	WERE SERVING THINGS AN	ND NOT FILING THEM OR IN SOME
25 IF 2		F THESE PROBLEMS GOT FI DO I HAVE MS. BLISS: I'N	ND NOT SERVING THEM. LET ME SEE IXED. LOA BLISS WITH US THIS AFTERNOON? 4 HERE PRESENT, YOUR HONOR. . BLISS, WHEN, IF EVER, DID YOU

1	SERVE YOUR EX PARTE PARDON ME YEAH, YOUR EX PARTE
2	AND/OR YOUR DISCLOSURE OF EXPERTS ON
3	FILE & SERVEXPRESS?
4	MS. BLISS: ON OCTOBER 22ND AT 4:44.
5	AND I HAVE A RECEIPT FROM FILE &
6	SERVEXPRESS, AND I'VE PRINTED OUT A COPY OF ALL 206
7	PARTIES AND INTERESTED PARTIES THAT WERE SERVED.
8	THE COURT: I STAND CORRECTED. NOW I SEE IT.
9	IT WAS SO REMOTE IN TIME FROM THE DAY YOU FILED IT, I
10	DIDN'T THINK TO LOOK THAT FAR BACK IN TIME. BUT THERE
11	IT IS. THANK YOU.
12	DO I HAVE COUNSEL FOR VENTURA RIVER WATER
13	DISTRICT, EITHER HERUM CRABTREE SUNTAG OR THE LAW
14	OFFICES OF LINDSAY NIELSON?
15	MS. ZOLEZZI: YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS JEANNE
16	ZOLEZZI.
17	THE COURT: AND DID YOUR REPORT GET FILED WITH
18	THE CLERK OF OUR COURT AT SOME POINT?
19	MS. ZOLEZZI: I UNDERSTAND IT DID, YOUR HONOR,
20	AFTER MY SECRETARY CURSING THE VARIOUS METHODS OF
21	FILING FOR THREE DAYS. I BELIEVE IT WAS FINALLY FILED
22	YESTERDAY AFTERNOON.
23	THE COURT: BEAR WITH ME WHILE I CHECK OUR
24	RECORDS.
25	NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE TIME I SPEND HAVING TO
26	RUN DOWN THESE LOOSE ENDS IS LESS TIME I CAN SPEND ON
27	THE MORE SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES IN THE CASE, SO OBVIOUSLY
28	IF COUNSEL WOULD ROUTINELY DO WHAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO

DO, IT ALLOWS ME TO SPEND MORE TIME ON THE MERITS. 1 2 RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE YOUR DATA FILES ARE SO 3 LARGE IN THIS CASE DUE TO THE BAZILLION PARTIES, THE COMPUTER TAKES ITS SWEET TIME IN LOADING. 4 5 OKAY, I SEE YOUR REPORT, MS. ZOLEZZI. 6 THANK YOU. 7 MS. ZOLEZZI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: AND LIKEWISE, CITY OF OJAI'S REPORT 8 9 DID GET FILED YESTERDAY, SO THANK YOU, MS. JACOBSON. 10 MS. JACOBSON: YES, YOUR HONOR, AND REALLY 11 APOLOGIZE FOR THE INCONVENIENCE. 12 THE COURT: IT HAPPENS. 13 SOMEBODY WANTS TO JUMP IN AND SHARE YOUR 14 EXPERT, RIGHT, MS. JACOBSON? 15 MS. JACOBSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. MY 16 UNDERSTANDING IS THAT A FEW PARTIES, INCLUDING CASITAS, 17 WOULD LIKE TO DESIGNATE MR. KEAR AS THEIR EXPERT, 18 DEPENDING ON THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF THE ISSUES TO BE 19 TRIED IN PHASE 1, AND AT THIS POINT IN TIME OJAI DOES 20 NOT HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO THE USE OF THE EXPERT AS 21 DESIGNATED AND DISCLOSED. 22 THE COURT: HE SEEMS TO BE A POPULAR GUY. Т 23 KNOW MR. BAGGERLY RECOMMENDED HIM TO ME, BUT YOU GOT 24 HIM FIRST. 25 CITY OF VENTURA, DO YOU OBJECT TO CASITAS 26 MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT SHARING CLAIM AND FINANCIAL 27 RESPONSIBILITY FOR JORDAN KEAR, K-E-A-R? 28 MR. PISANO: I THINK WE WOULD NEED SOME

1	CLARIFICATION, YOUR HONOR, AND THAT IS, IS HE PLANNING
2	TO DO MORE WORK
3	THE COURT: THIS IS PISANO SPEAKING.
4	MR. PISANO: I'M SORRY. CHRISTOPHER PISANO.
5	I THINK WE WOULD NEED SOME CLARIFICATION IF
6	HE INTENDS MR. KEAR INTENDS TO DO MORE WORK
7	SPECIFICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE NEW PARTIES THAT ARE
8	INTENDING TO DESIGNATE HIM.
9	THE COURT: WELL, LIMITING IT SO FAR TO CASITAS
10	MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THEY
11	WANT TO TAKE HIM AS THEY FIND HIM WITH THE REPORT
12	ISSUED SO FAR, SUBJECT ONLY TO A RIGHT TO LATER ISSUE A
13	TIMELY SUPPLEMENTAL AND/OR REBUTTAL REPORT.
14	MR. DENNINGTON: YOUR HONOR, DOUG DENNINGTON,
15	FOR CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT.
16	THE COURT: CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG.
17	MR. DENNINGTON: THAT IS CORRECT.
18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
19	MR. PISANO: WELL, I THINK, THEN, WE WOULD NEED
20	TO RESERVE JUDGMENT ON THAT UNTIL WE SAW ANY
21	SUPPLEMENTAL OR REBUTTAL REPORT, WHICH I ASSUME WOULD
22	BE DONE ON THE 3RD, BECAUSE
23	THE COURT: WELL, HE COULD DO THAT FOR THE CITY
24	OF OJAI, WHETHER OR NOT THE CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER
25	DISTRICT SHARED PRIDE OF OWNERSHIP AND ENDORSEMENT OF
26	OPINIONS.
27	MR. PISANO: I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT. UNDER THE
28	LORDS VS. FAIRFAX CASE, THOUGH, THAT WE PUT IN OUR

1	REPORT, I THINK THAT CASITAS WOULD BE PRECLUDED FROM
2	OTHERWISE SUBMITTING A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT HAVING NOT
3	DESIGNATED A AN EXPERT REPORT IN A FIELD THAT WAS
4	CLEARLY KNOWN TO THEM AT THE TIME OF THE INITIAL
5	DESIGNATION. I THINK THE LORDS CASE
6	THE COURT: THEY ARTICULATED IN THEIR REPORT WHY
7	YOUR CLIENT'S DECISION TO INCLUDE A WATER HISTORIAN AND
8	THE FISHERIES EXPERT GAVE THEM TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE
9	PHASE 1 TRIAL WAS GOING TO BE MORE NUANCED, ACTUALLY,
10	THAN THEY MIGHT HAVE PERCEIVED, AND IT IS FOR THAT
11	REASON THEY FEEL THEY DO WANT SOMEBODY WITH MR. KEAR'S
12	SKILL SET AS PART OF THEIR LITIGATION TEAM.
13	CORRECT, MR
14	MR. DENNINGTON: DOUG DENNINGTON, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: IS THAT CORRECT, MR. DENNINGTON?
16	MR. DENNINGTON: SOMEWHAT, YES. I BELIEVE
17	MR. KEAR IS MORE OF A WATER EXPERT, AND SO THIS WAS
18	MORE ADDRESSING WHAT WE REFERENCED IN THE
19	MR. JUNGREIS REFERENCED IN HIS STATUS CONFERENCE
20	REPORT, IS SORT OF SCOPE CREEP TO THE PHASE 1 TRIAL.
21	THE COURT: IT'S TRUE YOU ARE, WITH KEAR,
22	LANDING ON A WATER EXPERT, NOT A HISTORY EXPERT
23	MR. DENNINGTON: THAT'S CORRECT.
24	THE COURT: OR FISHERIES EXPERT. WHAT YOU
25	ARTICULATED IN THE REPORT IS DUE TO THE MORE NUANCED
26	NATURE OF THE TRIAL AS DEPICTED BY CITY OF VENTURA, IF
27	I READ YOUR REPORT RIGHT.
28	MR. DENNINGTON: THAT'S RIGHT, AND WE

1	HIGHLIGHTED THE POTENTIAL USE OF TRUE REBUTTAL EXPERTS
2	ON THE BIOLOGY, IF NECESSARY.
3	THE COURT: THAT'S A DIFFERENT QUESTION.
4	MR. DENNINGTON: OKAY.
5	THE COURT: SO BACK TO PISANO.
6	I, FRANKLY, AM STARTING TO GET A LITTLE
7	SKEPTICAL WITH THE IDEA THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY
8	RELEVANCE TO A WATER HISTORIAN OR FISHERIES PERSON, BUT
9	THEN I SPENT A LITTLE TIME WITH YOUR HISTORY EXPERT,
10	AND I THINK I HAD YOU OR MR. HAGERTY A LITTLE BIT UPSET
11	THAT I SPENT MUCH TIME, AND SOMEHOW BY LOOKING AT IT
12	EARLY I WAS DOING YOU AN INJUSTICE BY FAMILIARIZING
13	MYSELF WITH YOUR CASE, WHICH STRUCK ME AS ODD, BUT SOME
14	LIMITED OBJECTIONS HAD BEEN MADE.
15	BUT HAVING SAID ALL THAT, WHEN I READ THAT
16	YOUR WATER HISTORIAN OPINED THAT THEY HAD, AFTER A BAD
17	DROUGHT IN THE LATE '40S, GOTTEN ENOUGH POLITICAL
18	INFLUENCE THROUGH THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND CONGRESS TO
19	FIND MONEY TO BUILD DIVERSION APPARATUS, CONDUITS IN
20	SIMPLE ENGLISH, TO TAKE SOME OF THE AVAILABLE WATER
21	FROM THE HEADWATERS OF THE VENTURA RIVER, MOVING
22	SIDEWAYS TO SPREADING GROUNDS THAT WOULD BENEFIT THE
23	OJAI GROUNDWATER BASIN, THAT SEEMED TO HAVE A CERTAIN
24	PLAUSIBILITY IN EXPLAINING CONNECTEDNESS, BECAUSE IF
25	MAN-MADE DEVICES MOVED THE WATER FROM POINT A TO
26	POINT B, THEN MAYBE THEY'RE MORE CONNECTED THAN YOU
27	MIGHT THINK IF YOU WEREN'T AWARE OF THE CONDUITS.
28	SO THAT ACTUALLY, TO ME, AT LEAST, MADE IT

SEEM QUITE UNDERSTANDABLE WHY A WATER HISTORIAN WOULD 1 2 EXPLAIN THAT NOT JUST THE ANCIENT ROMANS BUILT AQUEDUCTS BUT THAT WE AMERICANS HAVE DONE IT MORE 3 4 RECENTLY. 5 MR. PISANO: AND, YOUR HONOR, I AGREE WITH THAT, 6 AND WE DID HAVE THIS EXCHANGE AT THE LAST STATUS 7 CONFERENCE, AND IT WASN'T AN OBJECTION. IN FACT, I --8 I THINK I WAS VERY CLEAR IN SAYING WE DON'T OBJECT. WE 9 JUST WANTED TO MAKE --10 THE COURT: EXPRESSED CONCERN, I GUESS WOULD BE 11 A NICER WAY --12 MR. PISANO: WE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT, 13 YOU KNOW -- I'LL SAY WHAT WE ALL KNOW, BUT SAY IT, 14 WHICH IS THAT THOSE REPORTS, THE ANALYSIS COMES AT A 15 LATER TIME. AND THE COURT KNOWS THAT. SO --16 THE COURT: BUT I'M SUPPOSED TO FIGURE OUT 17 RELEVANCE, INCLUDING RELEVANCE OF DISCOVERY, SO I NEED 18 TO KNOW A BIT ABOUT THE NATURE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT IS OR 19 ISN'T RELEVANT. MR. PISANO: AND I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THAT, 20 21 YOUR HONOR, AND, YOU KNOW, ORDINARILY --22 THE COURT: BUT SO FAR THAT'S WHY CASITAS, A 23 BIG, BIG PLAYER IN THIS EXERCISE, WHO WAS PREVIOUSLY 24 TRYING TO ACT LIKE THEY WERE EVERYBODY'S FRIEND AND 25 NOBODY'S ENEMY, BECAUSE, TO SOME EXTENT, GIVEN THE MANY 26 CUSTOMERS THEY SERVE AND THE MANY PEOPLE WHO RELY UPON 27 THEM, THEY REALLY WANT TO TRY TO PLAY LIKE THEY'RE ABOVE THE FRAY, BUT THE FRAY SEEMS TO HAVE CAUGHT UP 28

1	WITH THEM, AND SOMEHOW THEY FEEL FOR SELF-PROTECTION
2	THEY NEED ACCESS TO EXPERT KEAR, EVEN IF THEY SHARE HIM
3	WITH THE CITY OF OJAI AND PERHAPS OTHERS, BUT THAT'S
4	THEIR EXPLANATION FOR WHY THEY'RE LATE TO THE PARTY.
5	AND I GUESS WE COULD WAIT FOR A NOTICE
6	MOTION TO BE RELIEVED OF THEIR DEADLINE, AND, IF
7	NOTHING ELSE, I CAN ALWAYS DELAY THE TRIAL SO THAT
8	THERE'S NO PREJUDICE, AND THAT'S MY FALLBACK TOOL IS
9	TO SAY, "FINE. FIRST PHASE TRIAL IS PUT OFF THREE
10	MONTHS. LET'S HAVE SOME MORE EXPERT DISCOVERY. WHERE
11	IS THE PREJUDICE?"
12	SO THAT'S A POLITE WAY OF HINTING THAT I
13	MAY BE ALLOWING THESE, BUT I'M SORT OF NEGOTIATING WITH
14	YOU BEFORE I CONTINUE THE TRIAL.
15	MR. PISANO: OKAY.
16	I WILL SAY, YOUR HONOR, WITH REGARDS TO
17	THE COURT: I DON'T REALLY WANT TO CONTINUE THE
18	TRIAL, BUT IF I HAVE TO, I COULD CONTINUE THE TRIAL.
19	MR. PISANO: WE DO NOT WANT A TRIAL CONTINUANCE.
20	THE COURT: AM I BEING CLEAR ENOUGH SO FAR,
21	THOUGH?
22	MR. PISANO: YOU ARE BEING VERY CLEAR.
23	THE COURT: OKAY.
24	MR. PISANO: CLEAR AS VODKA.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. HOPEFULLY STRONG VODKA.
26	MR. PISANO: I THINK THE PROBLEM THAT WE ARE
27	HAVING FROM THE CITY OF VENTURA'S PERSPECTIVE, YOUR
28	HONOR, IS THAT WHETHER THERE'S A TRIAL CONTINUANCE OR

NOT, THERE'S PREJUDICE IF YOU CONTINUE OUT THESE 1 2 EXCHANGE DATES, BECAUSE, FOR BETTER OR WORSE, THE COURT 3 MADE THE DECISION THAT THE CITY OF VENTURA HAS TO GO 4 FIRST. 5 THE COURT: I DID. б MR. PISANO: AND WE WENT FIRST. 7 THEY NOW HAVE HAD TWO MONTHS WITH OUR 8 REPORTS. MR. KEAR IS A HYDROGEOLOGIST. WE DESIGNATED 9 A HYDROGEOLOGIST. DR. ARCHER. THEY'VE KNOWN ABOUT 10 DR. ARCHER SINCE WELL BEFORE AUGUST 31ST. THEY'VE 11 KNOWN ABOUT HER FOR MONTHS, IF NOT YEARS. AND FOR THEM 12 TO SAY THAT THERE'S SOMEHOW A CHANGE IN FOCUS OR THAT 13 THE TRIAL -- THE FIRST PHASE OF TRIAL WAS GOING TO BE 14 SOMETHING THAT IT'S NOT AND, THEREFORE, THEY WANT 15 MR. KEAR I THINK IS PERHAPS A BIT DISINGENUOUS, 16 BECAUSE, AT A BARE MINIMUM, THEY SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THEY 17 NEEDED A HYDROGEOLOGIST. AND THEY HAD DR. ARCHER'S 18 REPORT FOR THREE WEEKS BEFORE THEY EVEN HAD TO DO 19 ANYTHING, AND THEY DIDN'T DISCLOSE ANYONE. 20 THE COURT: BUT THEY'RE BEING SO BABY STEP BY JUST JUMPING ON KEAR AND NOT TRYING TO BRING IN A NEW 21 22 SO THAT'S WHY IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE PREJUDICIAL, FACE. 23 BUT YOU FEEL IT IS. 24 MR. PISANO: I FEEL IT IS, UNDER THE 25 CIRCUMSTANCE THAT WE HAD TO DISCLOSE BEFORE ANYONE ELSE 26 IN THIS CASE. 27 THE COURT: AND I DID PUT THAT ON YOU. I THINK IN THE END OF THE DAY YOU DIDN'T PROTEST TO THE POINT 28

1	OF TRYING TO TAKE A WRIT, AND I DO UNDERSTAND THAT
2	NORMALLY THE COURT RULES MUTUALITY, BUT IT APPEARED TO
3	ADVANCE THE EFFICIENT LITIGATION, AND I THOUGHT AT THE
4	TIME YOU HAD SAID, "BUT IF YOU WANT IT, JUDGE, WE'LL GO
5	ALONG." NOW YOU'RE CALLING IT PREJUDICE.
6	MR. PISANO: WELL, I DON'T THINK WE EVER AGREED
7	OR STIPULATED THAT IT WASN'T PREJUDICIAL. WE THINK
8	THAT IT WAS. BUT WE OBVIOUSLY RESPECT THE COURT'S
9	RULING, AND WE DID WHAT THE COURT ORDERED. WE DECIDED
10	THAT IT WAS NOT, YOU KNOW, WORTH THE EFFORT TO TAKE IT
11	UP ON A WRIT, ALTHOUGH IT'S AN INTERESTING ISSUE, AND
12	ONE DAY THE COURT OF APPEAL IS GOING TO HAVE TO
13	THE COURT: WELL, RAPHAEL METZGER DOES REAL GOOD
14	ON THOSE WRITS, SO JUST COPY RAPHAEL.
15	MR. PISANO: BUT, YOU KNOW, WE MADE A TACTICAL
16	DECISION NOT TO DO THAT. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE
17	CONCEDE THERE WAS NO PREJUDICE.
18	THE COURT: SO GIVEN THAT THERE WAS ALWAYS GOING
19	TO BE A TIME FOR SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS AND GIVEN THAT I
20	WAS BLISSFULLY OBLIVIOUS TO THE POSSIBILITY OF REBUTTAL
21	REPORTS, AND, THEREFORE, MADE NO PROVISION ONE WAY OR
22	THE OTHER FOR REBUTTAL REPORTS, WHAT'S THE REAL
23	PREJUDICE WITH CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT SHARING
24	OWNERSHIP WITH OJAI OF MR. KEAR AT THIS TIME?
25	HE'S NOT ADDING TO HIS REPORT, BUT YOU MAY
26	SEE A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT THAT OJAI OTHERWISE COULD
27	HAVE DONE ANYWAY. AND YOU MIGHT SEE A REBUTTAL REPORT
28	THAT OJAI COULD HAVE DONE ANYWAY. SO WHAT'S THE

1 PREJUDICE? 2 MR. PISANO: I GUESS THE PREJUDICE, YOUR HONOR, 3 IS CASITAS COULDN'T HAVE DONE A SUPPLEMENTAL UNDER THE 4 LORDS CASE. 5 THE COURT: BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T IN THE GAME IN 6 THE FIRST PLACE? 7 MR. PISANO: BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T IN THE GAME 8 FROM THE GET-GO. 9 THE COURT: BUT UNLIKE GOODEN, APPARENTLY OJAI IS ALIGNED WITH CASITAS, SO WHAT OJAI WANTS IS PROBABLY 10 11 WHAT CASITAS WILL WANT. 12 MR. PISANO: I MEAN, IF CASITAS WANTS -- OR OJAI 13 WANTS TO, YOU KNOW, HAVE KEAR DO A SUPPLEMENTAL THAT 14 THEY BANKROLL OR WHATEVER, THAT'S BETWEEN THEM AND 15 OJAI, BUT CASITAS SHOULDN'T AT THIS POINT BE ABLE TO 16 PICK UP THE KEAR FOOTBALL, AND MAYBE INSTEAD OF GOING 17 TO THE LEFT, GO TO THE RIGHT, AND TAKE A DIFFERENT TACK 18 AGAINST WHAT DR. ARCHER OPINED TO THAT'S EXCLUSIVE AND LIMITED TO CASITAS, THAT THE CITY OF OJAI WOULDN'T HAVE 19 20 DONE. 21 THE COURT: ANYTHING PREVENT THEM FROM SENDING 22 MS. JACOBSON AS BIG A CHECK AS IT TAKES TO HIRE KEAR TO 23 DO WHATEVER HE NEEDS TO DO? 24 MR. PISANO: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR? 25 THE COURT: DOES ANYTHING PREVENT CASITAS 26 MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT FROM SENDING HOLLY JACOBSON, 27 AS COUNSEL FOR THE CITY OF OJAI, AS BIG A CHECK AS IT 28 TAKES TO PAY WHATEVER FEE HAS TO BE PAID TO MR. KEAR

2 THE CITY OF OJAI AND/OR CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER	
3 DISTRICT THINK ADVANCE THEIR COMMON CAUSE?	
4 MR. PISANO: WELL, THAT'S A BIT OF AN ABSTRAC	!T
5 QUESTION. THERE MAY BE A PROBLEM	
6 THE COURT: YOU JUST VOLUNTEERED, I THOUGHT,	
7 THAT HE COULD PAY TO SUBSIDIZE THE WORK	
8 MR. PISANO: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE	
9 ARGUING, AND THAT WOULD BE BETWEEN THOSE TWO ENTITIE	s.
10 THE COURT: BUT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT	1
11 THE PRACTICAL HARM IS, BECAUSE IF MR. DENNINGTON CAN	ſ
12 USE HIS FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO LET OJAI DO MORE THAN	Ī
13 PERHAPS THE TAXPAYERS OF OJAI CAN AFFORD, DON'T YOU	
14 WIND UP IN THE SAME PLACE?	
15 MR. PISANO: THE PRACTICAL HARM, YOUR HONOR,	
16 WOULD BE IF MR. KEAR'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT LOOKS	
17 SOMETHING ALONG THE LINES OF, DR. ARCHER'S OPINIONS	ARE
18 INCORRECT FOR X, Y AND Z REASONS, WHICH IS FOR THE S	OLE
19 BENEFIT OF CASITAS, AND ITS PEOPLE AND HAS NOTHING T	0
20 DO WITH THE CITY OF OJAI AND ITS PEOPLE.	
21 MS. JACOBSON WOULDN'T OTHERWISE PAY FOR	
22 THAT. THAT WOULD BE THE PREJUDICE. THAT WOULD BE 7	ΉE
23 HARM.	
24 I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO	
25 HAPPEN. THEY MAY MR. KEAR MAY COME UP WITH A	
26 SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION THAT COMPLETELY ALIGNS WITH WHA	Т
27 BOTH CASITAS WANTS AND WHAT OJAI WANTS.	
28 THE COURT: SO WOULD CASITAS BE ABLE TO MAKE	A

