		ed.	
1	GENE TANAKA, Bar No. 101423		
2	gene.tanaka@bbklaw.com SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY, Bar No. sarah.foley@bbklaw.com	ORIGINAL FILED	
3	DAKOTAH BENJAMIN, Bar No. 316446 Dakotah.Benjamin@bbklaw.com	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
4	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	SEP 2 5 2019	
5	2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390 Walnut Creek, California 94596	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	
6	Telephone: (925) 977-3300 Facsimile: (925) 977-1870	By: Isaac Lovo, Deputy	
7	SHAWN HAGERTY, Bar No. 182435		
8	shawn.hagerty@bbklaw.com BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP		
9	655 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101		
10	Telephone: 619.525.1300 Facsimile: 619.233.6118		
11	Attorneys for Respondent and Cross-Compla CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA	inant	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
14	COUNTI	JI LOS ANOELES	
15	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, a California non-profit corporation,	Case No. 19STCP01176	
16		Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger	
17	Petitioner,	RESPONDENT AND CROSS- COMPLAINANT CITY OF SAN	
18	V.	BUENAVENTURA'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF NOTICE	
19	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD, a California State	AND FORM ANSWER	
20	Agency; et al.,	[Filed with:	
21	Respondents.	1. Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of City of San	
22	CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, a	Buenaventura's Motion for Approval of Notice and Form Answer, and	
23	California municipal corporation,	2. [Proposed] Order.]	
24	Cross-Complainant,	Date: October 2, 2019 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
25	v.	Dept.: 10	
26	DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual; et al.	Action Filed: September 19, 2014 Trial Date: Not Set	
27	Cross-Defendants.	IIIal Date. Not Set	
27			
20	82470 00018/22250442 1		
	82470.00018\32359442.1 CITY'S REPLY ISO	MOTION FOR APPROVAL	

 Groundwater Adjudication and Form Answer ("Motion") pursuant to California Civil Procedure Code section 836(b). While no party filed an opposition to the Motion, Respondent the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. <i>See</i> Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the 	1	REPLY BRIEF	
 following reply in support of its Motion for Approval of Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication and Form Answer ("Motion") pursuant to California Civil Procedure Code section 836(b). While no party filed an opposition to the Motion, Respondent the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. <i>See</i> Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶12, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	2		
5 Groundwater Adjudication and Form Answer ("Motion") pursuant to California Civil Procedure 6 Code section 836(b). While no party filed an opposition to the Motion, Respondent the State 7 Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's 8 proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss 9 groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. 10 Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing 12 SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of 13 Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as 14 Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via 15 email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive 16 objections thereto. Id. at ¶ 4. 17 Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the 18 Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the 19 issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with 20 the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form A	3	Respondent and Cross-Complainant City of San Buenaventura ("City") submits the	
 Code section 836(b). While no party filed an opposition to the Motion, Respondent the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. Id. at ¶ 4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	4	following reply in support of its Motion for Approval of Notice of Commencement of	
 Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. <i>See</i> Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	5	Groundwater Adjudication and Form Answer ("Motion") pursuant to California Civil Procedure	
 proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. <i>See</i> Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	6	Code section 836(b). While no party filed an opposition to the Motion, Respondent the State	
 groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users. Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing SWRCB's concerns. <i>See</i> Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	7	Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB") filed a response ("Response") arguing that City's	
10 Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing 12 SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of 13 Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as 14 Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via 15 email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive 16 objections thereto. Id. at ¶4. 17 Image: Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the 18 Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice otherwise complies with 20 the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant 21 the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 Image: Accordingly and the addresses addresses and the addresses and the addresses	8	proposed Notice of Commencement of Groundwater Adjudication ("Notice") should only discuss	
11Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing12SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of13Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶]2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as14Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via15email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive16objections thereto. Id. at ¶ 4.17Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice otherwise complies with18the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant11the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer.222324252627	9	groundwater rights and not include any reference to surface water rights or surface water users.	
12SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of13Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶[2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as14Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via15email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive16objections thereto. Id. at ¶ 4.17Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the19issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with10the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant11the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer.2223232425262627	10		
 Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	11	Subsequently, City and SWRCB met and conferred and agreed on language addressing	
 Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. <i>Id.</i> Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	12	SWRCB's concerns. See Supplemental Declaration of Sarah Christopher Foley in Support of	
 email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive objections thereto. <i>Id.</i> at ¶ 4. Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 22 23 24 25 26 27 	13	Motion ("Supp. Foley Decl.") at ¶¶2, 3. The revised Notice ("Revised Notice") is attached as	
16 objections thereto. Id. at ¶ 4. 17 18 Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the 19 issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with 20 the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant 21 the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 1	14	Exhibit A to the Supp. Foley Decl. Id. Additionally, on September 24, 2019, the City sent, via	
1718Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the19issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with20the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant21the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer.222323242526271	15	email, the Revised Notice to all parties that have appeared in this case and did not receive	
18Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the19issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with20the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant21the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer.222324252627	16	objections thereto. Id. at \P 4.	
 issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 	17		
 the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. 4 4 4 5 5 6 7 7 	18	Accordingly, because City has agreed to use the Revised Notice, which addresses the	
 the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion and enter approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer. the Motion approximately approximately	19	issue SWRCB raised in its Response, and because the Revised Notice otherwise complies with	
22 23 24 25 26 27	20	the requirements of Civil Procedure Code section 836, City respectfully requests the Court grant	
23 24 25 26 27	21	the Motion and enter an order approving City's Revised Notice and Form Answer.	
24 25 26 27	22		
25 26 27	23		
26 27	24		
27	25		
	26		
28	27		
	28		
82470.00018\32359442.1 -2- CITY'S REPLY ISO MOTION FOR APPROVAL			

1	Dated: September 25, 2019	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP			
2					
3		By: Jank Joly			
4		GENE TANAKA SHAWN HAGERTY			
5		SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY DAKOTAH BENJAMIN			
6		Attorneys for Respondent and Cross-Complainant CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA			
7		CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA			
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25 26					
26 27					
27 28					
20	82470.00018\32359442.1	- 3 -			
	CITY'S REPLY ISO MOTION FOR APPROVAL				
•					