1	MOTION TO ASK TO BE RELIEVED OF THEIR FAILURE TO TIMELY
2	JUDGMENT?
3	MR. PISANO: THEY COULD MAKE A MOTION TO
4	SUBMIT TO AUGMENT OR SUBMIT A TARDY DESIGNATION.
5	THERE ARE PROVISIONS FOR THAT IN THE DISCOVERY ACT.
6	THE DISCOVERY ACT IS STILL VERY MUCH VIABLE AND IN PLAY
7	IN THIS CASE. THE ADJUDICATION STATUTE VERY
8	SPECIFICALLY SAYS THAT
9	THE COURT: SO PROBABLY WHAT I OUGHT TO DO IS
10	JUST INVITE MR. DENNINGTON TO MAKE THAT MOTION AND SEE
11	WHERE IT TAKES US, BECAUSE YOU WANT A CHANCE TO
12	CHALLENGE IT, AND SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAVE EVERY RIGHT TO
13	DO IT. SO I DON'T NEED TO USE INFORMAL PROCEDURE WHEN
14	I'M JUST MAKING APPEALABLE ISSUES FOR MANGLING THE
15	DISCOVERY RULES.
16	MR. PISANO: I THINK THAT WOULD BE FINE, YOUR
17	HONOR. I AM
18	THE COURT: MR. DENNINGTON, DO YOU UNDERSTAND
19	WHY MR. PISANO WISHES YOU TO MAKE A MOTION RATHER THAN
20	ME JUST
21	MR. DENNINGTON: I REALLY DON'T, YOUR HONOR. I
22	DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE
23	PRACTICAL PREJUDICE.
24	THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THE THE ONE POINT
25	HE MADE IS THAT THE SUPPLEMENTAL WAS UNIQUELY
26	BENEFICIAL TO CASITAS AND NOT USEFUL FOR OJAI CITY, BUT
27	YOU GOT TO BRING IT IN AS A SUPPLEMENTAL, OPINING BACK
28	AGAINST VENTURA'S EXPERT ARCHER, THAT YOU WOULD HAVE

1	THAT PRIVILEGE ONLY BECAUSE YOU GOT THIS DELAYED
2	DESIGNATION, AND HE DOESN'T WANT YOU TO HAVE THAT
3	RIGHT.
4	AND YOU MIGHT STILL BE ABLE TO GET A
5	DELAYED DESIGNATION ON CONDITIONS BECAUSE THERE ARE ALL
6	SORTS OF PROVISIONS IN THE DISCOVERY ACT THAT ALLOW
7	PEOPLE TO GET DO-OVERS SUBJECT TO MITIGATING TECHNIQUES
8	LIKE TRIAL CONTINUANCES, ALTHOUGH MR. PISANO DOESN'T
9	WANT A TRIAL CONTINUANCE, BUT HE WANTS THE MOTION.
10	SO I THINK THAT'S WHERE WE SHOULD GO NEXT,
11	BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO JUST, YOU KNOW, OVERLY
12	STRONG-ARM WITH VODKA AND, YOU KNOW, WIND UP WITH AN
13	APPEALABLE ISSUE.
14	MR. DENNINGTON: WOULD THE COURT BE INCLINED TO
15	CONTINUE THE TRIAL DATE?
16	THE COURT: POSSIBLY.
17	MR. DENNINGTON: OKAY.
18	THE COURT: YOU WOULD HAVE TO ASK FOR IT, BUT IF
19	THAT'S THE MITIGATING SOLUTION TO THE HARM CAUSED BY
20	LATE DESIGNATION, IT'S AN OBVIOUS THING TO SUGGEST IF
21	YOU'RE THE MOVING PARTY.
22	MR. HAGERTY, I ASSUME YOU SHARE THE
23	STRATEGY WITH MR. PISANO? DO YOU WANT A RECESS?
24	MR. HAGERTY: NO. I DO, YOUR HONOR. I WILL
25	THE COURT: OKAY, FINE.
26	MR. HAGERTY: I WOULD MAKE THE POINT, AS WE
27	SPOKE WITH MR. DENNINGTON BEFORE THIS, AND I DO THINK
28	SOME OF THIS MIGHT BE CLEARER AFTER A BRIEFING ON THE

1	8TH AND THE ISSUES HEARING ON THE 15TH THAT THE COURT
2	HAS SET. SO I THINK WE HAD TALKED ABOUT TRYING TO SEE
3	WHETHER WE COULD WORK SOME OF THESE THINGS OUT.
4	WE FEEL STRONGLY THAT THE SCHEDULE IS THE
5	SCHEDULE, WE SHOULD STICK TO IT, AND THAT DEVIATIONS
6	THE COURT: REMIND ME. WHAT'S CALENDARED FOR
7	THE 15TH?
8	OUR CURRENT OFFICIAL RECORDS DON'T SHOW
9	ANYTHING OF NOTE ON THE 15TH BEYOND A MOTION TO BE
10	RELIEVED AS TO ONE PARTICULAR LITIGANT.
11	MR. HAGERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR. YOU SAID AT THE
12	LAST STATUS CONFERENCE YOU PROVIDED DIRECTION TO DO
13	TWO THINGS. ON NOVEMBER 8TH THE PARTIES WHO ARE
14	INTERESTED IN THE PHASE 1 TRIAL ARE TO FILE FACT AND
15	ISSUE BRIEFS AS CLEARLY AND AS CONCISELY AS FEASIBLE,
16	SO THAT THE COURT CAN FAIRLY CLEARLY GET YOUR ARMS
17	AROUND THE FIVE ISSUES THAT WE'VE PUT FORWARD. AND
18	THAT'S TO BE FILED ON THE 8TH, AND THEN ON THE 15TH YOU
19	RESERVED FROM 1:30 TO 4:30
20	THE COURT: REGRETTABLY, THAT DIDN'T HIT THE
21	CALENDAR YET.
22	ALDWIN, WE NEED TO ADD FOR NOVEMBER 15TH AT
23	1:30 P.M., WITH A THREE-HOUR TIME BLOCK, FOR THE STATUS
24	CONFERENCE REGARDING PRECISE ISSUES TO BE DECIDED AT
25	THE PHASE 1 TRIAL.
26	CORRECT, MR. HAGERTY?
27	MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S CORRECT.
28	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE JUST NEED TO UPDATE

1 OUR CALENDAR.

27

2 MR. HAGERTY: AND WITH THAT -- THAT'S THE ONLY 3 CAVEAT, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE I KNOW THAT SOME OF THESE REQUESTS THAT ARE BEING MADE ARE POTENTIALLY DEFENSIVE 4 5 IN NATURE BECAUSE PARTIES AREN'T UNDERSTANDING 6 COMPLETELY OR HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE SCOPE OF PHASE 1. 7 THE COURT: WELL, I GET A LITTLE CONFUSED, 8 THOUGH, NOT IN A WAY THAT TROUBLES ME, BECAUSE IT'S AN 9 INTERESTING QUESTION OF EXACTLY HOW FAR WE GO TO FIGURE 10 OUT CONNECTEDNESS, ALSO KNOWN AS INTERCONNECTEDNESS. 11 AND IT'S ONE THING JUST TO TRY TO FIND THE METES AND 12 BOUNDS OF FOUR GROUNDWATER BASINS AND THE METES AND 13 BOUNDS OF THE VENTURA RIVER AND THE TRIBUTARIES. 14 I DOUBT THAT WILL HAVE EXTENDED FACTUAL 15 DISPUTE AT TIME OF TRIAL, BUT DID IF IT DOES, YOU KNOW, 16 MAYBE THE GROUNDWATER BASIN EBBS AND FLOWS ACCORDING TO 17 ITS VOLUME AND ITS HEIGHT, BUT -- AND MAYBE THE SAME 18 CAN BE SAID FOR WHAT ARE THE EXACT BOUNDARIES OF THE 19 SURFACE WATER COURSE ACCORDING TO WET VERSUS DRY YEARS, 20 BUT YOU PRESUMABLY PICK THE WET YEARS TO DEFINE THE 21 OUTER LIMITS OF THE WATER COURSE. 22 BUT THE CONNECTEDNESS IS INTERESTING 23 BECAUSE, IN THE ABSTRACT, I GUESS WE CAN SAY BEFORE MAN 24 WAS HERE CAN WE RECONSTRUCT SOMEHOW THE EXTENT TO WHICH 25 WE CAN FIGURE OUT IF THE SURFACE WATER AND THE 26 GROUNDWATER OR THE FOUR DIFFERENT GROUNDWATER BASINS

28 WORK OF MAN CONFUSED THINGS. BUT, HEY, WE'VE BEEN HERE

DID OR DIDN'T SHARE WATER IN SOME FASHION BEFORE THE

FOR A COUPLE HUNDRED YEARS. SO NOW WE HAVE THINGS LIKE 1 2 THE FEDERAL PROJECT IN THE LATE '40S MOVING THE 3 PLUMBING AROUND AND MOVING SOME WATER, AND THEN AT LEAST INSOFAR AS THE FISHERIES EXPERT IS GOING TO 4 5 COMMENT ABOUT HOW SOMEHOW THE AMOUNT OF SURFACE WATER 6 AND/OR GROUNDWATER SEEMS TO IMPACT WHAT'S HAPPENING 7 WITH THE FISHERIES AND THAT SOMEHOW EDUCATES US ABOUT CONNECTEDNESS, WHICH IS, AS I UNDERSTAND, ONE OF THE 8 9 EXPERTS YOU THINK WILL BE GERMANE, TRUE?

10 MR. HAGERTY: YES, WITH A CAVEAT, IF I MAY, YOUR 11 HONOR, BECAUSE I THINK THAT POINT THAT YOU JUST MADE IS 12 WE WOULDN'T AGREE WITH THE CAUSE AND EFFECTS CONNECTION 13 YOU JUST MADE.

14 WITH REGARD TO THE FISHERY EXPERT, WE HAVE
15 ONE AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
16 HAS ONE, AND THEY CAN COMMENT AS WELL, BUT THE PURPOSE
17 OF THAT EXPERT AT THIS POINT IN TIME IS TO DEMONSTRATE
18 THAT THE COURT NEEDS TO CONSIDER THE FISHERY IN FUTURE
19 PHASES IF THE COURT FINDS THAT THERE IS
20 INTERCONNECTION.

21 AND THAT IS -- IT'S REALLY CRITICAL TO GET 22 THIS RIGHT, AND THAT'S WHY, AGAIN, THE 15TH IS GOING TO 23 BE, I THINK, VERY INSTRUCTIVE, BECAUSE WE BELIEVE, AND 24 WE'VE TRIED TO ARTICULATE IN OUR JOINT REPORT -- WE 25 OBJECT TO THE REQUEST THAT'S BEING MADE BY GREG 26 PATTERSON'S CLIENTS TO BE RELIEVED OF NOT DESIGNATING 27 AND JUMP ON --28 THE COURT: THAT'S A LITTLE DIFFERENT BECAUSE

> First Legal Deposition-Calendar@firstlegal.com L.A. 855.348.4997

1	THEY MAY WANT A NEW FACE. IT'S NOT CLEAR THEY WANT
2	JORDAN KEAR. THEY MAY WANT A NEW FACE EVENTUALLY.
3	MR. HAGERTY: THAT ONE I UNDERSTAND, AND
4	MR. PATTERSON CAN ADDRESS THIS LATER, BUT THEY WHAT
5	THEY'RE TRYING TO DO AND IT'S PART OF THE THEORY OF
6	
_	THEIR EXPERT OPINION, WHICH WE OBJECT TO, BECAUSE THEY
7	ARE FOCUSING ON CAUSE AND EFFECT, WHICH WE DON'T
8	BELIEVE IS RELEVANT. AND SO
9	THE COURT: THE HALF ACRE-FOOT I TAKE FROM MY
10	WELL OVER HERE CAN'T POSSIBLY IMPACT YOUR FISHERY
11	15 MILES AWAY.
12	MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT. AND IF EVERYONE SAID THAT,
13	THERE WOULD BE NO IMPACT. IT'S THE TRAGEDY OF THE
14	COMMONS THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH. WE'RE DEALING AT THAT
15	LEVEL AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
16	AND SO THE POINT OF THE FISHERY EXPERT, TO
17	BRING IT BACK, IS JUST TO SAY THERE ARE SURFACE WATER
18	USERS, BOTH HUMAN AND AQUATIC, IN-STREAM USES, THAT WE
19	BELIEVE THE COURT HAS TO CONSIDER IN FUTURE PHASES OF
20	THIS CASE WHEN IT'S DECIDING RIGHTS IN THE BASIN. THAT
21	IS WHAT WE BELIEVE THE REASONABLE USE DOCTRINE
22	REQUIRES
23	THE COURT: HOW WILL THAT TELL ME ANYTHING ABOUT
24	CONNECTEDNESS FROM YOUR POINT OF VIEW?
25	MR. HAGERTY: WELL, SEE, THIS IS WHERE IT'S
26	IMPORTANT TO THINK OF THE THEORIES THAT PEOPLE ARE
27	RAISING, BECAUSE, YOUR HONOR
28	THE COURT: I SORT OF UNDERSTAND THE WATER

1 HISTORIAN. 2 MR. HAGERTY: YES. AND --3 THE COURT: BECAUSE WE HAVE A MAN-MADE IMPROVEMENT THAT MOVES THE WATER IN WAYS YOU WOULDN'T 4 5 OTHERWISE EXPECT. 6 MR. HAGERTY: YES. AND LET'S KNOCK OUT THE 7 BOTANIST, BECAUSE THAT'S VERY CLEAR AS WELL, YOUR HONOR, THAT --8 9 THE COURT: NOT YET TO ME, SO MAKE IT CLEAR NOW. 10 MR. HAGERTY: YES. SO THERE ARE SPECIES THAT 11 DEPEND UPON INTERCONNECTED GROUNDWATER, AND A BOTANIST 12 WILL OPINE TO THAT. THAT, IN OUR VIEW, IS EVIDENCE OF 13 INTERCONNECTEDNESS. AND THAT'S ROOTED IN THE LAW. 14 WE'LL PRESENT THAT TO YOU. 15 SO HELP ME OUT. LIKE A SYCAMORE THE COURT: WILL GROW ON THE EDGE OF THE STREAM, BUT ONLY BECAUSE 16 17 IT CAN FIND GROUNDWATER --18 MR. HAGERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR. EXACTLY. YOU 19 HAVE IT EXACTLY. AND THAT'S EVIDENCE THAT THERE IS A 20 TIME WHEN THE SURFACE WATER AND THE GROUNDWATER ARE 21 MEETING IN A WAY THAT'S MEANINGFUL TO THAT SPECIES. 22 THAT'S ALL THAT THAT PERSON IS GOING TO TESTIFY TO. 23 THE COURT: SO MY SYCAMORE WILL TAKE THE SURFACE 24 WATER WHEN IT CAN GET IT IN THE SPRING, BUT IT SURVIVES 25 THE SUMMER AND THE FALL BECAUSE ITS ROOTS ARE DEEP 26 ENOUGH TO FIND SOME GROUNDWATER. 27 MR. HAGERTY: IT NEEDS THAT INTERCONNECTEDNESS, 28 YOUR HONOR.

1	THE COURT: AND THE VERY FACT THAT THIS KIND OF
2	A TREE WILL GROW IN THIS LOCATION ON THE LITERAL OF THE
3	RIVER OR THE TRIBUTARY IS SOME EVIDENCE, IN YOUR VIEW
4	AS AN ADVOCATE, AT LEAST, OF INTERCONNECTEDNESS.
5	MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S EXACT RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: SO FAR SO GOOD.
7	MR. HAGERTY: SO THOSE ARE THE TWO. RIGHT? SO
8	NOW WE'VE GOT THE FISHERY AND, AGAIN, THE FISHERY,
9	YOUR HONOR MAYBE IT'S BEST TO THINK ABOUT IT
10	WE'VE HAD A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS 833(C) AND
11	WHETHER THE COURT HAS TO MAKE A FINDING, AND THAT'S
12	GOING TO BE AN ISSUE WE'LL PRESENT TO YOU
13	THE COURT: THAT'S THE NECESSARY PRECONDITION TO
14	PUT SURFACE WATER AT ISSUE WHEN WE'RE WORKING UNDER THE
15	COMPREHENSIVE GROUNDWATER STATUTE?
16	MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AT
17	LEAST THAT'S THE ARGUMENT. WE HAVEN'T
18	THE COURT: UP FROM BELOW, ESSENTIALLY. WHY WE
19	GO FROM BELOW TO UP, BECAUSE THERE'S SOMETHING ABOUT
20	THE SURFACE THAT MATTERS TO THE BELOW.
21	MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT. SO PART OF THAT
22	THE COURT: EVEN THOUGH THIS CASE STARTED WITH
23	THE UP, IN TERMS OF HOW THE LITIGATION STARTED
24	HISTORICALLY, AND IS NOW GOING BELOW. BUT FROM A
25	STATUTORY SCHEME, WHIRLING UPWARDS FROM THE GROUNDWATER
26	BASIN TO WONDER WHY WE CARE ABOUT THE SURFACE WATER.
27	MR. HAGERTY: WITH REGARD TO THE SIXTH CAUSE OF
28	ACTION, YES.
1	

1	SO THE QUESTION THERE, IF THE COURT
2	DETERMINES IT HAS TO MAKE A FINDING THERE, YOU HAVE TO
3	LOOK AT THE LANGUAGE VERY CAREFULLY. IT SPEAKS TO
4	INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATER, BUT THEN REALLY THE
5	QUESTION IS: IS IT RELEVANT FOR YOU TO LOOK AT THAT TO
6	DETERMINE RIGHTS IN THE BASIN.
7	AND, AGAIN, THAT IS CRITICALLY WHY THE
8	FISHERY EXPERT IN THIS PHASE IS NECESSARY. NOT TO
9	ACTUALLY DETERMINE RIGHTS, BUT YOU WE HAVE TO SHOW
10	TO YOU THAT YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE INTERCONNECTED
11	SURFACE WATER, AND WE DO THAT BY SAYING
12	THE COURT: IS THAT SURFACE WATER A TO SURFACE
13	WATER B? OR YOU MEAN SURFACE WATER COLLECTIVELY TO ONE
14	OR MORE OF THE GROUNDWATERS?
15	MR. HAGERTY: WELL, THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION, YOUR
16	HONOR. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT INTERCONNECTED SURFACE
17	WATER. REALLY THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE STREAMS AND
18	THE BASINS, AND THEN THE BASINS TO THE STREAMS. AND
19	THIS IS
20	THE COURT: BASINS FOR THESE PURPOSES MEANING
21	UNDERGROUND?
22	MR. HAGERTY: CORRECT.
23	AND ONE THING THAT I THINK WE CAN CLEAR UP
24	VERY CLEARLY IS, YOU KNOW, ULTIMATELY IF WE GO TO A
25	FULL ADJUDICATION, YOU WILL HAVE TO LOOK AT RIGHTS
26	WITHIN THE DIFFERENT BASINS, BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, SOMEONE
27	IN UPPER OJAI HAS A DIFFERENT RIGHT TO WATER IN UPPER
28	OJAI THAN SOMEONE IN OJAI DOES. RIGHT? BECAUSE
1	

THEY'RE DIFFERENT. SO YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK AT 1 2 THAT DIFFERENTLY. 3 THE COURT: THEY'RE SHARING A DIFFERENT BASIN. 4 MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT. AND -- BUT WE'RE NOT --5 SEE, THIS IS WHERE PEOPLE CONFUSE THIS POINT. WE AGREE 6 WITH THAT, BUT WHAT WE DON'T AGREE WITH IS THAT YOU 7 COULD IGNORE INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATER. SO WATER 8 RUNS THROUGH -- IN OUR VIEW, RUNS THROUGH THE UPPER 9 OJAI BASIN, SURFACE WATER GOES INTO GROUND, WHERE IT 10 COMES BACK OUT, AND THAT'S MEANINGFUL TO THE DOWNSTREAM 11 SURFACE WATER USERS. 12 THE COURT: WELL, PARTICULARLY IF THAT'S THE 13 CYCLE, WHERE IT'S GOING SURFACE TO UNDERGROUND, AND 14 THEN EMERGES, AND COMES SURFACE A SECOND TIME. 15 MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S RIGHT. 16 THE COURT: EVEN IF THAT'S THE FULL EXTENT OF 17 THE CYCLE. 18 MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S RIGHT. AND THAT'S --19 THE COURT: BECAUSE IN THAT PROCESS SURFACE FED 20 BASIN, BASIN FED SURFACE. 21 MR. HAGERTY: SUBJECT TO PROOF -- WE'LL HAVE TO 22 DEMONSTRATE THAT, BUT THAT'S WHAT PHASE 1 IS ALL ABOUT, 23 THAT CONNECTEDNESS. 24 BUT THE FISHERY EXPERT, IT HAS TO BE -- THE 25 COURT HAS TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE FISH ARE THERE AND 26 THAT TO DETERMINE RIGHTS IN THOSE BASINS, YOU'RE GOING 27 TO HAVE TO THINK ABOUT THE FISH IN A FUTURE PHASE. YOU'RE GOING TO ALSO HAVE TO THINK ABOUT OTHER SURFACE 28

1	WATER EXPORTERS. WE'RE NOT DOING THAT IN PHASE 1.
2	WE'RE NOT MAKING THAT SPECIFIC CAUSAL CONNECTION. BUT
3	IT'S A FACTUAL PREDICATE THE COURT HAS TO HAVE TO DO
4	FUTURE PHASES, AND SO THAT'S WHY THE FISHERY COMPONENT
5	IS CRITICAL, BUT IT'S NOT
6	THE COURT: SO BEAR WITH ME. LET'S TRY TO
7	IMAGINE WHAT THE CASE AND/OR THE PROOF AND/OR THE
8	FINDINGS WOULD LOOK LIKE IF, FOR SOME REASON, I
9	WOULDN'T HEAR A FISHERIES EXPERT. SO YOU'D STILL TRY
10	TO PROVE THE WATER WENT FROM THE SURFACE INTO THE BASIN
11	AND FROM THE BASIN OUT AGAIN TO THE SURFACE.
12	MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT.
13	THE COURT: AND THEN WASTES ITSELF IN THE
14	PACIFIC OCEAN, HYPOTHETICALLY, IF NOBODY FIGURES OUT A
15	WAY TO EXTRACT IT.
16	MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT.
17	THE COURT: THAT'S SORT OF THE WORST CASE, THAT
18	IT WASTES INTO THE PACIFIC OCEAN.
19	WOULD I HAVE A DIFFERENT CONCLUSION ABOUT
20	CONNECTEDNESS IF I DIDN'T THINK OF THE PHASE OF THE
21	FISH IN THE LOWER STRETCH OF THE RIVER WHO WOULD LIKE
22	THE BENEFIT OF SOME OF THAT WATER, WHO PROBABLY
23	WOULDN'T EVEN CALL IT WASTE? BECAUSE IF THEY WANT TO
24	LIVE IN THAT WATER BEFORE IT GETS TO THE PACIFIC OCEAN,
25	THEY WOULD PROBABLY HAVE A DIFFERENT TERM THAN WASTE.
26	MR. HAGERTY: YES. IT WOULD NOT IF YOU
27	EXCLUDED THE FISHERY EXPERT, YOU WOULD NOT BE IN A
28	POSITION TO MAKE A FULL AND CORRECT DETERMINATION OF

RIGHTS IN THE BASINS IN FUTURE PHASES. THAT IS THE 1 CRITICAL COMPONENT. 2 3 SO YOU HAVE TO --4 THE COURT: FOR EXAMPLE, MY WATER THAT OTHERWISE 5 IS BEING UNUSED BEFORE IT DISCHARGES TO THE PACIFIC, 6 ACTUALLY DOES -- ASSUMING THAT'S PART OF WHERE THE FISH 7 CHOOSE TO LIVE, HAS A BENEFICIAL USE, FOR THE VERY FACT 8 IT'S SUPPORTING A FISHERY. 9 MR. HAGERTY: RIGHT. AND YOU'LL NEED TO THINK 10 ABOUT THAT IN THE FUTURE -- IN FUTURE PHASES, AND 11 YOU'LL NEED TO EVENTUALLY BALANCE THE NEEDS OF PEOPLE 12 TO USE WATER IN THE BASINS AND THE NEEDS OF PEOPLE TO 13 USE WATER FROM THE SURFACE, AND THE NEEDS OF THE --14 THE COURT: BUT BALANCING CLEARLY IS BEYOND 15 PHASE 1. 16 MR. HAGERTY: ABSOLUTELY. AND THAT'S WHY -- I 17 MEAN, AGAIN, YOU KNOW --18 THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHY YOU WOULD SAY MY 19 LITTLE HALF ACRE-FOOT WELL IS DE MINIMIS IN THE VIEW OF 20 SOMEBODY IS NOT RELEVANT TO PHASE 1 BECAUSE, AS YOU SAY, WHEN THERE ARE MULTIPLE DIFFERENT PEOPLE EACH 21 22 TAKING HALF AN ACRE-FOOT, ULTIMATELY THE CUMULATIVE 23 IMPACT IS DIFFERENT THAN THE SIGNIFICANCE OR 24 INSIGNIFICANCE OF A LONELY WELL. 25 MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 26 EXACTLY. 27 AND, AGAIN, I THINK -- YOU KNOW, IN SOME WAYS IT SHOULD BE SOMETHING WE CAN STIPULATE TO AS 28

1	REGARDS TO THE FISH, AND THAT'S SOMETHING TO BE
2	DISCUSSED IN THE FUTURE, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT TRYING TO
3	SHOW SPECIFIC CAUSE AND EFFECT AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
4	WE ARE TRYING TO SHOW, THOUGH, THAT YOU
5	HAVE TO THINK OF THIS AS A COMMON SOURCE, IN PART
6	BECAUSE THE FISH ARE THERE AND BECAUSE DOWNSTREAM WATER
7	RIGHTS HOLDERS ARE THERE. AND IF YOU TAKE ANY OF THOSE
8	PIECES OUT YOU WILL NOT GET THE CORRECT RESULT IN
9	FUTURE PHASES. YOU WON'T HAVE THE FULL PICTURE AND
10	INFORMATION.
11	AND THAT IS ALL WE'RE TRYING TO SHOW IN
12	PHASE 1. WE'RE TRYING TO SET THE TABLE FOR, IN OUR
13	VIEW, A CONSIDERATION OF THE PHYSICAL SOLUTION, BUT IF
14	IT DOESN'T GO THERE, THEN, YOU KNOW, DECIDING WHAT HAS
15	TO HAPPEN IN THE DIFFERENT BASINS AND WITHIN THE STREAM
16	TO BE CONSISTENT WITH EVERYONE'S RIGHTS TO USE WATER
17	BUT ALSO TO PROTECT THE HEALTH OF THE FISHERY.
18	THE COURT: NOW, REMIND ME. MR. KEAR HAS GIVEN
19	A REPORT, THE ONE OBTAINED BY THE CITY OF OJAI FOR ITS
20	OWN ADVOCACY PURPOSES, AND I BARELY SCRATCHED THE
21	SURFACE OF IT BUT I THINK I PEEKED AT IT FOR A PAGE OR
22	THREE. PROBABLY LESS TIME THAN I SPENT WITH YOUR WATER
23	HISTORIAN. BUT MY RECOLLECTION WAS HE DID HAVE SOME
24	THEORY OF THE DISCONNECTEDNESS OF THE OJAI BASIN AND/OR
25	THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, I THINK BASED ON THE LOCATIONS OF
26	CLAY SEDIMENT AND OTHER THINGS THAT, IN HIS VIEW, MADE
27	IT AN ISOLATED BASIN, BUT I CAN BE MISREMEMBERING IT
28	BECAUSE IT WAS A RATHER HASTY LITTLE PEEK AND NOT A

1 CONSIDERED REVIEW.

2 WHAT DID YOU TAKE FROM MR. KEAR'S REPORT?
3 IT OBVIOUSLY WASN'T HELPING YOUR CASE. IT'S NOT
4 INTENDED TO.

5 MR. HAGERTY: WELL, I MEAN, OUR OPINION OF 6 MR. KEAR'S REPORT IS THAT IT DOES ACTUALLY PROVE 7 INTERCONNECTEDNESS BECAUSE IT RECOGNIZES THAT THERE IS 8 WATER COMING FROM THE BASIN AND GOING TO SAN ANTONIO 9 CREEK, AND IT ALSO RECOGNIZES THAT UNDER CERTAIN 10 CONDITIONS WATER IN THE UPPER SURFACE FEEDS THE 11 GROUNDWATER BASIN.

WHAT YOU'RE SPEAKING TO IS A THEORY THAT HE WILL OPINE TO, APPARENTLY, THAT THERE IS A PORTION OF THE BASIN THAT DOESN'T HAVE EITHER A MATERIAL OR SOME TYPE OF CONNECTION. LET'S, JUST FOR THIS DISCUSSION PURPOSE, SAY THAT THAT'S, IN HIS VIEW, A DIFFERENT SUBBASIN OR SOMETHING.

BUT THAT -- TO US THAT DOESN'T HARM OUR CASE, BECAUSE THE QUESTION HERE IS: IS THE BASIN CONNECTED?

AND WE'LL PRESENT EVIDENCE OF WHAT
INTERCONNECTEDNESS MEANS, BUT THE OPINION THAT'S BEEN
EXPRESSED IS WATER COMES FROM THE BASIN AND GOES TO THE
SURFACE WATER, AND IT'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF WATER,
AS THE COURT WILL HEAR.

THE COURT: SO FOR YOUR PURPOSES, AS LONG AS
SOME WATER GOES FROM POINT A TO B TO C TO D, YOU'RE
DOING WELL, EVEN IF SOME OF THE WATER AT POINT C IS SO

DEEP OR CAUGHT INSIDE THE CLAY LINER THAT IT DOESN'T
 NATURALLY HAVE AN OUTLET BECAUSE IT'S JUST DEEP ENOUGH
 THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO SPILL OVER INTO AN OUTLET.

4 MR. HAGERTY: OUR POSITION ON THAT WILL 5 ULTIMATELY BE THAT THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE MANAGEMENT 6 DECISIONS, IT MAY AFFECT WHAT A PHYSICAL SOLUTION MIGHT 7 LOOK LIKE OR SHOULD LOOK LIKE. THAT WILL BE FOR THE 8 COURT TO DECIDE ULTIMATELY.

9 BUT AT THIS PHASE THE BASIN HAS TO BE TAKEN 10 OUT OF THE BASIN. IT'S DEFINED UNDER THE BULLETIN 118 11 THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT. AND THE OUESTION IS: IS 12 THERE INTERCONNECTEDNESS BETWEEN SURFACE WATER AND 13 GROUNDWATER WITHIN THE BASIN? AND WE BELIEVE THAT ALL 14 THE EXPERTS HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THERE IS; IT'S JUST 15 A QUESTION OF IS IT A SEPARATE PART, WHICH WE THINK IS 16 IRRELEVANT FOR THIS PHASE OF TRIAL.

17 THE COURT: OKAY. SO WE GO BACK TO THE BASIC 18 QUESTION, THOUGH, OF YOU DON'T WANT TO LET CASITAS 19 DESIGNATE LATE, YOU DON'T WANT EAST OJAI TO DESIGNATE 20 LATE, SO BOTH EAST OJAI AND CASITAS SHOULD MAKE A 21 MOTION TO BE RELIEVED OF THEIR BLOWING THE DEADLINE AND 22 SEE WHAT HAPPENS, RIGHT?

MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S OUR POSITION, AND WE'RE
HAPPY TO TALK ABOUT IT, BECAUSE, AGAIN, WE THINK THAT,
MAYBE AT LEAST WITH CASITAS, IF WE CAN CLARIFY AND HAVE
THESE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ON WHAT THE ISSUES ACTUALLY
ARE, MAYBE THEY DON'T NEED TO --

28

AND, AGAIN, MR. PISANO DID A GOOD JOB OF

EXPLAINING WHAT OUR REAL CONCERN IS. IF THEY WANT TO 1 2 PUT THEIR NAME ON AN EXISTING REPORT, OKAY, YOU KNOW, 3 THEY CAN DO THAT --THE COURT: NOT ONLY THAT'S ALL THEY SEEM TO BE 4 5 ASKING TO DO, BUT YOU ARE RIGHT. ONCE THEY DO THAT, 6 THEN THEY CAN COME UP WITH A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT THAT 7 MAY BE ONLY GOOD FOR CASITAS AND DOESN'T DO OJAI ANY 8 GOOD, BUT NOMINALLY IT'S A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT. 9 MR. HAGERTY: AND THAT'S OUR CONCERN. 10 THE COURT: BUT YOU PROBABLY WANT THAT RIGHT, 11 RIGHT, MR. DENNINGTON? 12 MR. DENNINGTON: WE DO WANT THE RIGHT TO 13 SUPPLEMENT --14 THE COURT: SO THEN IN THEORY YOU'VE GOT TO 15 EITHER EXCUSE THE MISSING THE DEADLINE OR WIN A MOTION 16 TO BE EXCUSED, BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT GOING TO STIPULATE 17 TO EXCUSING THE DEADLINE. SO I THINK YOU NEED TO MAKE 18 A MOTION. 19 MR. DENNINGTON: WOULD THE COURT --20 MS. JACOBSON: YOUR HONOR --THE COURT: WHO IS WANTING TO SPEAK? IS THIS 21 22 MS. JACOBSON, PERCHANCE? 23 MS. JACOBSON: YES, IT IS. 24 I'LL LET MR. DENNINGTON --25 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. 26 MS. JACOBSON: I THINK THE -- THE PROBLEM HERE, YOUR HONOR, IS NOT -- WE'RE IN COMPLETE DISAGREEMENT 27 28 WITH WHAT THE LAW IS AND WHAT CONNECTION MEANS, AND I

DO AGREE WITH MR. HAGERTY THAT SOME OF THAT BE 1 2 CLARIFIED IN THE LEGAL BRIEFING, BUT, YOU KNOW, AS WE 3 JUST WENT ON FOR OUITE A WHILE, HE EXPLAINED THE LAW ACCORDING TO VENTURA AND THE FACTS ACCORDING TO 4 5 VENTURA. AND THE CITY OF OJAI WILL BE TAKING POSITIONS 6 THAT ARE IN DISAGREEMENT WITH THAT FACTUALLY AND 7 LEGALLY. 8 AND, YOU KNOW, I KNOW THAT MR. DENNINGTON'S 9 APPEARING HERE ON BEHALF OF MR. JUNGREIS, SO I WOULD 10 JUST REPRESENT THAT, AS I UNDERSTAND THE CONCERN, THE 11 UNDERSTANDING ORIGINALLY FOR PHASE 1 WAS MORE OF A --12 KIND OF A VERY SIMPLE STRAIGHTFORWARD MATTER OF WHAT 13 ARE THE BOUNDARIES AND DOES THE WATER CONNECT BETWEEN 14 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER IN THESE FOUR BASINS, AND 15 CAN YOU DO THAT. 16 AND AFTER FURTHER DISCUSSIONS AND STATUS 17 REPORTS, THE PHASE AS DESCRIBED IN WHAT VENTURA INTENDS 18 TO PROVE MOVING FORWARD IN THIS CASE FOR THIS 19 FOUNDATIONAL MATTER IS NOT JUST FOR THE SIXTH CAUSE OF 20 ACTION BUT FOR OTHER CAUSES OF ACTION. IT'S MY 21 UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT CONCERNED CASITAS BECAUSE ALL 22 OF A SUDDEN NOW IT'S NOT JUST A QUESTION OF WHO'S 23 INVOLVED IN THIS CASE AND WHO'S NOT, DUE TO THE 24 BOUNDARIES AND THE LANGUAGE OF THE GROUNDWATER 25 ADJUDICATION STATUTE, BUT NOW IT'S POTENTIALLY GOING TO 26 BE A WIDER RANGE OF ISSUES. 27 AND, THEREFORE, WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING, THEY WANTED TO DESIGNATE AN EXPERT TO ADDRESS SOME OF 28

1	THESE ISSUES, WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE TIED DIRECTLY TO
2	MS. KLUG, BECAUSE MS. KLUG'S OPINION RELIES ON THE
3	UNDERLYING OPINIONS OF THE HISTORIAN AND THE FISHERY
4	BIOLOGIST.
5	SO IT'S A LOT MORE COMPLICATED THAN THAT,
6	AND STATING SIMPLY THAT THEY MISSED THEIR DEADLINE
7	ASSUMES THAT THEY MISSED A DEADLINE TIED TO THE ISSUE
8	THAT HAD ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED.
9	THE COURT: WELL, THAT MAY BE GERMANE TO THE
10	MOTION TO BE RELIEVED.
11	WERE THERE OTHER THINGS YOU WANTED TO SAY
12	MORE GENERALLY, SINCE MR. HAGERTY HAD A CHANCE TO LAY
13	OUT HIS THEORY OF THE CASE WITH THE COURT'S INVITATION?
14	BECAUSE I APPRECIATED MY DIALOGUE WITH HIM,
15	BUT GIVEN THAT MR. HAGERTY DID HAVE A FAIR AMOUNT OF
16	AIRTIME, DO YOU WANT TO GO ANY FURTHER, MS. JACOBSON,
17	WITH YOUR SENTIMENTS ON THE FUNDAMENTALLY THE SAME
18	ISSUES?
19	MS. JACOBSON: YEAH, I'LL DO MY BEST TO DESCRIBE
20	KIND OF THE 30,000-FOOT VIEWPOINT OF THESE ISSUES.
21	YOU KNOW, AS YOUR HONOR HAS PICKED UP ON,
22	THERE IS SUCH A THING AS A HYDROLOGIC HYDROGEOLOGIC
23	CYCLE, AND A VERY, YOU KNOW, FUNDAMENTAL KIND OF
24	SURFACE-SCRATCHING ISSUE IS WATER COMES DOWN IN THE
25	FORM OF PRECIPITATION OR SNOW OR SOME FORM, AND
26	EVENTUALLY IT MAKES ITS WAY, BY WAY OF SURFACE WATER,
27	DOWN TO THE OCEAN, AND SOMETIMES IT PERCOLATES DOWN
28	INTO OUR SOIL. AND SOMETIMES THAT PERCOLATION GOES

DOWN FURTHER AND IS CAPTURED, AND SOMETIMES THAT WATER 1 2 MOVES UNDERGROUND. 3 AND THAT'S A VERY BROAD-BRUSH THING, BUT TO 4 SAY THAT WATER COMES DOWN, GOES INTO A BASIN, AND 5 ALWAYS COMES OUT OF THE BASIN INTO A SURFACE WATER IS 6 ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, SCIENTIFICALLY AND FACTUALLY 7 INCORRECT. AND OUR EXPERT WILL EXPLAIN THAT. 8 SO NOT THERE'S AN ASSUMPTION THAT ALL WATER 9 IS CONNECTED SIMPLY BECAUSE IT RUNS DOWNHILL OR BECAUSE 10 SOME SOURCES OF WATER CONNECT IN SOME WAYS, AND THE --11 YOU DID, I THINK, HIT ON THIS AS WELL. THE SEDIMENT 12 DOES MATTER, AND SO, ALTHOUGH WATER ON THE SURFACE MAY 13 CONNECT BRIEFLY WITH SOME OTHER WATER THAT COULD BE 14 UNDERGROUND FOR A PERIOD OF TIME OR IN SOME FORM, DOES 15 THAT ESTABLISH CONNECTIVITY AS IT WAS ARTICULATED, 16 DEFINED, AND COMMONLY USED IN THE LAW. AND THAT'S 17 WHERE WE'RE, I THINK -- YOU'RE GOING TO SEE THIS 18 PHASE 1 IS REALLY THIS FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCE OF WHAT 19 DOES CONNECTION MEAN, WHAT DOES HYDROLOGIC CONNECTION 20 MEAN, WHEN WE'RE DISCUSSING SURFACE WATER AND 21 GROUNDWATER. 22 AND I CAN GO FURTHER ON IT, BUT I WAS 23 TRYING TO DO MORE OF A -- PAINT YOU A PICTURE ON JUST 24 KIND OF SOME VERY BASIC ISSUES. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. 26 MS. JACOBSON: I'M HAPPY TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. 27 THE COURT: CAN YOU THINK OF SOME SUBJECT WHERE CASITAS WATER DISTRICT WOULD WANT TO PROVE THROUGH THE 28

EXPERTS SOMETHING THAT DIDN'T BENEFIT OJAI? 1 2 MS. JACOBSON: CAN YOU ASK THAT AGAIN? 3 THE COURT: MR. PISANO EXPRESSED A CONCERN THAT IF CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT GETS TO SHARE THE 4 5 DESIGNATION OF JORDAN KEAR, K-E-A-R, THAT THEN WHEN THE 6 TIME FOR SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT ARRIVES ON DECEMBER 3, 7 THAT MR. KEAR MIGHT BE MOTIVATED TO COME UP WITH A 8 WHOLE NEW SET OF SUPPLEMENTAL OPINIONS THAT ONLY 9 USEFULLY BENEFIT CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT BUT 10 AREN'T OF ANY PRACTICAL UTILITY TO CITY OF OJAI AS A 11 LITIGANT, AND THAT, THEREFORE, ALLOWING THIS BELATED 12 DESIGNATION WOULD GIVE CASITAS A BENEFIT THAT IT 13 OTHERWISE SHOULDN'T HAVE, AND SO I'M JUST ASKING YOU IF 14 YOU CAN IMAGINE ANY CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE THE INTEREST OF 15 CASITAS IN TERMS OF THE FACTS WOULD DIVERGE FROM THE 16 APPARENT INTEREST OF CITY OF OJAI SUCH THAT THERE'S 17 SOMETHING AT WHICH AN EXPERT MIGHT OPINE THAT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY BENEFIT OJAI WHILE IT'S ALSO BENEFITING 18 19 CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT. 20 MS. JACOBSON: I'M NOT AWARE OF ANYTHING AT THE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR. I THINK, GENERALLY SPEAKING, 21 22 ANYTHING ON THIS ISSUE SPECIFICALLY OF CONNECTIVITY AND 23 SOME OF THESE OTHER ISSUES ABOUT THE HISTORIAN 24 ESTABLISHING WHAT IT IS TO BE CONNECTED -- I THINK 25 ANYTHING THAT BENEFITS CASITAS WOULD BENEFIT OJAI AND 26 VICE VERSA, BUT I DON'T KNOW OF ANYTHING SPECIFIC. 27 I WOULD SAY THAT I'M VERY CONFUSED ABOUT THE ARGUMENT OF PREJUDICE. I DON'T THINK IT MAKES 28

1	SENSE TO SAY BECAUSE OJAI IS NOT BENEFITING AND
2	THAT'S SOMETHING I'M NOT CLEAR ABOUT AND CASITAS IS
3	RECEIVING A BENEFIT, THAT EQUALS HARM, BUT JUST BECAUSE
4	ONE SIDE HAS EQUAL FOOTING NOW, SO TO SPEAK, DOESN'T
5	NECESSARILY EQUAL HARM. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE HARM TO
6	VENTURA.
7	IT WOULD BE DIFFERENT IF IT WAS A
8	COMPLETELY DIFFERENT EXPERT WITH DIFFERENT OPINIONS ON
9	DIFFERENT SUBJECT MATTERS, BUT, YOU KNOW, I THINK WE'RE
10	JUST GOING TO HAVE TO SEE WHERE THAT GOES, AND IF IT'S
11	NOT IMPACTING THE TIME FRAME FOR DISCOVERY, WE'RE NOT
12	ADDING AN EXPERT, WE'RE NOT ADDING MORE EXPERT DEPOS,
13	IT JUST I IT'S REALLY NOT MY ISSUE. I'M JUST
14	KIND OF SCRATCHING MY HEAD HERE, NOT UNDERSTANDING WHAT
15	THE PROBLEM IS.
16	THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR YOUR INPUT,
17	MS. JACOBSON.
18	MR. PISANO, I SHOULD GO AHEAD AND SCHEDULE
19	A MOTION, THOUGH, RIGHT?
20	MR. PISANO: YES, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: OKAY.
22	MR. PISANO: WE WOULD BE FINE HAVING SHORTENED
23	NOTICE IF WE WANT TO GET THIS DONE WITH DUE DISPATCH SO
24	IT DOESN'T UPSET THE DISCOVERY SCHEDULE.
25	THE COURT: SO THIS WOULD BE YOUR MOTION,
26	MR. DENNINGTON, ALTHOUGH I GUESS YOU'RE STANDING IN FOR
27	THE PERSON WHO IS REALLY GOING TO DO THE WORK?
28	MR. DENNINGTON: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. JUNGREIS IS THE LEAD ON THIS CASE, AND HE IS 1 2 ACTUALLY HAVING HIP SURGERY TODAY, SO I'M FILLING IN 3 WITH HIM. 4 I BELIEVE -- I AGREE WITH THE SHORTENED 5 NOTICE. б THE COURT: WHAT'S YOUR TEAM LOOK LIKE? HIP SURGERY IS NOT THE KIND OF THING YOU GO 7 8 BACK TO WORK THE NEXT DAY. 9 MR. DENNINGTON: WELL, I THINK HE'S -- HE 10 ALREADY HAD ONE DONE LAST YEAR, AND SO HE HAS AN IDEA 11 OF WHEN --12 THE COURT: BRAVE MAN. 13 MR. DENNINGTON: -- HOW LONG IT'S GOING TO TAKE, SO I THINK HE'S GOING TO BE BACK THIS WEEK. 14 15 THE COURT: OKAY. THE DISTRACTION WILL PROBABLY 16 HELP HIM COPE WITH THE PAIN. 17 MR. DENNINGTON: EXACTLY. 18 THE COURT: IT HAS UTILITY IN ITS OWN WAY TO BE 19 OCCUPIED. 20 MR. DENNINGTON: YEAH. 21 THE COURT: SO COULD YOU FILE IT BY A WEEK FROM 22 TODAY? 23 MR. DENNINGTON: I THINK WE COULD. 24 MR. BAGGERLY: YOUR HONOR? 25 THE COURT: WHO IS SPEAKING NOW? 26 MR. BAGGERLY: THIS IS CLAUDE BAGGERLY. 27 THE COURT: YES, MR. BAGGERLY. 28 MR. BAGGERLY: THANK YOU.

1	I THINK IT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A FAR STRETCH
2	TO CALL A SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF BY JORDAN KEAR IN
3	RELATIONSHIP TO HYDROGEOLOGY. THE CITY OF VENTURA AND
4	THEIR ATTORNEYS CREATED THIS CONFLICT, BUT LET ME TRY
5	TO CLARIFY THAT FOR YOU.
6	JORDAN KEAR IS THE HYDROGEOLOGIST FOR THE
7	OJAI BASIN GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AGENCY. CASITAS
8	MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT IS THE MAJOR PUMPER IN THAT
9	BASIN. AND THAT WATER IS GENERALLY APPROPRIATED TO THE
10	CITY OF OJAI. I CANNOT IMAGINE THAT JORDAN KEAR WOULD
11	WRITE ANYTHING THAT WOULD NOT BE IN FAVOR OF CASITAS
12	AND/OR THE CITY OF OJAI. IT'S JUST NOT POSSIBLE.
13	THE SECOND THING I THINK YOU NEED TO
14	UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT TITLE 10, SECTION 7 OF
15	THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE DEALS WITH GROUNDWATER
16	ADJUDICATION. AND I THINK THAT CONNECTIVITY, YOUR
17	HONOR, WHAT WE NEED TO FOCUS ON, IS WHETHER OR NOT
18	THERE IS GROUNDWATER IN THE BASIN IN THE UPPER OJAI
19	THAT TRANSFERS INTO THE GROUNDWATER BASIN IN OJAI
20	BASIN, OR WHETHER OR NOT THE OJAI BASIN GETS PAST THE
21	LARGE CONFINING BOUNDARY ON THE WEST SIDE AND DRAINS
22	INTO GROUNDWATER TO THE UPPER VENTURA RIVER BASIN. THAT
23	IS WHAT THE EXPEDITED GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION IS
24	ABOUT, GROUNDWATER, NOT THE FIRST AQUIFERS SPILLING
25	OVER INTO A ZONE AND DEFINITE CHANNEL IN SAN ANTONIO
26	CREEK AND BECOME SURFACE WATER.
27	ON PAGE 3 OF THE THE PHYSICAL SOLUTION
28	THERE'S A FOOTNOTE THAT SAYS WHAT THE WATER CODE SAYS.

1 WATER THAT IS NOT ADJUDICATABLE IS IN A KNOWN AND
2 DEFINITE CHANNEL.
3 YOU NEED TO DEAL WITH GROUNDWATER, YOUR
4 HONOR, NOT SURFACE WATER. AND THAT'S THE CREEP THAT IS
5 SPREADING IN THIS CASE.
6 THANK YOU.
7 THE COURT: OKAY.
8 SO LET'S GO BACK TO TALKING ABOUT OUR
9 BRIEFING SCHEDULE. YOU COULD DO IT A WEEK FROM TODAY,
10 MR. DENNINGTON?
11 MR. DENNINGTON: YOUR HONOR, COULD IT BE A WEEK
12 FROM TOMORROW?
13 THE COURT: SURE.
14 MR. DENNINGTON: OKAY.
15 THE COURT: OKAY. SO MOVING PARTY'S BRIEF AND
16 SUPPORTING PAPERS TO BE SERVED AND FILED BY
17 NOVEMBER 10.
18 WHAT'S YOUR SENTIMENT OF HOW MUCH TIME
19 YOU'D LIKE TO OPPOSE IT, MR. PISANO OR MR. HAGERTY?
20 MR. PISANO: EARLY THE FOLLOWING WEEK.
21 THE COURT: FINE.
22 MR. PISANO: 15TH OR 16TH.
23 THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT THE 16TH.
24 COULD YOU GET A REPLY IN BY THE 19TH?
25 MR. DENNINGTON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
26 THE COURT: AND WOULD YOU GENTLEMEN BE AVAILABLE
27 ON THE 22ND OR THE 23RD FOR ARGUMENT?
28 THAT IS THANKSGIVING WEEK, EVERYONE.

1	MR. PISANO: EITHER DAY, YOUR HONOR. THE 23RD
2	IS PREFERABLE ON OUR END, YOUR HONOR.
3	MR. DENNINGTON: I DON'T KNOW WHAT
4	MR. JUNGREIS'S CALENDAR IS LOOKING LIKE ON THE 23RD.
5	THAT WORKS
6	THE COURT: FOR THE MOMENT I'LL PUT IT DOWN FOR
7	THE 23RD AT 9:00 A.M., AND IF YOUR LEAD LAWYER IS
8	UNAVAILABLE ON THE 23RD, LET ME KNOW. HE CAN OBVIOUSLY
9	APPEAR VIA L.A. COURTCALL OR COURTCONNECT, RATHER,
10	WHICH HOPEFULLY WILL SAVE HIM THE NEED OF TRYING TO
11	HOBBLE INTO THE COURTROOM, WITH PARKING AND ALL THOSE
12	OTHER INCONVENIENCES.
13	MR. DENNINGTON: YEAH.
14	MS. JACOBSON: YOUR HONOR, I APOLOGIZE. THIS IS
15	HOLLY JACOBSON. I'M CURRENTLY IN THE JURY ROOM I'M
16	IN A JURY ROOM IN A COURTROOM RIGHT NOW, AND I DIDN'T
17	HEAR THAT LAST DATE.
18	THE COURT: TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 23, AT 9:00 A.M.
19	MS. JACOBSON: AND THAT'S FOR THE REPLY?
20	THE COURT: NO, THAT'S THE ARGUMENT. THE REPLY
21	WAS THE PRIOR FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19TH.
22	MS. JACOBSON: THANK YOU.
23	THE COURT: WHEN WE'RE DONE, WOULD YOU BE KIND
24	ENOUGH TO GIVE NOTICE, MR. HAGERTY, FOR CITY OF
25	SAN BUENAVENTURA?
26	MR. HAGERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR.
27	THE COURT: OKAY.
28	DOES THAT IN THE NEAR TERM SOLVE THE

PROBLEMS YOU'RE PRESENTING TODAY, MR. DENNINGTON? 1 2 MR. DENNINGTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: OKAY. 4 NOW, DO I HAVE MR. PATTERSON HERE FOR THE 5 EAST OJAI GROUP? 6 MR. PATTERSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 7 GREG PATTERSON. THE COURT: SO BEAR WITH ME. DO I UNDERSTAND 8 9 YOU TOO ARE TRYING TO HOPE TO COME UP WITH SOME MORE 10 EXPERTS, NOTWITHSTANDING HAVING MISSED THE FIRST 11 DEADLINE? 12 MR. PATTERSON: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE ISSUE IS 13 THAT THE FIRM HAS BEEN -- WE'VE BEEN CONTACTED BY A 14 NUMBER OF PARTIES IN THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, WHO, AFTER 15 MEETING AND LOOKING AT THE EXPERT WITNESS REPORT BY PLAINTIFF, HAVE TRIED TO ORGANIZE AND POTENTIALLY 16 17 DEVELOP A REPORT ON THEIR OWN FOR THE UPPER OJAI BASIN. 18 I REPRESENT SEVEN PARTIES THAT HAVE 19 ALREADY -- YOU KNOW, THEY'VE ALREADY SUBMITTED THEIR EXPERT REPORT BY AQUILOGIC AND MR. ANTHONY BROWN, BUT 20 21 THERE ARE A NUMBER OF PARTIES WHO ARE TRYING TO 22 PARTICIPATE MEANINGFULLY AND JUST HAD NEEDED MORE TIME 23 TO REALLY DEVELOP A WHOLE BUNCH OF THINGS, WHICH 24 INCLUDES, YOU KNOW, POTENTIALLY, YOU KNOW, CONFLICT 25 WAIVERS, HOW WE -- HOW IT GETS PAID FOR, ET CETERA, 26 ET CETERA, AND SO IT'S -- IT'S BEEN A KIND OF A ROUGH 27 SLED FOR THEM, GIVEN THE SHORTENED TIME PERIOD THAT 28 THEY'VE HAD TO TRY TO DO THIS.

1	I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOU KNOW, THERE WERE
2	DEADLINES SET BY THE COURT. MY OBJECTIVE, FRANKLY, IS
3	TO SEE IF THERE'S A WAY TO ALLOW THOSE PARTIES WHO WANT
4	TO HAVE A VOICE IN THIS CASE TO BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE
5	MEANINGFULLY WITH RESPECT TO AN EXPERT REPORT.
6	FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, IT WOULD MEAN A LOT OF
7	WORK ON MY END, AND THE POSSIBILITY, FRANKLY, IF THEY
8	DECIDED TO RETAIN AQUILOGIC BUT AND OUR EXPERT,
9	DEVELOPING A SUPPLEMENTAL A SEPARATE REPORT THAT
10	WOULD DEAL WITH THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, NOT THE OJAI
11	BASIN.
12	SO IT'S IT'S A MATTER OF WHETHER THESE
13	FOLKS WANT TO, I GUESS YOU KNOW, THEY CAN
14	PARTICIPATE IN THE MOTION PRACTICE TO BE RELIEVED OF
15	THE DEADLINE IF THEY CHOOSE TO DO SO, BUT IT'S JUST MY
16	EFFORT TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY WHO HAS A
17	STAKE IN THIS CASE ACTUALLY HAS A VOICE IN THE CASE,
18	AND IT'S BEEN DIFFICULT FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO CAN'T
19	AFFORD SEPARATELY THEIR OWN EXPERT AND WHO ARE TRYING
20	TO ORGANIZE AND DEVELOP AN ABILITY TO HAVE THEIR VOICE
21	HEARD BY THE COURT. THAT'S WHERE I'M AT, YOUR HONOR.
22	THE COURT: SO LET ME TRY ONCE MORE AND SEE IF I
23	UNDERSTAND IT BETTER ON THE DO-OVER.
24	YOU HAD TIMELY DESIGNATED AQUILOGIC, BUT TO
25	YOUR UNDERSTANDING, BECAUSE YOU'VE GOT THESE PEOPLE
26	REACHING OUT TO YOU, YOU GOT VARIOUS PEOPLE WHO WOULD
27	LIKE TO SHARE AQUILOGIC SORT OF IN THE SAME WAY THAT
28	CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT WANTS TO SHARE JORDAN

1	KEAR? IS THAT A CORRECT PERCEPTION OF WHAT'S GOING ON?
2	MR. PATTERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
3	THE COURT: OKAY.
4	BUT IN A MORE COMPLICATED WAY THAN WHAT
5	HAPPENS WHEN CASITAS WANTS TO GO AND BOND WITH CITY OF
6	OJAI, IF YOUR CURRENT CLIENTS ARE GOING TO SHARE THEIR
7	EXPERT WITH ONE OR MORE NEWBIES WHO SHOW UP, YOU'VE GOT
8	TO WORRY ABOUT PAPERING THE FILE WITH CONFLICT WAIVERS,
9	COST-SHARING AGREEMENTS, CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS AND
10	THE LIKE, AND ALL THAT TAKES TIME.
11	MR. PATTERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: OKAY.
13	DO YOU PERCEIVE THAT THEY ONLY WANT TO HIRE
14	AQUILOGIC, OR IS THERE SOME REASON TO THINK THAT
15	THEY'VE GOT A WANDERING EYE AND THINK THEY WANT TO BOTH
16	HIRE AQUILOGIC AND BRING IN A NEW FACE?
17	MR. PATTERSON: SO FAR AS I KNOW, THEY DO NOT
18	WANT TO BRING IN A NEW FACE.
19	THE COURT: BUT IT WOULD BE, FOR THEM, A DELAYED
20	DESIGNATION, AND, THEREFORE, THEY'RE PRESUMABLY IN THE
21	SAME DOGHOUSE THAT CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
22	FINDS ITSELF IN IN THE VIEW OF CITY OF
23	SAN BUENAVENTURA, CORRECT?
24	MR. PATTERSON: YES.
25	THE COURT: WHO, IF ANYBODY, WOULD BE SPEAKING
26	FOR THEM AND MAKING A MOTION TO BE RELIEVED OF THE
27	DEADLINE?
28	YOU DIDN'T MISS THE DEADLINE SO I INFER

THIS WOULDN'T BE YOUR MOTION. DO YOU KNOW WHO AMONGST 1 2 PRESENT COMPANY WOULD BE LIKELY TO BE A MOVING PARTY TO 3 TRY TO OBTAIN THIS RELIEF? MR. PATTERSON: I DO NOT KNOW AT THIS TIME. 4 Т 5 DO NOT REPRESENT THESE OTHER PARTIES. CURRENTLY. 6 THE COURT: IS THERE ANYBODY WITH US THIS 7 AFTERNOON, EITHER A LAWYER OR SOMEBODY 8 SELF-REPRESENTED, WHO FITS THE PROFILE OF BEING ONE OF 9 THESE PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SOMEHOW SHARE THE SERVICES OF 10 AOUILOGIC WITH THE LITIGANTS COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE EAST 11 OJAI GROUP FOR PURPOSES OF THIS LITIGATION? 12 MS. BLISS: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS LOA BLISS. 13 THE COURT: GO AHEAD, MA'AM. 14 MS. BLISS: WE ARE -- WE FILED OUR EX PARTE MOTION SO THAT WE WOULD HAVE A CHANCE TO DEVELOP OUR 15 16 REPORT IN CONNECTION WITH OTHERS OR NOT, AND IN ORDER 17 TO HAVE A VOICE IN THIS LITIGATION, AND THAT'S IT. 18 WE WOULD PROBABLY WANT TO USE MR. KEAR, BUT 19 IF OUR GROUP COULD COALESCE TO SHARE COSTS AND ALSO 20 SHARE THE COSTS OF REPRESENTATION, I DON'T THINK IT'S 21 GOING TO BE ANY PREJUDICE TO ANY OTHER PARTY IN THIS 22 ACTION, AND WOULD RESULT IN A FAIR RESOLUTION. Ι 23 THINK --24 THAT'S MY STATEMENT. 25 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 26 NOW, IF I HEAR YOU RIGHT, MS. BLISS, YOU 27 ACTUALLY HOPE TO SHARE JORDAN KEAR WITH CITY OF OJAI 28 AND POSSIBLY ALSO WITH CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER

DISTRICT. YOU AT THE MOMENT AREN'T THAT EAGER TO SHARE 1 2 MR. PATTERSON'S EXPERT, THE BUSINESS KNOWN AS 3 AQUILOGIC, IF I HEARD YOU CORRECTLY. AM I HEARING YOU 4 CORRECTLY, MS. BLISS? 5 MS. BLISS: THAT'S UNDECIDED. IT'S UNDECIDED AT 6 PRESENT. 7 THE COURT: SO YOU MIGHT WANT TO TRY TO FIND A 8 WAY TO GET ASSOCIATED WITH AOUILOGIC, YOU MIGHT WANT TO 9 GET ASSOCIATED WITH JORDAN KEAR, OR MAYBE BOTH OR MAYBE 10 NEITHER? 11 MS. BLISS: WE WOULD LIKE TO BE ASSOCIATED, I 12 THINK, MOST LIKELY WITH BOTH, BUT IT DEPENDS ON WHETHER 13 WE CAN HAVE AGREEMENT AMONGST PARTIES IN THE UPPER OJAI 14 WHO MIGHT BE WILLING TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS GROUP 15 EFFORT. 16 THE COURT: NOW, THE GOOD NEWS, BAD NEWS, I 17 GUESS, IN A WAY, IS THERE ARE DEADLINES IN THE 18 LITIGATION SYSTEM, UNLESS A JUDGE -- ONE NEEDS TO BE 19 PRUDENT ABOUT WHEN YOU HOLD TIGHT TO DEADLINES AND WHEN 20 YOU USE YOUR DISCRETION TO LET DEADLINES SLIP, BECAUSE 21 IF YOU'RE THE JUDGE WHO ALWAYS LET ALL DEADLINES SLIP 22 FOR ALL PURPOSES, THEN THE LAWYERS FIGURE THIS OUT AND 23 NOTHING EVER HAPPENS, BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT THE 24 DEADLINES DON'T COUNT FOR ANYTHING AND YOU'RE NEVER 25 GOING TO GO TO TRIAL AND THE CASE NEVER GETS RESOLVED. 26 AND THAT'S BAD FOR EVERYBODY, BECAUSE LITIGATION DOES 27 NEED A COMPLETION DATE. 28 HOW QUICKLY THAT COMES IS ANOTHER QUESTION,

1	BUT IF IT JUST IS GOING TO DRAG ON INTERMINABLY, THEN
2	THAT'S A TERRIBLE THING.
3	AND SO WE DO HAVE TO SET DEADLINES. AND I
4	REALIZE IN A CASE LIKE THIS IT INVOLVES A BLEND OF
5	HIGHLY SOPHISTICATED COUNSEL, LIKE MR. DENNINGTON'S
6	OFFICE AND MR. PISANO'S OFFICE, AND OTHER INTERESTED
7	PARTIES WHO REPRESENT THEMSELVES, LIKE YOU, MS. BLISS.
8	ONE SHOULDN'T SORT OF HOLD A CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE
9	STANDARD UP AGAINST EVERYBODY, BUT YOU DO HAVE TO
10	EXPECT PEOPLE TO HONOR DEADLINES.
11	YOU HAVE BEEN DILIGENT AND BROUGHT FORWARD
12	YOUR EX PARTE FOR TODAY SO THAT'S VERY MUCH TO YOUR
13	CREDIT, MS. BLISS, AND IT'S SOMETHING WE HAVE TO DEAL
14	WITH TODAY.
15	BY THE SAME TOKEN, THERE'S A POINT AT WHICH
16	YOU CAN'T JUST KEEP DITHERING, EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR
17	WITH A POSSIBLE COMBINATION WITH CERTAIN OTHER NOT YET
18	IDENTIFIED PARTIES, TO WHICH MR. DENNINGTON HAS MADE
19	VAGUE REFERENCE NO CRITICISM INTENDED TO
20	MR. DENNINGTON BUT IF YOU ARE GOING TO TRY TO HIRE
21	ONE OR BOTH OF THE EXPERTS, YOU'LL HAVE TO GET ON WITH
22	IT, BECAUSE, LIKE CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,
23	THAT'S NEEDING TO MOVE TO BE RELIEVED OF BLOWING A
24	DEADLINE YOU HAVE MADE SUCH A MOTION, ESSENTIALLY,
25	MS. BLISS, TO YOUR CREDIT, VIA YOUR EX PARTE, BUT THESE
26	OTHER PEOPLE WHO YOU MAY KNOW OF AREN'T AT THE MOMENT
27	DESTROYING THEMSELVES TO MAKE A SIMILAR REQUEST.
28	MR. PATTERSON, IS THERE ANYBODY ELSE THAT

1	YOU KNOW OF WHO IS WITH US THIS AFTERNOON WHO I OUGHT
2	TO BE INVITING TO MAKE A MOTION TO BE RELIEVED OF THE
3	DEADLINE APART FROM MS. BLISS?
4	BECAUSE SHE'S NOT EVEN CERTAIN THAT YOUR
5	GUY, AQUILOGIC, IS YOUR NUMBER ONE CHOICE. SHE
6	APPARENTLY SO FAR IS INTERESTED IN HIRING JORDAN KEAR
7	AS SHE IS AQUILOGIC, BUT DO YOU KNOW OF SOME PEOPLE
8	WHO, IN YOUR PERCEPTION, DO WANT TO HIRE AQUILOGIC
9	SPECIFICALLY, MR. PATTERSON, WHO MIGHT BE INVITED TO
10	MAKE A MOTION?
11	MR. PATTERSON: NOT AT THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR.
12	I WILL JUST SAY THAT, AGAIN, I WOULD, YOU KNOW, ECHO
13	MS. BLISS'S COMMENTS THAT IN THIS KIND OF CASE, THAT'S
14	GOING TO AFFECT PEOPLE FOR A LONG TIME, IT WOULD BE
15	BETTER SERVED, I THINK, FOR THE COURT TO HAVE EVERYBODY
16	EXPRESS HAVE THE ABILITY TO EXPRESS THEIR POSITION.
17	AND THE I JUST HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING,
18	OTHER THAN THE CITY WANTS TO KEEP TO THEIR CURRENT
19	SCHEDULE, THAT PREJUDICES THE CITY AT ALL. AND SO IT
20	SEEMS TO ME THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO I MEAN, I GET
21	THAT WE'VE GOT DEADLINES, I GET THAT WE HAVE PEOPLE WHO
22	ARE COMING INTO THIS LATE IN THE GAME, BUT IT SEEMS TO
23	ME THAT GETTING THEM IN IS, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE IN
24	THESE KINDS OF CASES, VERY IMPORTANT, AND I HAVEN'T
25	HEARD ANYTHING FROM THE CITY THAT SUGGESTS THAT THERE'S
26	SOME SIGNIFICANT PREJUDICE IF THIS INFORMATION IS
27	ALLOWED TO BE PRESENTED TO THE COURT.
28	THEY'LL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND.

1	MR. GILBERT: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS
2	THE COURT: I'M GOING TO MAKE ONE FURTHER
3	COMMENT. I'LL GET TO WHOEVER IS TRYING TO TALK, BUT
4	I'M GOING TO TALK FIRST.
5	SO WHO IS THIS? BAGGERLY TRYING TO TALK?
6	MR. GILBERT: NO. IT'S DAVID GILBERT, THE
7	CO-TRUSTEE WITH MS. BLISS. I'D LIKE TO ADD A BRIEF
8	COMMENT, IF I MAY, SIR.
9	THE COURT: GO AHEAD, BRIEFLY.
10	MR. GILBERT: THE IRONY HERE IS THAT IN OUR
11	MOTION, WE WOULD HAVE KEPT EXACTLY TO THE COURT'S
12	SCHEDULE, SIR, AND WE'RE VERY COGNIZANT AND APPRECIATE
13	YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THAT, AND THAT'S WHY WE INTENDED TO
14	KEEP THAT.
15	THE IDEA WAS THAT WE WOULD, BY
16	DECEMBER 3RD, ISSUE A REPORT THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE
17	EAST END GROUP SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS. IT WOULD COME IN
18	TOGETHER BY DECEMBER 3RD.
19	AND THE IRONY IS HERE THAT THERE ARE NOW
20	MOTIONS AND APPARENTLY APPEARANCES AND ARGUMENTS THAT
21	WOULD PUT THINGS OUT UNTIL LATE DECEMBER, WHICH WOULD
22	MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE. ONE WOULDN'T KNOW UNTIL THAT DATE
23	WHETHER YOU CAN EVEN GET A REPORT.
24	WE INTEND TO ADHERE PRECISELY TO THE
25	COURT'S SCHEDULE AND DO THIS ALL BY DECEMBER 3RD,
26	AND AS PART OF THE EAST END GROUP'S REPORT, AND WE'D
27	HAVE SOME PART OF MR. KEAR'S REPORT, INCORPORATING IT
28	AS HE I GUESS THEY'RE ALREADY INCORPORATING PARTS OF

1	MR. KEAR'S REPORTS THAT HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO
2	AQUILOGIC, WOULD ALL COME IN ONE PIECE.
3	SO WE, IN FACT, I GUESS NOTWITHSTANDING
4	THE OTHER PARTIES HERE AND THE MOTIONS, WE'RE THE ONES
5	WHO WOULD STICK TO THE DECEMBER 3RD DATE, THAT'S
6	ALREADY IN PLACE FOR A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT TO BE FILED
7	BY THE EAST END GROUP.
8	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
9	I'M GOING TO MAKE A MORE GENERAL COMMENT,
10	AND THAT IS, TO MY UNDERSTANDING THESE DEADLINES FOR
11	THESE EXPERTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE PHASE 1 TRIAL
12	WOULD NOT PRECLUDE ANY OR ALL PARTIES IN THE CASE FROM
13	DESIGNATING AN EXPERT FOR THE PURPOSE OF WHAT MIGHT BE
14	CALLED THE MAIN EVENT, ASSUMING THERE'S NO COMPROMISE,
15	WHEN THE COURT HAS TO DETERMINE WHAT IS THE REASONABLE
16	AND PROPER USE OF THE WATER BY THE VARIOUS TAKERS AND
17	THE VARIOUS BASINS AND VARIOUS SURFACE FLOWS, BECAUSE
18	EVEN IF SOMEBODY IS CUT OFF FROM HAVING AN EXPERT FOR
19	THE PHASE 1 TRIAL, THIS NEXT TRIAL, ON WHAT ARE REALLY
20	THE MERITS, WOULD OPEN UP A FRESH DOOR FOR DISCOVERY,
21	TO MY UNDERSTANDING.
22	DOES THAT SHARE WITH YOUR UNDERSTANDING,
23	MR. HAGERTY?
24	MR. HAGERTY: YES, EXACTLY, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
26	DOES THAT COMPORT WITH YOUR UNDERSTANDING,
27	MS. JACOBSON?
28	MS. JACOBSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. OUR

UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE DISCOVERY ISSUES AND THIS 1 2 PHASE OF TRIAL ARE LIMITED SPECIFICALLY TO THE ISSUES 3 THAT WE ARE STILL WAITING TO DETERMINE, AND THAT IT HAS NO BEARING WHATSOEVER ON ANY OTHER DEADLINES OR ISSUES 4 5 RELATED TO SEPARATE PHASES. 6 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 7 DOES THAT ALSO COMPORT TO YOUR 8 UNDERSTANDING, MR. PATTERSON? 9 MR. PATTERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: MR. DENNINGTON, LIKEWISE? 11 MR. DENNINGTON: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. 13 NOW, ALL THAT BEING SAID, I DO HAVE BEFORE 14 ME TODAY, MR. PISANO AND MR. HAGERTY, MS. BLISS'S 15 EX PARTE, WHICH NOW I AM COMFORTED TO REALIZE WAS 16 SERVED BACK ON OCTOBER 22, AS INDICATED ON THE PROOF OF 17 I HAVEN'T RECEIVED ANY WRITTEN SERVICE SHE SIGNED. 18 OPPOSITION TO IT. WAS THERE SOME AND I JUST MISSED IT 19 OR IS THIS GOING TO BE SPOKEN RESPONSE TO THE EX PARTE 20 TODAY? 21 MR. PISANO: BOTH, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: THERE WAS A WRITTEN OPPOSITION? 23 MR. PISANO: WELL, I DIDN'T SEE IT UNTIL TODAY. 24 THE COURT: FAIR ENOUGH. 25 MR. PISANO: YOU KNOW, IF IT WAS SERVED, IT WAS 26 SERVED, AND I MISSED IT, BUT I WOULD ORALLY OPPOSE, AS 27 YOU CAN DO WITH ANY EX PARTE. 28 THE COURT: IT WAS SERVED ON THE 22ND, AND

1	INDEED I THOUGHT I WAS CALLING OUT MS. BLISS FOR NOT
2	SERVING IT, BUT THE ONLY ODDITY WAS SHE SERVED IT SO
3	FAR IN THE PAST THAT I DIDN'T LOOK THAT DEEP INTO THE
4	FILE & SERVEXPRESS TO LOOK FOR IT, BUT THERE IT WAS
5	WHEN I ACTUALLY WENT ALL THE WAY BACK TO OCTOBER 22.
6	SO IN THAT SENSE SHE USES A FORM THAT'S
7	UNFAMILIAR TO ME, BUT I THINK IN GOOD FAITH
8	PARTICULARLY FOR A LAYMAN'S EFFORT, I THINK IT'S
9	PROPERLY BEFORE THE COURT, SO, YEAH, I'LL TAKE AN ORAL
10	RESPONSE.
11	MR. PISANO: WELL, I WOULD NOTE
12	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE THE DOCUMENT IN HAND OR
13	DO YOU NEED THE BORROW THE COURT'S?
14	MR. PISANO: I HAVE THE DOCUMENT IN HAND.
15	THE COURT: EXCELLENT.
16	MR. PISANO: I NOTE, AND I KNOW THIS IS GETTING
17	A LITTLE PROCEDURALLY PICKY, BUT THE NOTICE OF THE
18	EX PARTE THAT'S CALLED FOR UNDER THE CALIFORNIA RULES
19	OF COURT WAS NOT GIVEN.
20	THE COURT: DID WE GET A FEE FOR THIS, ALDWIN OR
21	ROBIN? A FEE DOCUMENT?
22	WE DID?
23	OKAY. WE GOT OUR MONEY. THAT PART'S GOOD.
24	MR. PISANO: OKAY.
25	AND SO I WOULD NOTE, YOUR HONOR, THAT, YOU
26	KNOW, MS. BLISS IS TALKING ABOUT AN ENTIRELY SEPARATE
27	ANALYSIS OF THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, WHEREAS WHAT MR. KEAR
28	AND MR. BROWN HAVE DONE ARE ANALYSES RELATED TO THE
1	

1 HIGH BASIN.

2 THE COURT: IS BROWN AQUILOGIC BY ANOTHER NAME? 3 MR. PISANO: YES. MR. BROWN IS AQUILOGIC. AND 4 MR. PATTERSON'S CLIENTS, AND, OF COURSE, MS. JACOBSON'S 5 CLIENT, THEY'RE IN THE OJAI BASIN, AND SO WHAT I 6 BELIEVE AND WHAT -- I BELIEVE WHAT MS. BLISS AND OTHER 7 FOLKS IN THE UPPER OJAI BASIN ARE INTENDING TO DO IS 8 NOT JUST DO A ME-TOO FOR THE MAIN DESIGNATION, ALONG 9 THE LINES OF WHAT CASITAS WANTS TO DO WITH OJAI, BUT 10 THEY WANT A WHOLE SEPARATE ANALYSIS FOR MR. BROWN AND 11 MR. KEAR, BECAUSE THOSE TWO GENTLEMEN, THEY DON'T SPEAK TO THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, AND SO THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO 12 13 SOMETHING ENTIRELY NEW. 14 IN THAT REGARD, I DON'T THINK IT'S 15 FEASIBLE, AND IT WOULD BE PREJUDICIAL TO THE CITY TO 16 GET THAT ANALYSIS FOR THE FIRST TIME ON DECEMBER 3RD, 17 WHEN THERE WOULD BE, THEN, NO CHANCE TO SUPPLEMENT OR

18 REBUT OR ANYTHING ELSE.

19 THE COURT: MR. PATTERSON, THE REPORT OF 20 MR. BROWN ON BEHALF OF AQUILOGIC, IS THAT LIMITED TO 21 THE OJAI BASIN AS SUCH AND NOT THE UPPER OJAI BASIN AND 22 IT'S CONNECTEDNESS OR LACK THEREOF TO --

MR. PATTERSON: IN PART -- ESSENTIALLY HAVE TO
DO WITH SPECIFIC PARTIES. SO WHAT YOU'VE SEEN IN OUR
REPORT IS THAT WE LOOK AT WELL DEPTH AND LOCATION BY
THE PARTIES THAT I REPRESENT. SO IF -THE COURT: I CONFESS I HAVEN'T LOOKED AT YOUR

28 REPORT. I GOT A LITTLE TASTE OF SOME OTHERS, BUT DON'T

1 ASSUME I KNOW ANY --2 MR. PATTERSON: SO -- YES. I MEAN, I GUESS 3 THE -- AQUILOGIC WOULD HAVE TO DO SOME SUPPLEMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF EXACTLY WHERE THESE PROPERTIES ARE, 4 5 THE DEPTHS OF THEIR WELLS, AND FOLD THAT INTO A REPORT 6 INVOLVING THE UPPER OJAI BASIN, WHICH THEY CURRENTLY 7 HAVE NOT DONE. SO IT WOULD BE NEW INFORMATION FOR THE 8 CITY. 9 FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, AGAIN, IS THAT -- AND I OFFERED THIS, IS THAT, YOU KNOW, IF THE CITY NEEDS 10 11 SOME ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO THAT, WE WOULD 12 CERTAINLY RESPECT THAT AND WORK OUT SOME SORT OF 13 SCHEDULE. 14 SO THAT -- BUT IT DOES -- IT WILL INVOLVE 15 SOME ADDITIONAL WORK BY AOUILOGIC INVOLVING THE UPPER 16 OJAI CASE. 17 THE COURT: SO MR. PISANO AND MS. BLISS AND 18 MR. GILBERT, AND MR. HAGERTY, FOR THAT MATTER, WHAT I'M 19 DISPOSED TO DO WITH THE EX PARTE BROUGHT BY MS. BLISS 20 AND MR. GILBERT IS TO DEEM IT A MOTION TO BE HEARD ON 21 THAT --22 WHAT DATE DID WE LAND ON FOR THE OTHER 23 ARGUMENT? NOVEMBER --24 MR. HAGERTY: NOVEMBER 23RD, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: NOVEMBER 23RD. 26 -- TO BE HEARD ON NOVEMBER 23RD, WITH ANY 27 SUPPLEMENTAL PAPERS IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION BY MS. BLISS AND MR. GILBERT TO BE SERVED AND FILED BY A 28

1 WEEK FROM TODAY, NOVEMBER 9TH. 2 THAT MATCHES YOUR DEADLINE, RIGHT, 3 MR. DENNINGTON? 4 MR. DENNINGTON: NO, IT'S NOVEMBER 10TH. 5 THE COURT: THEN NOVEMBER 10TH, TO MATCH THAT 6 DEADLINE, WITH --7 UNLESS YOU OBJECT THAT YOU WANT MORE TIME 8 BECAUSE IT'S NOW MORE WORK, AND THERE IS MORE WORK, 9 MR. HAGERTY. 10 MR. HAGERTY: WE'RE FINE WITH WHERE THE COURT IS 11 GOING ON THIS SCHEDULE, YOUR HONOR. 12 THE COURT: SO I THINK YOU HAD, WHAT, THE 15TH? 13 MR. HAGERTY: 16TH, YOUR HONOR. 14 THE COURT: 16TH. 15 AND THEN A REPLY --16 MR. HAGERTY: 19TH. 17 THE COURT: -- ON THE 19TH, ARGUMENT ON THE 18 23RD. 19 AND THAT'S SPECIFICALLY AS TO YOUR MOTION, 20 MS. BLISS. PLEASE COMMUNICATE, MS. BLISS AND 21 MR. PATTERSON, TO ANYBODY ELSE WHO THINKS THEY'RE PART 22 OF THIS EAST OJAI GROUP WHO WANT SIMILAR RELIEF, THAT 23 IF THEY DO, THEY SHOULD BE MAKING THEIR OWN MOTIONS, 24 SERVED AND FILED BY NOVEMBER 10TH AND SET FOR HEARING 25 ON NOVEMBER 23, BECAUSE SO FAR, OTHER THAN CASITAS 26 MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, THE ONLY OTHER PERSON BEFORE 27 ME WHO HAS ACTUALLY ASKED TO GET A MOTION ON CALENDAR 28 IS MS. BLISS.

1	SO WHAT THIS MEANS IN SIMPLE ENGLISH,					
2	MS. BLISS AND MR. GILBERT, IS IF YOU WANT TO MAKE YOUR					
3	ARGUMENTS STIFFIER, MORE PERSUASIVE, AND OTHERWISE					
4	PERSUASIVE, YOU CAN FILE SOMETHING ON NOVEMBER 10TH					
5	THAT YOU THINK HELPS THE CAUSE.					
б	YOU'RE GOING TO GET CONSIDERED OPPOSITION					
7	OF MR. PISANO AND MR. HAGERTY'S OFFICE ON					
8	NOVEMBER 16TH, AND YOU SHOULD PREPARE YOURSELF TO FILE					
9	WHATEVER SUPPLEMENTAL EXCUSE ME WHATEVER REPLY					
10	BRIEF YOU WANT ON NOVEMBER 19TH, AND BE PREPARED TO					
11	APPEAR VIA L.A. COURTCONNECT ON THE MORNING OF TUESDAY,					
12	NOVEMBER 23, WHEN THE MOTION'S ACTUALLY ARGUED.					
13	UNDERSTOOD, MS. BLISS?					
14	MS. BLISS: WELL, I IT IS UNDERSTOOD. I'M A					
15	LITTLE BIT VAGUE ON WHAT IS TO BE INCLUDED ON THE					
16	NOVEMBER 10TH DEADLINE.					
17	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO SAY ANYTHING MORE					
18	THAT YOU THINK HELPS YOU GET YOUR MOTION GRANTED, YOU					
19	MAY DO IT. IF YOU THINK YOU'VE SAID EVERYTHING YOU					
20	NEED TO IN WHAT YOU DID ON OCTOBER 22, YOU CAN SIT ON					
21	YOUR HANDS AND WAIT AND SEE WHAT YOUR ADVERSARY SAYS.					
22	IS THAT CLEAR ENOUGH NOW, MS. BLISS?					
23	MS. BLISS: THAT'S CLEAR, EXCEPT THE ONE PART					
24	THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME, AND MAYBE IT'S JUST MAYBE					
25	I'M ASLEEP AT THE WHEEL, I DON'T KNOW, IS WHAT IS GOING					
26	TO BE INCLUDED IN PHASE 1, BECAUSE I THINK THAT MAKES					
27	QUITE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE.					
28	THE COURT: WELL, THERE WERE THESE PAPERS					

RECENTLY SERVED, INCLUDING THE CITY OF VENTURA'S NOTICE 1 2 OF PHASE 1 TRIAL ISSUES, SERVED ON NOVEMBER 1ST. YOU 3 OUGHT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. AND THEN THE SAME THING WAS THE SUBJECT OF COMMENTARY PRO AND CON BETWEEN THE 4 5 CITY OF OJAI AND THE CITY OF VENTURA, AS REFLECTED IN 6 THE JOINT STATUS REPORT THAT WAS --7 WELL, LET'S SEE. 8 OJAI MAKES SOME COMMENTS IN THEIR REPORT 9 SERVED ON OCTOBER 31. THE JOINT REPORT THAT CITY OF 10 OJAI -- EXCUSE ME -- CITY OF VENTURA PREPARED GOES ON 11 AT SOME LENGTH ON THE SAME SUBJECT, ALL LEADING, DARE I 12 SAY, FOR CERTAIN CONFUSION FOR YOURS TRULY AS TO WHAT 13 ALL IS GOING ON IN THIS CASE. BUT THERE ARE THIS 14 RENDITION OF DIFFERENT THINGS AS TO WHAT'S TO BE TRIED. 15 SO YOU GOT TO READ A LOT OF DIFFERENT DOCUMENTS, BUT THERE ARE FIVE ISSUES, AND WE SORT OF 16 17 HAVE AGREEMENT ON THREE OF THE FIVE, AND WE HAVE A SORT 18 OF PHILOSOPHICAL DEBATE ABOUT HOW TO FRAME ISSUES 4 AND 19 5. 20 THE KEY DOCUMENT TO LOOK AT IS, IN ALL 21 CANDOR, THE THING CALLED "NOTICE OF PHASE 1 TRIAL 22 ISSUES," THAT WAS SERVED ON FILE & SERVEXPRESS 23 YESTERDAY MORNING BY BEST BEST & KRIEGER. THAT'S WHERE 24 YOU'LL HAVE A START IN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE 25 FIVE ISSUES ARE. 26 AND REALLY TO ME THE MAIN QUESTION IS HOW 27 DEEP WE DIVE INTO THE QUESTION OF INTERCONNECTEDNESS BETWEEN SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER, AND INDEED I GUESS 28

INSOFAR AS PEOPLE WERE GOING TO SAY I SHOULDN'T LISTEN 1 2 TO A WATER HISTORIAN WHETHER I EVEN CARE ABOUT ANY 3 AOUEDUCTS OR CONDUITS THAT GOT BUILT, WHETHER I CARE WHETHER SOMEBODY IS OR IS NOT GOING TO OFFER ME PROOF 4 5 THAT SURFACE WATER DRIBBLES DOWN INTO A GROUNDWATER 6 BASIN AND THEN IN TURN LEAKS OUT OF THE GROUNDWATER 7 BASIN AND BACK INTO THE SURFACE WATER, AND INSOFAR AS 8 THIS WATER DOES TEND TO MOVE FROM SURFACE TO 9 GROUNDWATER AND BACK TO THE SURFACE AGAIN, INSOFAR AS 10 SOMEBODY WANTS TO TELL ME ABOUT THE LIFE OF A SYCAMORE 11 TREE AND/OR THE NEEDS OF THE FISHERY, WHETHER THAT 12 TELLS ME ANYTHING THAT'S RELEVANT TO CONNECTEDNESS, 13 BECAUSE I DO OR DON'T CARE ABOUT THE FACT THAT WATER IN THE STREAM MAY BE BENEFICIAL TO THE FISH EVEN IF IT'S 14 ALLOWED TO FLOW DOWN THE PACIFIC OCEAN AND OTHERWISE 15 IT'S CONSIDERED WASTED, AND WHATEVER IT TELLS ME ABOUT 16 THE LIFE CYCLE OF THE SYCAMORE TREE. 17 18 MS. BLISS: MAY I SPEAK? 19 THE COURT: SURE.

20 MS. BLISS: MY POINT IN BRINGING THIS UP, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT ANY KIND OF A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT, THE 21 22 ISSUES ARE VERY, VERY NARROW IN PHASE 1. IT WOULD BE A 23 REPORT THAT WOULD BE QUITE SIMPLE, AND IT WOULD DEAL 24 WITH UPPER OJAI, AND IT WOULD CONCERN WHETHER -- THE 25 WAY I -- WHETHER PHASE 1 SHOULD ADDRESS ONLY THE BASIN 26 BOUNDARIES AND THE EXISTENCE OF ANY CONNECTION BETWEEN 27 THE BASIN -- BASIN AND GROUNDWATER AND THE BASIN WITH THE SURFACE WATER FLOWING OVER THAT BASIN. SO THAT THE 28

CONNECTIVITY IN PHASE 1 WOULD BE CONFINED TO THE
 ANALYSIS OF THE GROUNDWATER IN THAT BASIN TO THE
 SURFACE WATER FLOWING OVER THAT BASIN. THAT IS A VERY
 SIMPLE REPORT.

5 THE COURT: THAT MAY BE. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, 6 GIVEN THE TOPOGRAPHY OF THE AREA, THE SURFACE WATER 7 THAT STARTS IN THE UPPER OJAI BASIN HAS A TENDENCY, BY 8 GRAVITY, ABSENT EVAPORATION AND ABSENT PERCOLATION, TO 9 DESCEND THROUGH THE OJAI BASIN AND THEN TO DESCEND TO 10 THE UPPER VENTURA BASIN, AND THEN EVENTUALLY TO DESCEND 11 TO THE LOWER VENTURA BASIN, OR PERHAPS IT COMES IN SIDEWAYS TO THE LOWER VENTURA BASIN, WHICH IS BELOW THE 12 13 UPPER VENTURA BASIN.

14 MR. HAGERTY: YOUR HONOR, I THINK TO ANSWER 15 MS. BLISS'S QUESTION, AT LEAST FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, 16 THE ISSUE INVOLVES BOTH THE SURFACE WATER THAT PASSES 17 THROUGH THE BASIN AND THEN THE DISCHARGE, IF ANY, OF 18 WATER FROM THE BASIN TO INTERCONNECTED SURFACE WATERS, 19 WHICH IN THIS CASE WOULD BE LION CREEK, WHICH IS 20 TRIBUTARY TO SAN ANTONIO CREEK.

21 I MEAN, THAT IS WHAT OUR REPORT ADDRESSES,22 AND WE SEE THAT AS THE ISSUE.

MR. PATTERSON HAS TAKEN A DIFFERENT
APPROACH, WHICH WE OBJECT TO, WHICH IS PART OF OUR
CONCERN ABOUT JUST ADDING MS. BLISS TO THAT REPORT.
BUT THE WAY MS. BLISS ARTICULATED THAT,
WITH THE ADDED PIECE OF WHAT LEAVES THE BASIN, WE
BELIEVE IS THE RIGHT WAY TO THINK ABOUT IT.

1	THE COURT: NOW, LET ME JUST ASK A DIFFERENT					
2	QUESTION. I'LL START WITH CITY OF VENTURA BUT THEN					
3	I'LL MOVE AROUND TO THOSE WHO WANT TO COMMENT.					
4	FOR CONNECTEDNESS AND MAYBE THIS IS A					
5	DRAMATIC FORESHADOWING OF WHATEVER I'M GOING TO LEARN					
6	FROM THE BRIEFING ABOUT WHAT THE LEGAL STANDARD IS.					
7	IF OF ALL THE ACRE-FEET OF WATER THAT WOULD ENTER					
8	THE SURFACE FLOW AT SOME POINT					
9	(INTERRUPTION.)					
10	THE COURT: OFF THE RECORD.					
11	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)					
12	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD.					
13	IF HYPOTHETICALLY ONE-TENTH OF ONE PERCENT					
14	OF ALL THE WATER WENT FROM, SAY, THE SURFACE FLOW DOWN					
15	INTO THE GROUNDWATER BASIN, AND THEN TWO-TENTHS OF ONE					
16	PERCENT OF ALL THE WATER CAME OUT OF THE GROUNDWATER					
17	BASIN BACK TO THE SURFACE FLOW, AND TWO-TENTHS OF ONE					
18	PERCENT OF ALL THE WATER WENT FROM THE UPPER SURFACE					
19	FLOW TO THE INTERMEDIATE SURFACE FLOW, AND ONE					
20	ONE-HUNDREDTH OF A PERCENT WENT DOWN TO THE GROUNDWATER					
21	BASIN, AND TWO ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT OF ALL THE WATER					
22	CAME BACK UP FROM THE GROUNDWATER BASIN TO THE SURFACE					
23	FLOW, AND THAT'S ALL WE KNOW, IS THAT CONNECTEDNESS, OR					
24	DOES IT NEED TO BE SOMETHING LIKE 10 PERCENT OF THE					
25	GROUNDWATER IN THE UPPER GROUNDWATER BASIN					
26	PERCOLATED DOWN TO THE BASIN BELOW THE SURFACE, AND					
27	THEN FIVE PERCENT OF ALL THE WATER SOMEHOW COMES BACK					
28	OUT OF THE STREAM AND GOES TO THE NEXT BASIN, AND THEN					

1	FIVE OR 15 PERCENT OF THE WATER PERCOLATES BACK DOWN TO					
2	THE GROUND AND FIVE OR 15 PERCENT OF THAT WATER COMES					
3	BACK UP TO THE SURFACE?					
4	IN OTHER WORDS, IS THERE SOME DE MINIMIS					
5	AMOUNT WHERE YOU CAN SAY, WELL, YES, TWO ATOMS OF					
6	HYDRO H2O, WHATEVER, YOU KNOW, MOVED FROM THE					
7	SURFACE TO THE BASIN AND THEN BACK OUT AGAIN, BUT IT'S					
8	TRULY DE MINIMIS, IS PROVING THAT TWO ATOMS OF WATER					
9	MADE THAT PATH PROOF ENOUGH OR IS THERE SOME					
10	MATERIALITY CONSIDERATION?					
11	MR. HAGERTY: YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT WOULD BE					
12	BEST TO ADDRESS THAT QUESTION IN THE BRIEFING AND					
13	BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET A SPECIFIC ANSWER THAT					
14	WE'RE ALL GOING TO AGREE ON.					
15	THE COURT: I'M SURE OF THAT.					
16	MR. HAGERTY: BUT, I MEAN					
17	THE COURT: KEEPS US EMPLOYED.					
18	MR. HAGERTY: WE WILL PRESENT TO THE COURT					
19	SPECIFIC DEFINITIONS OF WHAT INTERCONNECTED MEANS, FROM					
20	WHICH THE COURT CAN MAKE A DETERMINATION BASED UPON THE					
21	FACTS THAT ARE PRESENTED AT TRIAL. AND, YOU KNOW,					
22	OTHER PARTIES ARE GOING TO DO SOMETHING SIMILAR, I					
23	HOPE.					
24	AND YOU WILL HEAR SPECIFIC EVIDENCE ABOUT					
25	WHERE WATER MOVES AND HOW MUCH WATER MOVES FROM THERE					
26	TO THERE AND WHAT WHAT THE MAGNITUDE OF THAT IS, AT					
27	LEAST IN PERCENTAGES AND GROSS ACRE-FEET AND THINGS					
28	LIKE THAT. SO THOSE THINGS WILL BE PRESENTED TO YOU,					

AND THE PARTIES SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE 1 2 ARGUMENTS BASED UPON THAT FULL RECORD. 3 THAT'S WHAT I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE COURT TO 4 DO. 5 THE COURT: MR. DENNINGTON, DO YOU WISH TO OFFER 6 ANY COMMENTS ON THAT? 7 MR. DENNINGTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. I WOULD AGREE 8 THAT WE SHOULD HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BRIEF THAT AND 9 THEN DISCUSS AFTER WE HAVE IT BRIEFED. I AGREE WITH 10 MR. HAGERTY ON THAT. 11 THE COURT: MS. JACOBSON, ANYTHING YOU WANT TO 12 SAY ON THE POINT? 13 MS. BUCKMAN: MS. JACOBSON GOT CALLED BACK TO 14 COURT, SO THIS IS MS. BUCKMAN, APPEARING FOR THE CITY 15 OF OJAI. 16 WE AGREE THAT FURTHER BRIEFING IS 17 APPROPRIATE. WE THINK THAT THE COURT SHOULD BE GUIDED BY THE STANDARD IN CCP 833 REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT A 18 19 DE MINIMIS AMOUNT OF CONNECTIVITY WOULD BE SUCH --20 SUFFICIENT TO REQUIRE THE PARTICIPATION OF THOSE -- OF THOSE SURFACE WATERS IN A GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION 21 22 BROUGHT UNDER THE STATUTE, AS THE CITY OF VENTURA PLED 23 THIS GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION. 24 THE COURT: MR. PATTERSON, ANY COMMENTS? 25 MR. PATTERSON: YOUR HONOR, I THINK IT WOULD BE 26 USEFUL FOR THE COURT TO GET FURTHER BRIEFING ON THIS, 27 AND I THINK THAT WOULD BE -- AFTER THAT BRIEFING WOULD 28 BE AN APPROPRIATE TIME TO DISCUSS THIS IN MORE DETAIL.

THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHAT COMES IN ON 1 2 NOVEMBER 8TH, AND THEN BECOMES THE SUBJECT OF 3 DISCUSSION ON NOVEMBER 15TH. 4 MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 5 THE COURT: EXCELLENT. OKAY. 6 SO I DEALT WITH MS. BLISS'S EX PARTE 7 (INAUDIBLE) MOTION AND SET FOR HEARING ON NOVEMBER 23. 8 I'VE DEALT WITH CASITAS'S THING. 9 DO I NEED TO SOMEHOW ADJUDICATE THE 10 VALIDITY OF THE NOTICE OF RULING? ANYBODY ASKING ME TO 11 DO THAT, GOD FORBID? 12 MR. HAGERTY: YOUR HONOR, I WILL BE HAPPY TO 13 WORK WITH MS. JACOBSON. I DON'T THINK THERE'S A REAL 14 ISSUE HERE. I'VE PROVIDED MS. JACOBSON WITH THE 15 TRANSCRIPT, AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT ISSUE. IF WE NEED TO AMEND, WE CAN AMEND. IT'S NOT A BIG DEAL. 16 17 WE DID --18 THE COURT: SHE WAS A BUSY LADY, AND I THINK 19 THERE IS A REQUEST FOR A LITTLE MORE ELBOW ROOM. 20 WITNESS THE FACT THAT EVEN NOW SHE'S GOT TO GO BACK TO 21 A TRIAL. SO JUST REMEMBER THAT SHE'S STRETCHED THIN AT 22 THE MOMENT. 23 MR. HAGERTY: UNDERSTOOD, AND TOTALLY APPRECIATE 24 THAT, YOUR HONOR. 25 I THOUGHT -- AND I DON'T KNOW -- THERE 26 WAS -- WE HAVE ONE FINAL DISPUTE THAT WE DIDN'T 27 RESOLVE, BUT WE PUT OUT A NOTICE THAT I DON'T THINK 28 ANYONE DISAGREES FAIRLY ARTICULATES WHERE WE STAND, AND

1	I KNOW IT SEEMS LIKE ANGELS DANCING ON THE HEAD OF A
2	PIN, LIKE WE TALKED ABOUT LAST TIME, BUT WE DO THINK
3	THOSE LANGUAGE DIFFERENCES ARE MATERIAL ENOUGH, AND
4	WHAT WE SUGGEST IN THE NOTICE IS THAT WE CAN EXPLAIN
5	OUR DIFFERENT POSITION AS TO WHERE WE DON'T HAVE FULL
б	AGREEMENT IN THE UPCOMING BRIEF, AND IT SEEMED TO US
7	THAT THAT WAS THE BEST THING TO DO, AND WE DID THINK IT
8	WAS IMPORTANT, FOR EXAMPLE, AS MRS. BLISS WAS ASKING,
9	TO GET THE NOTICE OUT SO THE PARTIES AT LEAST HAVE THE
10	BIG PICTURE FRAMEWORK, AND THAT'S WHERE WE ARE.
11	IF WE NEED TO AMEND THE NOTICE OF RULING,
12	THAT IS NOT A BIG DEAL FOR US, YOUR HONOR.
13	MS. BUCKMAN: WE APPRECIATE
14	THE COURT: WHO IS SPEAKING NOW?
15	MS. BUCKMAN: MS. BUCKMAN.
16	WE APPRECIATE THE CITY OF VENTURA'S COUNSEL
17	BEING WILLING TO WORK WITH US ON THAT AND TO INDICATE
18	THE DIFFERENCE IN POSITIONS IN THE NOTICE THAT THEY PUT
19	FORWARD. WE'RE HAPPY TO MEET CONTINUE TO MEET AND
20	CONFER ON THIS. AND WE AGREE WITH MR. HAGERTY THAT
21	IT'S A MATTER THAT CAN PROBABLY BE RESOLVED THROUGH THE
22	BRIEFING.
23	THE COURT: EXCELLENT.
24	ANY UPDATE ON THE DEFAULTARAMA?
25	MR. HAGERTY: WE WERE GOING TO PROVIDE THAT AT
26	THE AS PART OF THE 15TH SCHEDULE. THE ONLY THING
27	WE'RE GOING TO FILE SEPARATELY FROM THE BRIEFING IS
28	JUST THAT CHART THAT WE HAVE THAT HAS ALL THAT IN

THERE, SO WE'LL PROVIDE THAT UPDATE AT THAT POINT IN 1 2 TIME. 3 THE COURT: YOU'RE SORT OF GETTING IN HANDLING 4 DISTANCE NOW OF GETTING ALL THIS DONE, RIGHT? 5 MR. HAGERTY: WE'RE ALMOST DONE, YOUR HONOR, 6 YES. 7 THE COURT: YOUR PARALEGALS WILL REMEMBER THIS 8 TILL THEIR DYING DAYS. 9 MR. HAGERTY: THEY WILL RUE THE DAY THEY TOOK 10 THE ASSIGNMENT, YES. 11 THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE TO DEFAULT A THOUSAND 12 PEOPLE ALL IN ONE CASE. 13 WHAT ELSE USEFUL SHOULD WE TAKE UP TODAY? 14 MR. HAGERTY? 15 MR. HAGERTY: THAT'S IT, YOUR HONOR. WE HAVE 16 NOTHING FURTHER. 17 THE COURT: MR. DENNINGTON? MR. DENNINGTON: YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS ONE ISSUE 18 19 THAT I THINK PROBABLY -- IT RELATES TO THE -- YOU KNOW, 20 WHAT IS A SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT OR REBUTTAL EXPERT UNDER 21 843. 22 THE COURT: WELL, YOU HEARD MY PASSING COMMENT 23 THAT I'VE BEEN HAPPILY OBLIVIOUS TO THE WHOLE THEORY OF 24 REBUTTAL, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK I'VE TOUCHED THAT ISSUE 25 AT ALL. 26 MR. DENNINGTON: I THINK WE SHOULD PUSH THAT TO 27 THE 23RD, SO THAT WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS 28 THAT IN OUR BRIEFINGS ON THE MOTION.

1 THE COURT: WORKS FOR ME. 2 BUT I OUGHT TO GET SOMETHING OUT THERE SO WE GOING FORWARD KNOW WHAT THE GROUND RULES ARE OF 3 4 REBUTTAL EXPERTS. 5 MR. DENNINGTON: CORRECT. 6 MR. PISANO: THAT'S AGREEABLE FROM THE CITY OF 7 VENTURA'S PERSPECTIVE, YOUR HONOR. WE CAN ADDRESS IT 8 ON THE 23RD. 9 THE COURT: MS. BUCKMAN, FOR CITY OF OJAI, 10 ANYTHING ELSE YOU'D LIKE TO TAKE UP TODAY? 11 MS. BUCKMAN: NO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I 12 APPRECIATE YOUR TIME. 13 THE COURT: MR. PATTERSON? 14 MR. PATTERSON: NO, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR TAKING SO MUCH TIME THIS AFTERNOON. IT'S 15 16 APPRECIATED. 17 THE COURT: IT'S AN IMPORTANT CASE, AND --18 SO, MR. COOPER, FOR THE UNDERLYING 19 PLAINTIFFS, IS THERE ANYTHING WE OUGHT TO BE TALKING 20 ABOUT THAT'S OF CONCERN TO YOU? 21 AND HEARING NOT, DO ANY OF THE STATE'S 22 ATTORNEYS WISH TO BE HEARD? 23 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 24 NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER FOR DFW, AND NO, YOUR 25 HONOR. 26 MR. MELNICK: AND, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MARC 27 MELNICK. 28 THE ONLY THING I WANTED TO MAKE SURE IS

1	THAT WE ADDRESS THAT ISSUE OF REBUTTAL EXPERTS, AND I					
2	THINK ADDRESSING IT ON THE 23RD IS FINE.					
3	THE COURT: OKAY.					
4	JUST MAKE SURE WE DON'T END THE DAY WITHOUT					
5	ADDRESSING IT, MR. MELNICK, SO PUT A STRING AROUND YOUR					
б	FINGER AND REMIND ME TO DO IT.					
7	MR. MELNICK: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.					
8	THE COURT: OKAY.					
9	ANYBODY ELSE WISH TO BE HEARD THIS					
10	AFTERNOON?					
11	OKAY. HEARING NOTHING, COURT IS IN RECESS.					
12	DEFENDANT CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA WILL BE KIND ENOUGH					
13	TO GIVE NOTICE.					
14	MR. HAGERTY: YES, YOUR HONOR.					
15	THE COURT: SAFE TRAVELS.					
16	(THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED AT					
17	3:42 P.M.)					
18	0					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT SSC 10 HON. WILLIAM F. HIGHBERGER, JUDGE 4 SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, A ) CALIFORNIA NON-PROFIT 5 CORPORATION, б PETITIONER, 7 v. ) NO. 19STCP01176 8 STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL ) BOARD, A CALIFORNIA STATE 9 AGENCY, ET AL., 10 RESPONDENTS. 11 ) AND RELATED CROSS-ACTION. ) 12 13 14 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, RONALD L. COOK, CSR, CCR, CRR, RDR, FAPR, 15 16 CSR NO. 13928, OFFICIAL PRO TEM COURT REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE 17 18 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE 19 FOREGOING PAGES, 1 THROUGH 63, INCLUSIVE, COMPRISE A 20 TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN 21 THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER REPORTED BY ME ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2021. 22 23 DATED: NOVEMBER 8, 2021. 24 25 26 27 RONALD L. COOK, CSR NO. 13928 OFFICIAL PRO TEM COURT REPORTER 28

-	19TH	30,000-FOOT	27:10	
	43:24 44:21	37:20		25:9 31:19,22
	58:16,17		843	ACT
0	59:10	31	68:21	14:24 20:5,6
70:18		60:9	8TH	21:6
	1:30	31ST	22:1,13,18	ACTION
1	22:19,23	16:10	66:2	27:28 36:20
	1ST	3:42		48:22
1	60:2	70:17	9	ADD
10:19 12:9,20				22:22 52:7
22:14,25 23:6	2	<b>3RD</b> 11:22 52:16,	9:00	ADDED
29:22 30:1		18,25 53:5	44:7,18	62:27
31:15,20	2	56:16	9ТН	
32:12 36:11	8:4	00.10	58:1	ADDING
38:18 53:11,	2021			17:25 40:12
19 59:26	8:4	4	A	62:25
60:2,21	206	4		ADDITIONAL
61:22,25 62:1	9:6	<b>4</b> 60:18	A.M.	57:11,15
10			44:7,18	ADDRESS
42:14 43:17	22	40S		25:4 36:28
63:24	54:16 55:5	13:17 24:2	ABILITY	61:25 64:12
10TH	59:20	4:30	46:20 51:16	69:7 70:1
58:4,5,24	22ND	22:19	ABSENT	ADDRESSES
59:4,16	9:4 43:27	4:44	62:8	62:21
118	54:28	9:4	ABSOLUTEL	
34:10	23		Y	ADDRESSING
	44:18 58:25	5	31:16	12:18 70:2,5
13928	59:12 66:7	J	ABSTRACT	ADHERE
8:7	23RD	5	19:4 23:23	52:24
15	43:27 44:1,4,	60:19		ADJUDICATA
25:11 64:1,2	7,8 57:24,25,		ACCESS	BLE
15TH	26 58:18	7	15:2	43:1
22:1,7,9,18,	68:27 69:8		ACCOMPLIS	ADJUDICATE
22 24:22	70:2	7	HES	66:9
43:22 58:12	2:15	42:14	19:1	
66:3 67:26	8:8		ACKNOWLED	ADJUDICATI
16TH	0.0	8	GED	ON
43:22,23	3		34:14	20:7 28:25
58:13,14 59:8	J	833	ACRE-FEET	36:25 42:16, 23 65:21,23
	3	65:18	63:7 64:27	
<b>19STCP01176</b> 8:1,11	39:6 42:27		ACRE-FOOT	ADVANCE
0.1,11		833(C)	AURE-FUUI	17:3 19:3

ADVERSARY		33:8 42:25	AQUIFERS	
59:21	22:22 55:20	62:20	42:24	S
				66:28
ADVOCACY 32:20	ALIGNED 18:10	APOLOGIZE 8:16 10:11	AQUILOGIC 45:20 46:8,	ASLEEP
		44:14	24,27 47:14,	59:25
			16 48:10	
27:4	19:26	APPARATUS 13:19	49:3,8 51:5,7,	ASSIGNMENT 68:10
AFFECT	ALLOWED		8 53:2 56:2,3,	
34:5,6 51:14	51:27 61:15	<b>APPARENT</b> 39:16	20 57:3,15	ASSUME 11:21 21:22
AFFORD	ALLOWING		ARCHER	57:1
19:13 46:19	15:13 39:11	APPARENTL Y	16:9,10 18:18	
AFTERNOON	AMEND	18:9 33:13	20:28	ASSUMES 37:7
8:24 9:22	66:16 67:11	51:6 52:20	ARCHER'S	_
45:6 48:7 51:1 69:15	AMERICANS	APPEAL	16:17 19:17	ASSUMING 31:6 53:14
70:10	14:3	17:12	AREA	
	AMOUNT		62:6	ASSUMPTION
AGENCY 42:7	24:5 33:24	APPEALABL E	ARGUED	38:8
	37:15 64:5	20:14 21:13	59:12	ATOMS
AGREE 11:27 14:5	65:19	APPEARANC	ARGUING	64:5,8
24:12 29:5,6	ANALYSES	ES	19:9	ATTORNEYS
36:1 41:4	55:28	8:6 52:20	ARGUMENT	42:4 69:22
64:14 65:7,9,	ANALYSIS	APPEARED	27:17 39:28	AUGMENT
16 67:20	14:14 55:27	17:2	43:27 44:20	20:4
AGREEABLE	56:10,16 62:2	APPEARING	57:23 58:17	AUGUST
69:6	ANCIENT	36:9 65:14	ARGUMENTS	16:10
AGREED	14:2		52:20 59:3	AWARE
17:6	AND/OR	APPRECIATE D	65:2	13:27 39:20
AGREEMENT	9:2 11:13	37:14 69:16	ARMS	
49:13 60:17	19:2 24:6 30:7 32:24	APPROACH	22:16	В
67:6	42:12 61:11	62:24	ARRIVES	
AGREEMENT			39:6	<b>BABY</b> 16:20
S	ANGELES 8:4	APPROPRIAT ED	ARTICULATE	
47:9		42:9	24:24	BACK
AHEAD	ANGELS 67:1	AQUATIC	ARTICULATE	9:10 13:5 20:27 25:17
35:25 40:18		25:18	D	29:10 34:17
48:13 52:9	ANTHONY		12:6,25 38:15	41:8,14 43:8
AIRTIME	45:20	AQUEDUCTS 14:3 61:3	62:26	54:16 55:5
37:16	ANTONIO	17.001.0		61:7,9 63:12,

				November 02, 202
17,22,27	7,12,21 57:6	27 66:16	BORROW	BROUGHT
64:1,3,7	61:6,7,25,27,	67:10,12	55:13	50:11 57:19
65:13 66:20	28 62:2,3,7,9,	BIOLOGIST	BOTANIST	65:22
BAD	10,11,12,13,	37:4	26:7,11	BROWN
13:16 49:16,	17,18,27			45:20 55:28
26	63:15,17,21,	BIOLOGY	BOUNDARIE	56:2,3,10,20
	22,25,26,28	13:2	S	
BAGGERLY	64:7	BIT	23:18 36:13, 24 61:26	BUCKMAN
10:23 41:24, 26,27,28 52:5	BASINS	13:10 14:18	24 01.20	65:13,14 67:13,15
	23:12,26	16:15 19:4	BOUNDARY	69:9,11
BALANCE	28:18,20,26	42:1 59:15,27	42:21	
31:11	29:26 31:1,12	BLEND	BOUNDS	BUENAVENT
BALANCING	32:15 36:14	50:4	23:12,13	
31:14	53:17	BLISS	BRAVE	44:25 47:23
BANKROLL	BAZILLION	8:24 9:4	41:12	70:12
18:14	10:3	48:12,14,26		BUILD
	BEAR	49:4,5,11	BRIEFED	13:19
BARBARA	9:23 30:6	50:7,13,25	65:9	BUILT
8:2,11	45:8	51:3 52:7	BRIEFING	14:2 61:3
BARE		55:1,26 56:6	21:28 36:2	BULLETIN
16:16	BEARING	57:17,19,28	43:9 63:6	34:10
BARELY	54:4	58:20,28	64:12 65:16,	
32:20	BEHALF	59:2,13,14,	26,27 67:22,	BUNCH
BASED	11:7 36:9	22,23 61:18,	27	45:23
32:25 64:20	56:20	20 62:25,26	BRIEFINGS	BUSINESS
65:2	BELATED	67:8	68:28	49:2
	39:11	BLISS'S	BRIEFLY	BUSY
BASIC	BENEFICIAL	51:13 54:14	38:13 52:9	66:18
34:17 38:24	20:26 31:7	62:15 66:6		
BASIN	61:14	BLISSFULLY	BRIEFS	C
13:23 23:16		17:20	22:15	
25:20 27:26	<b>BENEFIT</b>		BRING	СА
28:6 29:3,9,	13:22 19:19 30:22 39:1,9,	<b>BLOCK</b> 22:23	16:21 20:27	8:4
20 30:10,11	12,18,25 40:3		25:17 47:16,	CALENDAR
32:24,25,27		BLOWING	18	22:21 23:1
33:8,11,14,	BENEFITING	34:21 50:23	BRINGING	44:4 58:27
19,23 34:9, 10,13 38:4,5	39:18 40:1	BOARD	61:20	
42:7,9,18,19,	BENEFITS	8:3,12	BROAD-	CALENDARE
42.7,9,18,19, 20,22 45:14,	39:25	BOND	BRUSH	D
17 46:10,11	BIG	47:5	38:3	22:6
55:27 56:1,5,	14:23 18:22,	0.17		CALIFORNIA
33.21 00.1,0,				

24:15 55:18	17:23 18:3,	CHANNELKE	CLARIFICATI	COLLECTIVE
CALL	10,11,12,15,	EPERS	ON	LY
30:23 42:2	19,25 19:2,	8:11	11:1,5	28:13
	19,27,28	СНАОТІС	CLARIFIED	COMBINATIO
CALLED	20:26 34:18,	8:18	36:2	N
53:14 55:18	20,25 35:7			50:17
60:21 65:13	36:21 38:28	CHART	CLARIFY	
CALLING	39:4,9,12,15,	67:28	34:25 42:5	COMFORTED
17:5 55:1	19,25 40:2	СНЕСК	CLAUDE	54:15
	42:7,11 46:28	9:23 18:22,27	41:26	COMMENT
CANDOR	47:5,21 48:28		_	24:5,16 52:3,
60:21	50:22 56:9	CHOICE	CLAY	8 53:9 63:3
CAPTURED	58:25	51:5	32:26 34:1	68:22
38:1	CASITAS'S	CHOOSE	CLEAR	
CARE	66:8	31:7 46:15	14:8 15:20,	
27:26 61:2,3,		CHRISTOPHE	22,24 25:1	Y 60:4
13	CAUGHT	R	26:7,9 28:23	60:4
	14:28 34:1	11:4	40:2 59:22,	COMMENTS
CAREFULLY	CAUSAL		23,24	51:13 52:13
28:3	30:2	CIRCUMSTA	CLEARER	60:8 65:6,24
CASE	CAUSED	NCE	21:28	COMMON
8:1,2,16 9:27	21:19	16:25 39:14		19:3 32:5
10:3 11:28		CITY	CLERK	
12:5 13:13	CAVEAT	10:8,25 11:23	9:18	COMMONLY
16:26 18:4	23:3 24:10	12:26 15:3,27	CLIENT	38:16 48:10
20:7 25:20	ССР	16:3 18:19,27	56:5	COMMONS
27:22 30:7,17	65:18	19:2,20 20:26	CLIENT'S	25:14
33:3,19		32:19 36:5	12:7	COMMUNICA
36:18,23	CETERA	39:10,16		TE
37:13 41:1	45:25,26	42:3,10,12	CLIENTS	58:20
43:5 46:4,17	CHALLENGE	44:24 47:5,22	24:26 47:6	
49:25 50:4	20:12	48:27 51:18,	56:4	COMPANY
51:13 53:12	CHANCE	19,25 56:15	CO-TRUSTEE	48:2
57:16 60:13	20:11 37:12	57:8,10 60:1,	52:7	COMPLETE
62:19 68:12	48:15 56:17	5,9,10 63:2	COALESCE	35:27
69:17		65:14,22	48:19	COMPLETEL
CASES	CHANGE	67:16 69:6,9	40.19	Y
8:24 51:24	16:12	70:12	CODE	19:26 23:6
	CHANNEL	CIVIL	42:15,28	40:8
	42:25 43:2	42:15	COGNIZANT	
10:16,25	CHANNELKE		52:12	COMPLETION
11:9,15,24	EPER			49:27
12:1 14:22	8:2	10:26		
	I	1	I	I

COMPLICATE	CONDUITS	29:23 30:20	COOPER	22 15:17,20,
D	13:19,27 61:3	56:22 61:12	69:18	23,25 16:2,5,
37:5 47:4	CONFER	63:4,23	COPE	20,27 17:2,9,
COMPONENT	67:20	CONNECTIO	41:16	12,13,18
30:4 31:2		N		18:5,9,21,25
	CONFERENC	24:12 28:17	COPY	19:6,10,28
COMPORT	E	30:2 33:15	9:6 17:14	20:9,18,24
53:26 54:7	12:19 14:7	35:28 38:19	CORRECT	21:14,16,18,
COMPREHEN	22:12,24	48:16 61:26	11:16,17	25 22:1,6,16,
SIVE	CONFESS		12:13,15,23	20,28 23:7
27:15	56:27	CONNECTIVI	22:26,27	24:18,19,28
COMPROMIS	CONFIDENTI	TY	27:16 28:22	25:9,19,23,28
COMPROMIS E	CONFIDENTI ALITY	38:15 39:22	30:28 31:25	26:3,9,15,23
-		42:16 62:1	32:8 40:28	27:1,6,11,13,
53:14	47:9	65:19	47:1,23 54:11	18,22 28:1,
COMPUTER	CONFINED	CONSIDERAT	66:4 69:5	12,20 29:3,
10:4	62:1	ION	CORRECTED	12,16,19,25
CON	CONFINING	32:13 64:10	9:8	30:3,6,13,17
60:4	42:21	CONSIDERED		31:4,14,18
		33:1 59:6	CORRECTLY	32:18 33:25,
CONCEDE	CONFLICT	61:16	49:3,4	26 34:8,17
17:17	42:4 45:24		COST-	35:4,10,14,
CONCERN	47:8	CONSISTENT	SHARING	19,21,25 37:9
14:10 35:1,9	CONFUSE	32:16	47:9	38:25,27 39:3
36:10 39:3	29:5	CONTACTED		40:16,21,25
61:24 62:25	CONFUSED	45:13	COSTS	41:6,12,15,
69:20	23:7,28 39:27	CONTINUAN	48:19,20	18,21,25,27
CONCERNED		CE	COUNSEL	43:7,13,15,
36:21	CONFUSION	15:19,28 21:9	9:12,28 18:27	21,23,26
	60:12	15.19,20 21.9	50:5 67:16	44:6,18,20,
CONCERNS	CONGRESS	CONTINUAN	COUNT	23,27 45:3,8
23:6	13:18	CES	49:24	46:2,21,22 47:3,12,19,25
CONCISELY	CONNECT	21:8		48:6,13,25
22:15	36:13 38:10,	CONTINUE	COUPLE	49:7,16
CONCLUDED	13	15:14,17,18	24:1	51:15,27
70:16		16:1 21:15	COURT	52:2,9 53:8,
	CONNECTED	67:19	8:10,24 9:8,	15,25 54:6,
CONCLUSIO	13:26 33:20	CONTROL	17,18,23	10,12,22,24,
N	38:9 39:24	8:2,12	10:8,12,22	28 55:9,12,
30:19	CONNECTED		11:3,9,16,18,	15,19,20
CONDITIONS	NESS	СООК	23 12:6,15,	56:2,19,27
21:5 33:10	13:24 23:10,	8:7	21,24 13:3,5	57:17,25
	22 24:8 25:24		14:10,15,16,	58:5,10,12,

				November 02, 2021
14,17 59:17,	31:2	52:6	DECIDE	21:1,5 47:19
28 61:19 62:5	CRITICALLY	DAY	34:8	DEMONSTRA
63:1,10,12	28:7	9:9 16:28	DECIDED	TE
64:15,17,18,	_	17:12 41:8	17:9 22:24	24:17 29:22
20 65:3,5,11,	CRITICISM	44:1 68:9	46:8	
14,17,24,26	50:19	70:4		DENNINGTO
66:1,5,18	CSR		DECIDING	Ν
67:14,23	8:7	DAYS	25:20 32:14	11:14,17
68:3,7,11,17,		9:21 68:8	DECISION	12:14,15,16,
22 69:1,9,13,		DE	12:7 16:3	23,28 13:4
17 70:3,8,11,	31:22	31:19 64:4,8	17:16	19:11 20:10,
15	CURRENT	65:19	DECISIONS	18,21 21:14,
COURT'S	22:8 47:6		DECISIONS	17,27 35:11,
17:8 37:13	51:18		34:6	12,19,24
52:11,25	CURSING	15:6 34:21	DEEM	40:26,28
55:13	9:20	35:15,17	57:20	41:9,13,17,
		37:6,7 45:11	DEEP	20,23 43:10,
COURTCALL	CUSTOMERS	46:15 47:27,	26:25 34:1,2	11,14,25
44:9	14:26	28 50:24 51:3	55:3 60:27	44:3,13 45:1,
COURTCONN	СИТ	58:2,6 59:16		2 50:18,20
ECT	53:18	DEADLINES	DEFAULT	54:10,11
8:14 44:9		46:2 49:17,	68:11	58:3,4 65:5,7
59:11	CYCLE	19,20,21,24	DEFAULTAR	68:17,18,26
COURTROOM	29:13,17	50:3,10 51:21	AMA	69:5
8:14 44:11,16	37:23 61:17	53:10 54:4	67:24	DENNINGTO
		DEAL	DEFENDANT	N'S
CRABTREE	D	43:3 46:10	70:12	36:8 50:5
9:13		50:13 61:23		DEPARTMEN
CRAVATH	DANCING	66:16 67:12	DEFENSIVE	T
50:8	67:1		23:4	8:5 24:15
CREATED	DARE	DEALING 25:14	DEFINE	
42:4	60:11	25.14	23:20	DEPEND
	DATA	DEALS	DEFINED	26:11
CREDIT	10:2	42:15	34:10 38:16	DEPENDING
50:13,25		DEALT	34.10 30.10	10:18
CREEK	DATE	66:6,8	DEFINITE	DEPENDS
33:9 42:26	21:15 44:17		42:25 43:2	49:12
62:19,20	49:27 52:22	DEBATE	DEFINITIONS	_
	53:5 57:22	60:18	64:19	DEPICTED
CREEP	DATES	DECEMBER		12:26
12:20 43:4	16:2	39:6 52:16,	DELAY	DEPOS
CRITICAL	DAVID	18,21,25 53:5	15:7	40:12
24:21 30:5		56:16	DELAYED	
	1	1	1	I

<b>DEPTH</b>	<b>DEVELOP</b>	DISCHARGE	<b>DISPOSED</b> 57:19	DOGHOUSE
56:25	45:17,23	62:17		47:21
<b>DEPTHS</b>	46:20 48:15	DISCHARGES	DISPUTE	<b>DOOR</b>
57:5	<b>DEVELOPING</b>	31:5	23:15 66:26	53:20
<b>DESCEND</b>	46:9	DISCLOSE	DISTANCE	<b>DOUBT</b>
62:9,10	DEVIATIONS	16:19,25	68:4	23:14
<b>DESCRIBE</b>	22:5	DISCLOSED	DISTRACTIO	<b>DOUG</b>
37:19	<b>DEVICES</b>	10:21	N	11:14 12:14
<b>DESIGNATE</b>	13:25	DISCLOSURE	41:15	<b>DOWNHILL</b>
10:17 11:8	DFW	9:2	DISTRICT	38:9
34:19 36:28	69:24	DISCONNECT	9:13 10:26	<b>DOWNSTREA</b>
<b>DESIGNATED</b>	<b>DIALOGUE</b>	EDNESS	11:10,15,25	<b>M</b>
10:21 12:3	37:14	32:24	17:23 18:26	29:10 32:6
16:8 46:24 <b>DESIGNATIN</b>	<b>DIFFERENCE</b> 38:18 59:27	<b>DISCOVERY</b> 14:17 15:10	19:3 38:28 39:4,9,19 42:8 46:28	<b>DRAG</b> 50:1
<b>G</b> 24:26 53:13	67:18 DIFFERENCE	20:5,6,15 21:6 40:11,24 53:20 54:1	47:21 49:1 50:22 58:26	<b>DRAINS</b> 42:21
<b>DESIGNATIO</b> <b>N</b> 12:5 20:4	<b>S</b> 67:3	DISCRETION 49:20	DITHERING 50:16	DRAMATIC 63:5
21:2,5,20 39:5,12 47:20	DIFFERENTL Y 29:2	DISCUSS 65:9,28 68:27	<b>DIVE</b> 60:27	DRIBBLES 61:5
56:8 <b>DESTROYING</b> 50:27	DIFFICULT 46:18	DISCUSSED 32:2	DIVERGE 39:15 DIVERSION	<b>DROUGHT</b> 13:17
<b>DETAIL</b>	<b>DILIGENT</b>	DISCUSSING	13:19	<b>DRY</b>
65:28	50:11	38:20	DO-OVER	23:19
DETERMINAT	DIRECTION 22:12	<b>DISCUSSION</b> 27:10 33:15	46:23 <b>DO-OVERS</b>	DUE 10:3 12:25 36:23 40:23
30:28 64:20 DETERMINE	DIRECTLY 37:1	63:11 66:3 DISCUSSION S	21:7 DOCTRINE	<b>DYING</b> 68:8
28:6,9 29:26	DISAGREE	34:26 36:16	25:21	E
53:15 54:3	14:20	DISINGENUO	DOCUMENT	
<b>DETERMINED</b> 37:8	DISAGREEM ENT 35:27 36:6	US 16:15	55:12,14,21 60:20	<b>EAGER</b> 49:1
<b>DETERMINES</b>	DISAGREES	<b>DISPATCH</b> 40:23	<b>DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>EARLY</b>
28:2	66:28		60:16	13:12 43:20

				,
EAST	9:26	33:21 64:24	39:17 40:8,12	
34:19,20 45:5	ENEMY	EXACT	45:15,20	F
48:10 52:17,	14:25	23:18 27:5	46:5,8,19	
26 53:7 58:22			47:7 49:2	FACE
EBBS	ENGLISH	EXCELLENT	53:13,18	16:22 25:1,2
23:16	13:20 59:1	55:15 66:5	68:20	47:16,18
	ENTER	67:23	EXPERTS	FACT
ECHO	63:7	EXCHANGE	9:2 13:1 24:9	14:7 22:14
51:12	ENTITIES	14:6 16:2	34:14 39:1	27:1 31:7
EDGE			45:10 50:21	53:3 61:13
26:16	19:9	EXCLUDED	53:11 69:4	66:20
	EQUAL	30:27	70:1	
EDUCATES	40:4,5	EXCLUSIVE		FACTS
24:7	EQUALS	18:18	EXPLAIN	36:4 39:15
EFFECT	40:3	EXCUSE	14:2 38:7	64:21
25:7 32:3		35:15 59:9	67:4	FACTUAL
EFFECTS	ESSENTIALL	60:10	EXPLAINED	23:14 30:3
	Y	00.10	36:3	
24:12	27:18 50:24	EXCUSED		FACTUALLY
EFFICIENT	56:23	35:16	EXPLAINING	36:6 38:6
17:3	ESTABLISH	EXCUSING	13:24 35:1	FAILURE
EFFORT	38:15	35:17	EXPLANATIO	20:1
17:10 46:16			N	
49:15 55:8	ESTABLISHIN	EXERCISE	15:4	FAIR
49.15 55.6	G	14:23		37:15 48:22
ELBOW	39:24	EXISTENCE	EXPORTERS	54:24
66:19	EVAPORATIO	61:26	30:1	FAIRFAX
EMERGES	N		EXPRESS	11:28
29:14	62:8	EXISTING	51:16	FAIRLY
-		35:2		22:16 66:28
EMPLOYED	EVENT	EXPECT	EXPRESSED	
64:17	53:14	26:5 50:10	14:10 33:23	FAITH
ENCOURAGE	EVENTUALLY		39:3	55:7
65:3	25:2 31:11	EXPEDITED	EXTENDED	FALL
	37:26 62:10	42:23	23:14	26:25
END		EXPERT	EXTENT	
16:28 44:2	EVERYBODY'	10:14,17,20	14:25 23:24	FALLBACK
46:7 52:17,26	S	12:3,8,17,22,	29:16	15:8
53:7 70:4	14:24	24 13:9 15:2,	23.10	FAMILIARIZIN
ENDORSEME	EVERYONE'S	10 20:28	EXTRACT	G
NT	32:16	24:4,14,17	30:15	13:12
11:25		25:6,16 28:8	EYE	
		29:24 30:9,27	47:15	FASHION
ENDS	26:12,19 27:3	36:28 38:7		23:27
1				

FAVOR	8:23,24 9:21	28:8 29:24	37:25 38:14	9 34:5
42:11	FILLING	30:4,27 31:8	55:6	
FEASIBLE	41:2	32:17 37:3	FORWARD	G
22:15 56:15		61:11	22:17 36:18	
	FINAL	FITS	50:11 67:19	GAME
FED	66:26	48:8	69:3	18:5,7 51:22
29:19,20	FINALLY	FIXED	FOUNDATION	GAVE
FEDERAL	9:21	8:24	AL	12:8
24:2	FINANCIAL	•	36:19	
FEE	10:26 19:12	FLOW		GENERAL 53:9
18:28 55:20,	FIND	61:15 63:8,	FRAME	
21	11:11 13:19	14,17,19,23	40:11 60:18	GENERALLY
FEEDS	23:11 26:17,	FLOWING	FRAMEWOR	37:12 39:21
33:10	26 49:7	61:28 62:3	K	42:9
FEEL	FINDING	FLOWS	67:10	GENTLEMEN
12:11 15:1	27:11 28:2	23:16 53:17	FRANKLY	43:26 56:11
16:23,24 22:4		FOCUS	13:6 46:2,7	GERMANE
	FINDINGS	16:12 42:17	FRAY	24:9 37:9
FIELD	30:8		14:28	
12:3	FINDS	FOCUSING		<b>GET-GO</b> 18:8
FIGURE	24:19 47:22	25:7	FRESH	
14:16,18	FINE	FOLD	53:20	GILBERT
23:9,25 49:22	15:9 20:16	57:5	FRIDAY	52:1,6,10
60:24	21:25 40:22	FOLKS	44:21	57:18,20,28
FIGURES	43:21 58:10	46:13 56:7	FRIEND	59:2
30:14	70:2	FOOTBALL	14:24	GIVE
FILE	FINGER	18:16	FULL	39:12 44:24
9:3,5 22:14	70:6		28:25 29:16	70:13
41:21 47:8		FOOTING	30:28 32:9	GOD
55:4 59:4,8	<b>FIRM</b> 45:13	40:4	65:2 67:5	66:11
60:22 67:27		FOOTNOTE	FUNDAMENT	GOLDEN-
FILED	FISH	42:28	AL	KRASNER
9:9,17,21	24:15 29:25,	FORBID	37:23 38:18	69:23,24
10:9 22:18	27 30:21 31:6	66:11		GOOD
43:16 48:14	32:1,6 61:14	FORESHADO	FUNDAMENT ALLY	17:13 27:6
53:6 57:28	FISHERIES	WING	37:17	28:15 34:28
58:24	12:8,24 13:8	63:5		35:7,8 45:6
FILES	24:4,7 30:9	FORGOTTEN	FUTURE	49:16 55:7,23
10:2	FISHERY	8:16	24:18 25:19	GOODEN
	24:14,18		29:27 30:4	18:9
FILING	25:10,16 27:8	FORM	31:1,10 32:2,	10.3
	1	I	1	

GOVERNMEN	GROUP'S	65:10 66:4,	13:21	HIGHLY
Т	52:26	12,23 67:20,	HEALTH	50:5
13:18	GROW	25 68:5,9,14,	32:17	HINTING
GRANTED	26:16 27:2	15 70:14		15:12
59:18	GUESS	HAGERTY'S	HEAR	
GRAVITY		59:7	30:9 33:25	HIP
	14:10 15:5 18:2 23:23		44:17 48:26	41:2,7
62:8	40:26 46:13	HAGGLE 8:20	64:24	HIRE
GREG	49:17 52:28		HEARD	18:22 47:13,
24:25 45:7	53:3 57:2	HALF	46:21 49:3	16 50:20 51:8
GROSS	60:28	25:9 31:19,22	51:17,25	HIRING
64:27		HAND	57:20,26	51:6
_	GUIDED	55:12,14	68:22 69:22	
GROUND	65:17		70:9	HISTORIAN
29:9 64:2 69:3	GUY	HANDLING 68:3	HEARING	12:7 13:8,16 14:1 26:1
09:3	10:22 51:5	08.3	22:1 49:3	32:23 37:3
GROUNDS		HANDS	58:24 66:7	39:23 61:2
13:22	Н	59:21	69:21 70:11	39.23 01.2
GROUNDWA		HAPPEN	HEIGHT	HISTORICAL
TER	H2o	19:25 32:15	23:17	LY
13:23 23:12,	64:6			27:24
16,26 24:6		HAPPENED	HELPING	HISTORY
26:11,17,20,	HAGERTY	8:17	33:3	12:22 13:9
26 27:15,25	13:10 21:22,	HAPPENING	HELPS	ніт
33:11 34:13	24,26 22:11,	24:6	59:5,18	22:20 38:11
36:14,24	26,27 23:2 24:10 25:3,	HAPPILY	HERETOFOR	
38:21 42:7,	12,25 26:2,6,	68:23	E	HOBBLE
15,18,19,22,	10,18,27		8:6	44:11
23,24 43:3	27:5,7,16,21,	HAPPY 34:24 38:26		HOLD
60:28 61:5,6,	27 28:15,22	66:12 67:19	HERUM	49:19 50:8
9,27 62:2	29:4,15,18,21		9:13	HOLDERS
63:15,16,20,	30:12,16,26	HARM	HEY	32:7
22,25 65:21,	31:9,16,25	19:11,15,23	23:28	
23	33:5 34:4,23	21:19 33:18	HIGH	HOLLY
GROUNDWA	35:9 36:1	40:3,5	56:1	18:26 44:15
TERS	37:12,15	HASTY		HON
28:14	43:19 44:24,	32:28	HIGHBERGE	8:5
GROUP	26 53:23,24	HEAD	R	HONOR
45:5 48:11,19	54:14 57:18,	40:14 67:1	8:5	8:24 9:15,19
49:14 52:17	24 58:9,10,		HIGHLIGHTE	10:7,10,15
53:7 58:22	13,16 62:14	HEADWATER	D	11:1,14 12:14
	64:11,16,18	S	13:1	14:5,21
				,
	I	1	I	I

				November 02, 2021
15:16,28	37:22	IMPROVEME	INFLUENCE	27 27:4 33:7,
18:2,24 19:15	HYDROGEOL	NT	13:18	22 34:12
20:17,21	OGIST	26:4	INFORMAL	60:27
21:24 22:11	16:8,9,17	IN-STREAM	20:13	INTERCONNE
23:3 24:11	42:6	25:18		CTION
25:27 26:8,			INFORMATIO N	24:20
18,28 27:5,9,	HYDROGEOL OGY	INAUDIBLE 66:7	32:10 51:26	INTEREST
16 28:16	42:3		57:7	39:14,16
31:25 35:20,		INCLINED		
27 37:21 39:21 40:20,	HYDROLOGI	21:14	INITIAL	INTERESTED
28 41:24	C	INCLUDE	12:4	9:7 22:14
42:14,17	37:22 38:19	12:7	INJUSTICE	50:6 51:6
43:4,11,25	HYPOTHETIC	INCLUDED	13:12	INTERESTIN
44:1,2,14,26	ALLY	59:15,26	INPUT	G
45:2,6,12	30:14 63:13	-	40:16	17:11 23:9,22
46:21 47:2,11		INCLUDES		INTERMEDIA
48:12 50:10	I	45:24	INSIDE	TE
51:11 52:1		INCLUDING	34:1	63:19
53:24,28	IDEA	10:16 14:17	INSIGNIFICA	INTERMINAB
54:9,11,21	13:7 41:10	60:1	NCE	
55:25 57:24	52:15	INCONVENIE	31:24	50:1
58:11,13	IDENTIFIED	NCE	INSTRUCTIVE	
61:21 62:14	50:18	10:11	24:23	INTERRUPTI
64:11 65:7,25		INCONVENIE	INTEND	ON
66:4,12,24	IGNORE 29:7	NCES	52:24	63:9
67:12 68:5,	_	44:12		INVESTIGATI
15,18 69:7,	IMAGINE		INTENDED	ON
11,14,23,25,	30:7 39:14		33:4 50:19	57:4
26 70:7,14	42:10	TED	52:13	INVITATION
HOPE	IMPACT	53:1	INTENDING	37:13
45:9 48:27	24:6 25:10,13	INCORPORA	11:8 56:7	INVITE
64:23	31:23	TING	INTENDS	20:10
HUMAN	IMPACTING	52:27,28	11:6 36:17	
25:18	40:11	INCORRECT	INTERCONNE	INVITED
HUNDRED		19:18 38:7	CTED	51:9
24:1	IMPORTANT 25:26 51:24	INDIVIDUALL	26:11 28:4,	INVITING
	67:8 69:17	Y	10,16 29:7	51:2
HYDRO		50:16	62:18 64:19	INVOLVE
64:6	IMPOSSIBLE			57:14
HYDROGEOL	52:22			
OGIC		47:28	CTEDNESS	
			23:10 26:13,	36:23

INVOLVES	40:17 44:14,		KRIEGER	LEAD
50:4 62:16	15,19,22	K	60:23	41:1 44:7
INVOLVING	53:27,28			LEADING
57:6,15	65:11,13	K-E-A-R	L	60:11
57.0,15	66:13,14	10:27 39:5	<b>–</b>	00.11
IRONY	JACOBSON'S	KEAR	L.A.	LEAKS
52:10,19	56:4	10:17.27 11:6	44:9 59:11	61:6
IRRELEVANT	30.4	12:17,21 15:2		LEARN
34:16	JEANNE	16:8,15,21	LACK	63:5
	9:15	17:24 18:13,	56:22	
ISOLATED	JOB	16,22,28	LADY	LEAVES
32:27	34:28	19:25 25:2	66:18	62:27
ISSUE				LEFT
11:12 17:11	JOINT	32:18 39:5,7	LAND	18:17
21:13 22:15	24:24 60:6,9	42:2,6,10	57:22	_
27:12,14	JORDAN	47:1 48:18,27	LANDING	LEGAL
37:7,24 39:22	10:27 25:2	49:9 51:6	12:22	36:2 63:6
40:13 45:12	39:5 42:2,6,	55:27 56:11		LEGALLY
52:16 62:16,	10 46:28	KEAR'S	LANGUAGE	36:7
22 66:14,15	48:27 49:9	12:11 19:16	28:3 36:24	
68:18,24 70:1	51:6	33:2,6 52:27	67:3	LENGTH
		53:1	LARGE	60:11
ISSUED	JUDGE	KEEPING	10:3 42:21	LEVEL
11:12	8:5 17:4	8:18	LATE	25:15
ISSUES	49:18,21	0.10		LIFE
9:27 10:18	JUDGMENT	KEY	13:17 15:4	61:10,17
20:14 22:1,	11:20 20:2	60:20	21:20 24:2	01.10,17
17,24 34:26		KIND	34:19,20	LIKEWISE
36:26 37:1,	JUMP	27:1 36:12	51:22 52:21	10:8 54:10
18,20 38:24	10:13 24:27	37:20,23	LAW	LIMITED
39:23 54:1,2,	JUMPING	38:24 40:14	9:13 26:13	13:14 18:19
4 60:2,16,18,	16:21	41:7 44:23	35:28 36:3	54:2 56:20
22,25 61:22		45:26 51:13	38:16	
	JUNGREIS	61:21 70:12	LAWYER	LIMITING
	12:19 36:9		44:7 48:7	11:9
J	41:1	KINDS		LIMITS
	JUNGREIS'S	51:24	LAWYERS	23:21
JACOBSON	44:4	KLUG	8:13,14 49:22	
10:9,10,14,15	JURY	37:2	LAY	LINDSAY
18:22,26	44:15,16	_	37:12	9:14
19:21 35:20,		KLUG'S		LINER
22,23,26		37:2	LAYMAN'S	34:1
37:16,19		KNOCK	55:8	LINES
38:26 39:2,20		26:6		19:17 56:9
				13.17 30.8
	Ι		I	I

				November 02, 2021
LION	8:4	MAKING	MEANINGFUL	21:7,19
62:19	LOT	20:14 30:2	LY	MOMENT
LISTEN	8:15 27:10	47:26 58:23	45:22 46:5	39:21 44:6
61:1	37:5 46:6,18	MAN	MEANS	49:1 50:26
LITERAL	60:15	23:23,28	33:22 35:28	66:22
27:2	LOWER	41:12	59:1 64:19	MONEY
LITIGANT	30:21 62:11,	MAN-MADE	MEET	13:19 55:23
22:10 39:11	12	13:25 26:3	67:19	MONTHS
LITIGANTS		MANAGEMEN	MEETING	15:10 16:7,11
48:10	M	Т	26:21 45:15	MOORE
		34:5 42:7	MELNICK	50:8
LITIGATION 12:12 17:3	MADE 13:14,28 16:3	MANGLING	69:26,27	MORNING
27:23 48:11,	17:15,21	20:14	70:5,7	59:11 60:23
17 49:18,26	20:25 23:4	MARC	MERITS	MOTION
LIVE	24:11,13,25	69:26	10:1 53:20	15:6 20:1,3,
30:24 31:7	32:26 50:18,	МАТСН	METES	10,19 21:9
LOA	24 64:9	58:5	23:11,12	22:9 34:21
8:24 48:12	MAGNITUDE	MATCHES	METHODS	35:15,18
LOADING	64:26	58:2	9:20	37:10 40:19,
10:4	MAIN	MATERIAL	METZGER	25 46:14 47:26 48:1,15
	53:14 56:8	33:14 67:3	17:13	50:24 51:2,10
27:2 56:25	60:26	MATERIALIT	MILES	52:11 57:20,
	MAJOR	Y	25:11	27 58:19,27
LOCATIONS 32:25	42:8	64:10	MINIMIS	59:18 66:7
	MAKE	MATTER	31:19 64:4,8	68:28
	14:9,12 19:28	36:12,19	65:19	MOTION'S
31:24	20:3,10,19	38:12 46:12	MINIMUM	59:12
LONG	21:26 26:9 27:11 28:2	57:18 67:21	16:16	MOTIONS
33:26 41:13 51:14	30:28 34:20	MATTERS	MISREMEMB	52:20 53:4
	35:17 46:16	27:20 40:9	ERING	58:23
LOOKED	50:27 51:2,10	ME-TOO	32:27	MOTIVATED
56:27	52:2,22 53:9	56:8	MISSED	39:7
LOOSE	59:2 64:20	MEANING	37:6,7 45:10	MOVE
9:26	65:1 69:28 70:4	28:20	54:18,26	50:23 61:8
LORDS		MEANINGFUL	MISSING	63:3
11:28 12:5	MAKES	26:21 29:10	35:15	MOVED
18:4	37:26 39:28 59:26 60:8		MITIGATING	13:25 64:6
LOS	33.20 00.0			

				,
MOVES	NEGOTIATIN	43:17 44:18,	9:4 54:16	62:7,9 65:15
26:4 38:2	G	21 57:23,24,	55:5 59:20	69:9
64:25	15:13	25,26 58:1,4,	60:9	OJAI'S
MOVING	NEWBIES	5,24,25 59:4,	ODD	10:8
8:19 13:21	47:7	8,10,12,16	13:13	
21:21 24:2,3	NEWS	60:2 66:2,3,7	ODDITY	ONE- HUNDREDTH
36:18 43:15	49:16	NUANCED	55:2	63:20
48:2		12:9,25		
MULTIPLE	NICER	NUMBER	OFFER	ONE-
31:21	14:11	8:1,13 45:14,	61:4 65:5	HUNDREDTH
	NIELSON	21 51:5	OFFERED	S
MUNICIPAL	9:14	2101.0	57:10	63:21
10:26 11:10,	NOAH		OFFICE	ONE-TENTH
15,24 17:23	69:24	0	50:6 59:7	63:13
18:26 19:2		OBJECT		OPEN
39:4,9,19 42:8 46:28	NOBODY'S	10:25 14:8	OFFICES	53:20
42.8 40.28	14:25	24:25 25:6	9:14	
50:22 58:26	NOMINALLY	58:7 62:24	OFFICIAL	OPINE
	35:8		22:8	26:12 33:13
MUTUALITY	NOT-TOO-	OBJECTION	OJAI	39:17
17:2	DISTANT	10:20 14:7	10:19 11:24	OPINED
	8:17	OBJECTIONS	13:23 15:3	13:16 18:18
Ν		13:14	17:24,26,28	OPINING
	NOTE	OBJECTIVE	18:9,10,12,	20:27
NARROW	22:9 55:11,	46:2	15,19,27	-
61:22	16,25	_	19:2,12,13,	
NATURALLY	NOTED	OBLIVIOUS	20,27 20:26	19:26 25:6 33:5,22 37:2
34:2	8:6	17:20 68:23	28:27,28 29:9	
NATURE	NOTICE	OBTAIN	32:19,24,25	OPINIONS
12:26 14:18	8:21 15:5	48:3	34:19,20 35:7	11:26 19:17
23:5	40:23 41:5	OBTAINED	36:5 39:1,10,	37:3 39:8
	44:24 55:17	32:19	16,18,25 40:1	40:8
NECESSARIL	60:1,21	OBVIOUS	42:7,10,12,	OPPORTUNIT
Y	66:10,27	21:20	18,19,20	Y
39:18 40:5	67:4,9,11,18		45:5,14,17	51:28 65:1,8
NEEDED	70:13	OCCUPIED	46:10 47:6	68:27
16:17 45:22	NOTWITHSTA	41:19	48:11,27	OPPOSE
NEEDING	NDING	OCEAN	49:13 55:27	43:19 54:26
50:23	45:10 53:3	30:14,18,24	56:5,7,9,12, 21 57:6,16	OPPOSITION
NEEDLESS	NOVEMBER	37:27 61:15	58:22 60:5,8,	54:18,22 59:6
9:25	8:4 22:13,22	OCTOBER	10 61:24	57.10,22 53.0
9.20	0.7 22.10,22			

ORAL	PAPERING	48:5 49:13	PEEKED	40:27 58:26
55:9	47:8	50:7,18 53:4,	32:21	PERSPECTIV
ORALLY	PAPERS	12 56:24,26	PEOPLE	E
54:26	43:16 57:27	64:22 65:1	8:22 14:26	15:27 46:6
ORDER	59:28	67:9	19:19,20 21:7	51:23 57:9
48:16	PARALEGAL	PARTS	25:26 29:5	62:15 69:7
	S	8:19 52:28	31:11,12,21	PERSUASIVE
ORDERED	68:7	PARTY	46:18,25,26	59:3,4
17:9		15:4 21:21	48:9 50:10,26	
ORDINARILY	PARDON	48:2,21	51:7,14,21	PHASE
14:21	9:1	PARTY'S	61:1 68:12	10:19 12:9,20 15:9 16:13
ORGANIZE	PARKING	43:15	PERCEIVE	22:14,25 23:6
45:16 46:20	44:11		47:13	28:8 29:22,27
	PART	PASSES	PERCEIVED	30:1,20
ORIGINALLY	12:12 25:5	62:16	12:10	31:15,20
36:11	27:21 31:6	PASSING	_	32:12 34:9,16
OUTER	32:5 34:15	68:22	PERCENT	36:11,17
23:21	52:16,26,27	PAST	63:13,16,18,	38:18 53:11,
OUTLET	56:23 58:21	8:17 42:20	20,21,24,27	19 54:2 59:26
34:2,3	59:23 62:24	55:3	64:1,2	60:2,21
	67:26		PERCENTAG	61:22,25 62:1
<b>OVERLY</b> 21:11	PART'S	PATH	ES	PHASES
21:11	55:23	64:9	64:27	24:19 25:19
OWNERSHIP		PATTERSON	PERCEPTION	30:4 31:1,10
11:25 17:24	PARTE	25:4 45:4,6,7,	47:1 51:8	32:9 54:5
	9:1 48:14	12 47:2,11,		
Р	50:12,25	17,24 48:4	<b>PERCHANCE</b> 35:22	PHILOSOPHI
	54:15,19,27 55:18 57:19	50:28 51:9,11		CAL
P.M.	66:6	54:8,9 56:19,	PERCOLATE	60:18
8:8 22:23		23 57:2 58:21	D	PHYSICAL
70:17	PARTICIPATE	62:23 65:24,	63:26	32:13 34:6
PACIFIC	45:22 46:4,14	25 69:13,14	PERCOLATE	42:27
30:14,18,24	49:14	PATTERSON'	S	PICK
31:5 61:15	PARTICIPATI	S	37:27 64:1	18:16 23:20
PAID	ON	24:26 49:2	PERCOLATIO	PICKED
18:28 45:25	65:20	56:4	N	37:21
	PARTIES	PAY	37:28 62:8	
PAIN	9:7 10:3,16	18:28 19:7,21		PICKY
41:16	11:7 22:13	PEEK	<b>PERIOD</b> 38:14 45:27	55:17
PAINT	23:5 45:14,	32:28		PICTURE
38:23	18,21 46:3	52.20	PERSON	32:9 38:23
			13:8 26:22	
			1	

67:10				
67:10	14:27 20:6	POTENTIAL 13:1	PREJUDICIAL 16:22 17:7	PROCEDURA
PIECE		-	56:15	55:17
53:2 62:27	PLAYER	POTENTIALL		
PIECES	14:23	Y	PREPARE	PROCEDURE
32:8	PLED	23:4 36:25	59:8	20:13 42:15
	65:22	45:16,24	PREPARED	PROCEEDIN
PIN	PLUMBING	PRACTICAL	59:10 60:10	GS
67:2	24:3	19:11,15	PRESENT	70:16
PISANO	24.3	20:23 39:10	8:24 26:14	PROCESS
10:28 11:3,4,	POINT	PRACTICE	27:12 33:21	29:19
19,27 13:5	8:22 9:18	46:14	48:2 49:6	
14:5,12,20	10:19 13:25,	-	64:18	PROFILE
15:15,19,22,	26 16:28	PRECIPITATI		48:8
24,26 16:6,24	18:15 20:24	ON	PRESENTED	PROJECT
17:6,15 18:2,	21:26 24:11,	37:25	51:27 64:21,	24:2
7,12,24 19:4,	17 25:15,16,	PRECISE	28	PROOF
8,15 20:3,16,	24 29:5 32:3	22:24	PRESENTING	29:21 30:7
19 21:8,23	33:27,28	PRECISELY	45:1	54:16 61:4
34:28 39:3	50:15 51:11	52:24	PREVENT	64:9
40:18,20,22	61:20 63:8		18:21,25	
43:19,20,22	65:12 68:1	PRECLUDE		PROPER
44:1 54:14,	POLITE	53:12	PREVIOUSLY	53:16
21,23,25	15:12	PRECLUDED	14:23	PROPERLY
55:11,14,16, 24 56:3 57:17	POLITICAL	12:1	PRIDE	55:9
59:7 69:6	13:17	PRECONDITI	11:25	PROPERTIES
		ON	PRINTED	57:4
PISANO'S	POPULAR	27:13	9:6	_
50:6	10:22			PROTECT
PLACE	PORTION	PREDICATE	PRIOR	32:17
18:6 19:14	33:13	30:3	44:21	PROTEST
53:6	POSITION	PREFERABL	PRIVILEGE	16:28
PLAINTIFF	30:28 34:4,23	E	21:1	PROVE
45:16	51:16 67:5	44:2	PRO	30:10 33:6
		PREJUDICE	60:4	36:18 38:28
PLAINTIFFS	POSITIONS	15:8,11 16:1		
69:19	36:5 67:18	17:5,17,23	PROBLEM	PROVIDE
PLANNING	POSSIBILITY	18:1,2 19:22	15:26 19:5	67:25 68:1
11:1	17:20 46:7	20:23 39:28	35:26 40:15	PROVIDED
PLAUSIBILIT	POSSIBLY	48:21 51:26	PROBLEMS	22:12 66:14
Y	21:16 25:10		8:24 45:1	PROVING
13:24	48:28	PREJUDICES		64:8
		51:19		0.0

[				
PROVISION		RECEIVING	RELATED	REPORT
17:21	R	40:3	54:5 55:28	9:17 10:5,8
PROVISIONS		RECENT	RELATES	11:11,13,21
20:5 21:6	RAISING	-	68:19	12:1,2,3,6,20,
20.5 21.0	25:27	8:20	00.19	25,27 16:18
PRUDENT	DANCE	RECENTLY	RELATIONSH	17:25,26,27
49:19	RANGE	14:4 60:1	IP	19:1,16 24:24
	36:26		42:3	32:19 33:2,6
PUMPER	RAPHAEL	RECESS		35:2,6,8 39:6
42:8	17:13,14	21:23 70:11	RELEVANCE	45:15,17,20
PURPOSE		RECOGNIZES	13:8 14:17	46:5,9 48:16
24:16 33:16	REACHING	33:7,9	RELEVANT	,
53:11,13	46:26		14:19 25:8	52:16,23,26,
	READ	RECOLLECTI	28:5 31:20	27 53:6
PURPOSES	12:27 13:15	ON	61:12	56:19,25,28
28:20 32:20	60:15	32:23	-	57:5 60:6,8,9
33:26 48:11		RECOMMEND	RELIEF	61:21,23
49:22	REAL	ED	48:3 58:22	62:4,21,25
PUSH	17:13,22 35:1	10:23	RELIES	REPORTER
68:26	66:13		37:2	8:7
	REALIZE	RECONSTRU	_	_
PUT	50:4 54:15	СТ	RELIEVED	REPORTS
11:28 15:9	50.4 54.15	23:24	15:6 20:1	8:15,18 14:14
16:27 22:17	REASON	RECORD	22:10 24:26	16:8 17:19,
27:14 35:2	12:11 30:8	8:10 63:10,	34:21 37:10	21,22 36:17
44:6 52:21	47:14	11,12 65:2	46:14 47:26	52:17 53:1
66:27 67:18	REASONABL	11,12 00.2	50:23 51:2	REPRESENT
70:5	E	RECORDS		36:10 45:18
	25:21 53:15	9:24 22:8	RELY	
	25.21 55.15	REFERENCE	14:26	48:5 50:7
Q	REASONS	50:19	REMEMBER	56:26
OUFOTION	19:18	50.19	66:21 68:7	REPRESENT
QUESTION	REBUT	REFERENCE		ATION
13:3 19:5	56:18	D	REMIND	48:20
23:9 28:1,5,		12:18,19	22:6 32:18	DEQUEST
15 33:19	REBUTTAL	REFLECTED	70:6	REQUEST
34:11,15,18	11:13,21 13:1	60:5	REMOTE	24:25 50:27
36:22 49:28	17:20,22,27	00.5	9:9	66:19
60:26,27	68:20,24 69:4	REGARD	DENDITION	REQUESTS
62:15 63:2	70:1	24:14 27:27	RENDITION	23:4
64:12	RECEIPT	56:14	60:14	
QUESTIONS	-	REGRETTAB	REPLY	REQUIRE
38:26	9:5	LY	43:24 44:19,	65:20
	RECEIVED	22:20	20 58:15 59:9	REQUIRES
QUICKLY	54:17	22.20		25:22
49:28				

L				
RESERVE	55:21		SENTIMENT	20,27 49:1
11:20	ROMANS	8:2,11	43:18	53:22
RESERVED	14:2	SAVE	SENTIMENTS	SHARED
22:19	RONALD	44:10	37:17	11:25
RESOLUTION	8:7	SCHEDULE	SEPARATE	SHARING
48:22	ROOM	22:4,5 40:18,	8:15 34:15	10:26 17:23
RESOLVE	44:15,16	24 43:9 51:19	46:9 54:5	29:3
66:27	66:19	52:12,25	55:26 56:10	SHORTENED
RESOLVED	ROOTED	57:13 58:11 67:26	SEPARATEL	40:22 41:4
49:25 67:21	26:13		Y	45:27
RESOURCES	ROOTS	<b>SCHEME</b> 27:25	46:19 67:27	SHOW
8:2,12 19:12	26:25	_	SERVE	22:8 28:9
RESPECT	ROUGH	SCIENTIFICA	9:1 14:26	32:3,4,11 47:7
17:8 46:5	45:26	38:6	SERVED	
57:12	ROUTINELY		9:7 43:16	SIDE
RESPOND	9:28	<b>SCOPE</b> 12:20 23:6	51:15 54:16, 25,26,28 55:2	40:4 42:21
51:28 57:11			57:28 58:24	SIDEWAYS
RESPONSE	<b>RUE</b> 68:9	SCRATCHED	60:1,2,9,22	13:22 62:12
54:19 55:10		32:20	SERVEXPRE	SIGNED
RESPONSIBI	RULES 17:2 20:15	SCRATCHING	SS	54:17
LITY	55:18 69:3	40:14	9:3,6 55:4	SIGNIFICANC
10:27	RULING	SECRETARY	60:22	E
RESULT	8:21 17:9	9:20	SERVICE	31:23
32:8 48:22	66:10 67:11	SECTION	54:17	SIGNIFICANT
RETAIN	RUN	42:14	SERVICES	33:24 51:26
46:8	9:26	SEDIMENT	48:9	SIMILAR
REVIEW	RUNS	32:26 38:11	SERVING	50:27 58:22
33:1	29:8 38:9	SELF-	8:23,24 55:2	64:22
RIGHTS		PROTECTION	SET	SIMPLE
25:20 28:6,9,	S	15:1	12:12 22:2	13:20 36:12 59:1 61:23
25 29:26 31:1		SELF-	32:12 39:8	62:4
32:7,16	SAFE	REPRESENT	46:2 50:3	_
RIVER	70:15	<b>ED</b> 48:8	58:24 66:7	SIMPLY 37:6 38:9
9:12 13:21	SAN		SHARE	
23:13 27:3	33:8 42:25	SENDING	10:13 15:2	SIR
30:21 42:22	44:25 47:23	18:21,26	21:22 23:27	52:8,12
ROBIN	62:20 70:12	SENSE	39:4 46:27,28 47:6 48:9,19,	SIT
		40:1 55:6	, די .ט דט. זיד,	59:20

				November 02, 2021
SIXTH	38:10	SSC-10	16:20	SUBJECT
27:27 36:19	SPEAK	8:5	STICK	11:12 21:7
SKEPTICAL	35:21 40:4	STAKE	22:5 53:5	29:21 38:27
13:7	56:11 61:18	46:17		40:9 60:4,11
		-	STIFFIER	66:2
SKILL	SPEAKING	STAND	59:3	SUBMIT
12:12	11:3 33:12	9:8 66:28	STIPULATE	20:4
SLED	39:21 41:25	STANDARD	31:28 35:16	
45:27	47:25 67:14	50:9 63:6	STIPULATED	SUBMITTED
SLIP	SPEAKS	65:18	17:7	45:19
49:20,21	28:3	STANDING		SUBMITTING
	SPECIES	40:26	STRAIGHTFO	12:2
SNOW	26:10,21		RWARD	SUBSIDIZE
37:25		START	36:12	19:7
SOIL	SPECIFIC	60:24 63:2	STRATEGY	_
37:28	30:2 32:3	STARTED	21:23	SUBSTANTIV
SOLE	39:26 56:24	27:22,23	STREAM	E
19:18	64:13,19,24	STARTING	26:16 32:15	9:27
	SPECIFICALL	13:6	61:14 63:28	SUDDEN
SOLUTION	Y			36:22
21:19 32:13	11:7 20:8	STARTS	STREAMS	SUFFICIENT
34:6 42:27	39:22 51:9	62:7	28:17,18	65:20
SOLVE	54:2 58:19	STATE	STRETCH	
44:28	SPEND	8:2,11	30:21 42:1	SUGGEST
SOPHISTICA	9:25,26 10:1	STATE'S	STRETCHED	21:20 67:4
TED	SPENT	69:21	66:21	SUGGESTS
50:5	13:9,11 32:22			51:25
SORT		STATEMENT 48:24	STRING 70:5	SUMMER
12:20 15:13	SPILL			26:25
25:28 30:17	34:3	STATING	STRONG	SUNTAG
46:27 50:8	SPILLING	37:6	15:25	9:13
57:12 60:16,	42:24	STATUS	STRONG-	
17 68:3	SPOKE	12:19 14:6	ARM	SUPPLEMEN
	21:27	22:12,23	21:12	T
SORTS		36:16 60:6	STRONGLY	35:13 56:17
21:6	SPOKEN	STATUTE	22:4	SUPPLEMEN
SOUNDS	54:19	20:7 27:15		TAL
20:12	SPREADING	36:25 65:22	STRUCK	11:13,21 12:2
SOURCE	13:22 43:5		13:13	17:19,26
32:5	SPRING	STATUTORY	SUBBASIN	18:3,13 19:1,
SOURCES	26:24	27:25	33:17	16,26 20:25,
JUURUEJ		STEP		27 35:6,8
			1	

39:6,8 42:2	50:8	TAXPAYERS	42:13 50:2	65:28 67:2
46:9 52:17	SWEET	19:13	60:3,21 66:8	68:2 69:12,15
53:6 57:3,27	10:4	ТЕАМ	67:7,26 69:28	TIMELY
59:9 61:21		12:12 41:6	THINGS	11:13 20:1
68:20	SYCAMORE		8:16,23,24	46:24
SUPPORT	26:15,23	TECHNIQUES	22:3,13 23:28	_
57:27	61:10,17	21:7	24:1 32:26	TITLE
••••	SYSTEM	TELLS	37:11 45:23	42:14
SUPPORTING	49:18	61:12,16	52:21 60:14	TODAY
31:8 43:16			64:27,28	41:2,22 43:9
SUPPOSED	<b>–</b>	TEND		45:1 50:12,14
9:28 14:16	T	61:8	THINKS	54:14,20,23
		TENDENCY	58:21	58:1 68:13
SURFACE		62:7	THOUGHT	69:10
23:19,25 24:5	32:12	TERM	17:3 19:6	
25:17 26:20,	TACK	30:25 44:28	55:1 66:25	TOKEN
23 27:14,20,	18:17			50:15
26 28:4,11,	TACTICAL	TERMS		TOMORROW
12,13,16	17:15	27:23 39:15	68:11	43:12
29:7,9,11,13,		TERRIBLE	THREE-HOUR	TOOL
14,19,20,28	TAKERS	50:2	22:23	15:8
30:10,11 31:13 32:21	53:16	TEOTIEV	TIED	
33:10,24	TAKES	TESTIFY	37:1,7	TOPOGRAPH
34:12 36:14	10:4 18:22,28	26:22		Y
37:26 38:5,	20:11 47:10	THANKSGIVI	TIGHT	62:6
12,20 42:26	TAKING	NG	49:19	TOTALLY
43:4 53:17	31:22 36:5	43:28	TILL	66:23
60:28 61:5,7,	69:15	THEORIES	68:8	TOUCHED
8,9,28 62:3,6,		25:26	ТІМЕ	68:24
16,18 63:8,			8:8 9:9,10,25,	
14,17,18,19,	34:24 52:3,4,	THEORY	26 10:1,4,19	TRACK
22,26 64:3,7	5 66:15	25:5 32:24 33:12 35:14	12:4 13:9,11	8:19
65:21	TALKED	37:13 68:23	14:15 17:4,	TRAGEDY
	22:2 34:11		19,24 22:23	25:13
SURFACE-	67:2	THEREOF	23:15 24:17	TRANSCRIPT
SCRATCHING	TALKING	56:22	25:15 26:20	66:15
37:24	28:16 43:8	THIN	29:14 32:3,22	
SURGERY	55:26 69:19	66:21	38:14 39:6	TRANSFERS
41:2,7			40:11 43:18	42:19
SURVIVES	TARDY	THING	45:22,27	TRAVELS
26:24	20:4	21:20 23:11	47:10 48:4	70:15
	TASTE	28:23 37:22	51:14 56:16	
SWAINE	56:28	38:3 41:7	57:11 58:7	TREE
	1	1	1	I

27:2 61:11,17	UNAVAILABL		12:26 13:21	
	E	18:9	16:3 23:13	W
TRIAL	44:8		36:4,5,17	
12:9,20,26		UNUSED	40:6 42:3,22	WAIT
15:7,9,14,18,	UNDECIDED	31:5	60:5,10	15:5 59:21
19,28 16:13	49:5	UPCOMING	62:10,11,12,	
21:8,9,15	UNDERGROU	67:6	13 63:2 65:22	WAITING
22:14,25	ND	UPDATE		54:3
23:15 34:16	28:21 29:13	22:28 67:24	VENTURA'S	WAIVERS
49:25 53:11,	38:2,14	68:1	15:27 20:28	45:25 47:8
19 54:2 60:2,	UNDERLYING		60:1 67:16	WANDERING
21 64:21	37:3 69:18	UPPER	69:7	47:15
66:21		28:27 29:8	VERSA	
TRIBUTARIE	UNDERSTAN	32:25 33:10	39:26	WANTED
S	D	42:18,22	VERSUS	14:9,12 36:28
23:13	9:19 12:8	45:14,17	23:19	37:11 69:28
TRIBUTARY	17:1 19:10	46:10 49:13		WANTING
27:3 62:20	20:18,22 24:8	55:27 56:7,	VIABLE	35:21
	25:3,28 29:25	12,21 57:6,15	20:6	WASTE
TROUBLES	36:10 40:5	61:24 62:7,	VICE	30:23,25
23:8	42:14 45:8	10,13 63:18,	39:26	
TRUE	46:1,23 62:5	25	VIEW	WASTED
12:21 13:1	UNDERSTAN	UPSET		61:16
24:9	DABLE	13:10 40:24	25:24 26:12	WASTES
TUESDAY	14:1	UPWARDS	27:3 29:8	30:13,18
8:4 44:18	UNDERSTAN	27:25	31:19 32:13, 26 33:16	
59:11	DING		47:22	WATER
	10:16,18	USEFULLY		8:2,12 9:12
TURN	11:10 23:5	39:9	VIEWPOINT	10:26 11:10,
61:6	36:11,21,27	USERS	37:20	15,24 12:7,
TWO-TENTHS	40:14 46:25	25:18 29:11	VODKA	17,22 13:8,
63:15,17	53:10,21,22,		15:24,25	16,20,25 14:1
,	26 54:1,8		21:12	17:23 18:26
		39:10 41:18		19:2 23:19,
33:15	UNDERSTOO		VOICE	21,25,27
	D	V	46:4,17,20	24:3,5 25:17, 28 26:4,20,24
U	59:13,14		48:17	28 26:4,20,24 27:14,26
- <u></u>	66:23	VAGUE	VOLUME	28:4,11,12,
U.S.	UNFAMILIAR	50:19 59:15	23:17	13,17,27
13:18	55:7	VALIDITY	VOLUNTEER	29:7,9,11
ULTIMATELY	UNIQUELY	66:10	ED	30:1,10,22,24
28:24 31:22	20:25		19:6	31:4,12,13
34:5,8	20.20			32:6,16,22
, -		9:12 10:25		

33:8,10,23,	WHIRLING	WRITE	
24,27,28	27:25	42:11	
34:12 36:13,	WIDER	WRITS	
14 37:24,26	36:26	17:14	
38:1,4,5,8,10,			
12,13,20,28	WILDLIFE	WRITTEN	
39:4,9,19	24:15	54:17,22	
42:8,9,26,28	WILLIAM	WRONG	
43:1,4 46:28	8:5	11:16	
47:21 48:28			
50:22 53:16	WIN	Y	
58:26 61:2,5,	35:15		
7,8,13,28	WIND	YEAR	
62:3,6,16,18	19:14 21:12	41:10	
63:7,14,16,	WISHES		
18,21,27	20:19	YEARS	
64:1,2,8,25		16:11 23:19,	
WATERS	WORDS	20 24:1	
62:18 65:21	64:4	YESTERDAY	
	WORK	9:22 10:9	
WAYS	11:2,6 19:7	60:23	
26:4 31:28	22:3 23:28		
38:10	40:27 41:8	Z	
WEEK	46:7 57:12,15		
41:14,21	58:8 66:13	ZOLEZZI	
43:9,11,20,28	67:17	9:15,16,19	
58:1	WORKING	10:5,7	
WEEKS	27:14		
16:18		ZONE	
	WORKS	42:25	
WELLS	44:5 69:1		
57:5	WORRY		
WEST	47:8		
42:21	WODSE		
WET	WORSE		
23:19,20	16:2		
	WORST		
WHATSOEVE	30:17		
R	WORTH		
54:4	17:10		
WHEEL	-		
59:25	WRIT		
	17:1,11		
		I I	